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Purpose of the LIT

To inform local communities and ensure an ongoing dialogue regarding the substantial changes to the FWSN legislation

- Establish collaboration between system partners
- Help disseminate information on a local level about the impact of the FWSN legislation changes
- Establish a forum for community discussion regarding challenges presented by legislative changes
- Provide feedback to the FWSN Advisory Board regarding what is working well and what is challenging
LIT Member Composition

- Local Probation Supervisor
- FWSN Liaison
- DCF Designee
- System of Care Co-Chair
- Youth Service Bureau representative
- Family Support Center Representative
- School System Representatives
- Other Local Service Providers
Current LIT activities, statewide

- Probation held about 25 community information sessions across the state
- Each probation office has convened an LIT meeting 3 to 7 times since 10/1/07
- Number of participants varies from 8 to 20
- Frequency of meetings varies by court location:
  - Most meet on a monthly basis
  - Others meet bi-monthly or quarterly
LIT activities, continued

- Some LIT meetings and System of Care meetings have been combined
- Contracted CSSD service providers present program information
- Community outreach and education is planned
- Increased school system participation and education
Feedback from LITs

Some positive responses:

- Decreased number of FWSN referrals statewide
- Quicker response time for services (FSC)
- New services have been helpful
- Increased system communication and collaboration (CSSD, DCF, School districts, and community providers)
Feedback from LITs

Most understand the need for change, but an overall feeling of frustration remains

- Lack of services (no FSC’s in 9 courts)
- No accountability for truancy
- Some parents reluctant to cooperate
- Need for early intervention
- Complaints from parents/community: perception that the court “is powerless”
Suggested Next Steps

- Services are needed where no FSC exists
- Legislative clarification needed for local communities
- Continue to reinforce collaboration and extend out to schools not currently participating