

**Appropriations Committee Meeting - May 13, 2015**  
**Analysis of Whether Costs Described in Fiscal Notes are Included in sHB 6824**  
**(the FY 16 and FY 17 Budget as Favorable Reported by the Appropriations Committee)**

*Note: For Bills on the agenda with proposed substitutes, the fiscal impact is based on the substitute language.*

**Prepared by: Office of Fiscal Analysis**

Agenda Item #	Bill #	Cost Included in sHB 6824		Cost Not Included in sHB 6824		Comments
		FY 16 \$	FY 17 \$	FY 16 \$	FY 17 \$	
1	SB 14	-	-	-	-	The bill: 1) expands the amount of information regarding health insurance policies that municipal employers are required to provide to municipal collective bargaining units; and 2) requires municipalities to provide such information within 30 days after a request is made. There is a minimal cost to municipalities associated with printing the additional information. The bill also expands reporting requirements of health insurers, which has no fiscal impact.
2	SB 800	DPH: 17,677	DPH: 26,000	OSC Fringe: 6,442	OSC Fringe: 10,049	Funding is provided in the budget for DPH for the durational staff necessary to implement the pilot program established by the bill. Funding is not provided for fringe benefits associated with this position.
3	SB 808	-	-	-	-	The bill requires the establishment of a dispute resolution process for certain health insurance situations. As these concern disputes between private entities, there is no anticipated state or municipal fiscal impact.
4	sSB 1089	3 million	3 million	potential savings/cost	potential savings/cost	Funding in the budget is associated with the new grant program in DMHAS established in Section 3. Additional potential costs relate to annual program monitoring and reporting.

**Appropriations Committee Meeting - May 13, 2015**  
**Analysis of Whether Costs Described in Fiscal Notes are Included in sHB 6824**  
**(the FY 16 and FY 17 Budget as Favorable Reported by the Appropriations Committee)**

*Note: For Bills on the agenda with proposed substitutes, the fiscal impact is based on the substitute language.*

**Prepared by: Office of Fiscal Analysis**

Agenda Item #	Bill #	Cost Included in sHB 6824		Cost Not Included in sHB 6824		Comments
		FY 16 \$	FY 17 \$	FY 16 \$	FY 17 \$	
5	sSB 1109 (Sen "A", LCO 6782)	DCJ: 200,000	DCJ: 200,000	DESPP: Less than 125,000 Various State Agencies with Police Forces: less than 25,000 Various Munis: Less than 125,000 each	DESPP: Less than 25,000 Various State Agencies with Police Forces: less than 25,000 Various Munis: Less than 25,000 each	Senate "A" potentially reduces or eliminates the cost to DCJ in the bill by allowing the agency to designate a state's attorney from a judicial district other than the one where the incident occurred.
6	sHB 7004	-	-	-	-	The bill requires Judicial to designate meeting space for state marshalls and applicants to meet and requires a simplified process for applying for a restraining order.
7	SB 175	-	-	2.1 million	4 million	The bill requires insurance coverage of patient lifts and will result in a cost to the state health plan, fully insured municipal health plans, and the state in accordance with the federal ACA.
8	SB 1052	-	-	Cost depends on value of retroactive adjustment	Cost depends on value of retroactive adjustment	The bill results in a cost to the state health plan and fully-insured municipal plans by requiring the Pharmacy Benefit Manager (PBM) to reduce the cost of the drug, retroactive to the date of the initial claim for the appealing pharmacy and to adjust all similarly situated in-network pharmacies. The retroactive adjustment will result in a transfer of additional cost to the state plan and fully insured municipal plans.