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CCM is Connecticut’s statewide association of towns and cities and the voice of local government – your partners in governing Connecticut.

Our members represent over 93% of Connecticut’s population.
CCM Efforts: Ethics Education

- 33 workshops in the last 8 years
- 995 workshop attendees
- Dedicated “ethics” section on CCM’s website, with a searchable database
- Information kit for municipalities - *Ethics and Conflicts of Interest*
CCM Efforts: Ethics Education

• “Ethical Dilemmas” article each issue of our association publication Connecticut Towns and Cities

• Compiled and published a book on ethical dilemmas

• Upon request, conduct dedicated ethics forums in individual communities
Survey of Municipalities

CCM began surveying *all* municipalities in June 2008

• Intent of Survey
  – Whether municipalities did or did not have an ethics policy in place
  – The content of such policy
  – Existence of any complaints
  – Update our searchable database of local ethics policies
Results of 2008 Survey

Of those with an ethics policy in place:

• 71%, include a Conflict of Interest policy
• Half have had no ethics complaints filed in the last 12 months
• 75% have a formal process for individuals to obtain guidance or opinions on ethics issues
Comparison to 2005 Survey

Municipalities with:

• Ethics policies \(\uparrow35\%\)
• Conflict of Interest policy \(\uparrow58\%\)
• Disclosure of Conflict of Interest \(\uparrow27\%\)
• Process for addressing complaints \(\uparrow14\%\)
• Ethics Commission or Board \(\uparrow38\%\)
Comparison to 2002 Survey

Municipalities with:

• Ethics policies ↑97%
• Conflict of Interest policy ↑186%
• Ethics Commission or Board ↑112%

*Disclosure of conflict of interest and process for addressing complaints were not questions included in the 2002 survey.*
CCM – Past Advocacy

CCM has continually advocated that we can support requiring municipalities to:

- Adopt an ethics policy
- Establish a mechanism for addressing allegations of unethical behavior
- Report to the State, by a date certain, on what they implemented in response - or already had in place
CCM – Cannot Support

CCM has also always been clear that we cannot support:

• One-size-fits-all approach to local ethics policies

• A blanket requirement for local officials and/or volunteers to disclose their financial interests.

• A mandated mechanism that provides the Office of State Ethics with investigative and hearing authority over local ethics issues.
Local Accountability

Municipal officials are the *most accessible* officials in our federal, state, and local systems of government – *They are always local.*

- Shop at the local grocery stores
- Work-out at the local gym
- Bring their kids to the local schools
- Utilize the same local services

*Local officials are always in the community!*
Considerations

• Unfunded mandates are having a significant impact on local property-tax dollars
  – Cost factors of creating and implementing an ethics code:
    • Attorney Fees
    • Public Notice
    • Referendum and/or town meeting
Considerations

• One-size-fits all approach does not work
  – Municipalities vary greatly in size, with populations ranging from 693 to 140,000
  – Local governments vary in their structure
  – Constituencies of local governments vary in their priorities
  – Size and scope of communities varies greatly
  – What works in one community may not be as effective, or appropriate, in another
Considerations

• Municipalities rely on volunteerism
  – Local boards and commissions
    AND
  – Elected officials

Mandating such things as financial interest disclosure - or - disallowing local officials/volunteers/employees from conducting their own business before or with the town government, could put a chilling effect on residents wanting to serve.
Closing

• The initial thrust behind proposed ethics mandates were overreactions to a few isolated incidents, *all of which were already governed by local ethics codes and commissions* – *just as strong as the state code.*
  – These incidents were criminal in nature and were dealt with as such.
Closing

• At the same time, the state had similar incidents – *all of which were governed by the state code of ethics*.
  – Again, these incidents were criminal in nature and were dealt with as such.
Closing

The results of the survey, shows steady increases in the numbers of municipalities implementing local ethics policies…

➡️ Clearly indicates that no mandate is needed.
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