I have been a veterinary technician in lower Fairfield county for 18 years, and have seen first hand many puppies purchased from pet shops. Our research clearly shows these shops get their supplies from large-scale commercial breeders, aka, 'Puppy Mills'.

Dogs that spend their entire lives in wire cages at these commercial facilities are continually bred with total disregard for health, temperament, and genetics. Puppies are packed in crates like vegetables and driven in large trucks all over the country where they are sold for a very large profit to unsuspecting consumers who believe the pet shops' sales pitch that these puppies are well-bred and their parents well cared for.

Some puppies leave the pet shops only to end up needing extensive and expensive veterinary care almost immediately. Many others go on to display genetic, congenital medical conditions, which can require thousands of dollars of lifelong veterinary care, and others exhibit behavioral problems.

I think we have an obligation to the public to educate them about the horrors of puppy mills and send a message to the mills themselves that our state will no longer support their cruelty and inhumanity towards the animals that we human beings have purposely bred over thousands of years to be our best friends.

And it isn't just about the puppies. It's about the adult breeding dogs, a beagle-sized one for example, who can legally be kept in a wire cage the size of our home dishwashers for their entire lives, never feeling the ground underneath their paws. The minimal USDA standards are appalling, and while we here in CT cannot solve the national problem on our own, we can make a very important and humane difference right here at home.

I have attached an article from the Journal of the Veterinary Medical Association (the veterinary equivalent of the prestigious Journal of the American Medical Association) regarding the differences in behavioral characteristics between dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores and those obtained from noncommercial breeders...

"Conclusions and Clinical Relevance—Obtaining dogs from pet stores versus noncommercial breeders represented a significant risk factor for the development of a wide range of undesirable behavioral characteristics."

Let's make a difference right here in our wonderful state of Connecticut.

Stella Drakoulis, CVT
(Certified Veterinary Technician)
Westport Coalition Against Puppy Mills
Differences in behavioral characteristics between dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores and those obtained from noncommercial breeders

Franklin D. McMillan, DVM, DACVIM; James A. Serpell, PhD; Deborah L. Duffy, PhD; Elmabrok Masaoud, PhD; Ian R. Dohoo, DVM, PhD

Objective—To compare the owner-reported prevalence of behavioral characteristics in dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores with that of dogs obtained as puppies from noncommercial breeders.

Design—Cross-sectional study.

Animals—Dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores (n = 413) and breeder-obtained dogs (5,657).

Procedures—Behavioral evaluations were obtained from a large convenience sample of current dog owners with the online version of the Canine Behavioral Assessment and Research Questionnaire, which uses ordinal scales to rate either the intensity or frequency of the dogs’ behavior. Hierarchical linear and logistic regression models were used to analyze the effects of source of acquisition on behavioral outcomes when various confounding and intervening variables were controlled for.

Results—Pet store-derived dogs received significantly less favorable scores than did breeder-obtained dogs on 12 of 14 of the behavioral variables measured; pet store dogs did not score more favorably than breeder dogs in any behavioral category. Compared with dogs obtained as puppies from noncommercial breeders, dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores had significantly greater aggression toward human family members, unfamiliar people, and other dogs; greater fear of other dogs and nonsocial stimuli; and greater separation-related problems and house soiling.

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance—Obtaining dogs from pet stores versus noncommercial breeders represented a significant risk factor for the development of a wide range of undesirable behavioral characteristics. Until the causes of the unfavorable differences detected in this group of dogs can be specifically identified and remedied, the authors cannot recommend that puppies be obtained from pet stores. (J Am Vet Med Assoc 2013;242:1359–1363)

It has long been an article of faith among veterinarians and canine professionals that dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores have a higher prevalence of health and behavioral problems.1 However, there has been a dearth of empirical studies to support this notion. In a retrospective survey of the owners of 737 adult dogs, Jagodzinski2 found that dogs obtained from pet shops had a significantly higher prevalence of owner-directed (dominance-type) aggression and social fears (fear of strangers, children, and unfamiliar dogs) than did dogs from 5 other sources: breeders, animal shelters, friends or relatives, found or rescued off the streets, and home bred (ie, bred and reared in the current owner’s home).3 However, the sample size of pet store dogs in that study2 was small (n = 20).

Bennett and Robb4 investigated the frequency of potential problematic behavior patterns as reported by owners in a convenience sample of 413 companion dogs, of which 47 were obtained from pet stores. Results indicated that dogs purchased from pet shops or shelters were considered by their owners to be more unfriendly or aggressive than were dogs purchased from breeders and significantly more nervous than dogs bred by the present owner. However, by using broadly defined behavioral subscales rather than discrete behaviors, the researchers were not able to ascertain whether pet shop dogs had specific problematic behaviors more frequently than did dogs from other sources.

Mugford5 reported analyzing a sample of 1,864 dogs with various behavioral problems and determined that “only 10% of purebred dogs obtained directly from breeders presented separation-related problems, whereas 55% of purebred dogs originating from so-called ‘puppy farms’ or ‘puppy mills’ present such problems.” Sample sizes and the way in which it was determined

ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-BARQ</td>
<td>Canine Behavioral Assessment and Research Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBE</td>
<td>Commercial breeding establishment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCB</td>
<td>Noncommercial breeder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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that the dogs came from puppy farms or puppy mills were not reported.

Some inconsistent findings have also been reported. Pierantoni et al. compared owner-reported behaviors between 70 adult dogs separated from their litters at 30 to 40 days of age and 70 adult dogs separated from their litters at 2 months of age. Their analysis included the source of the dog classified into 3 categories: breeder, pet shop, or friend or relative. The researchers found no significant association between the source of the dog and the behavioral categories examined. In a study of the efficacy of a dog-appeasing pheromone in reducing stress associated with social isolation in puppies recently acquired from pet stores, Gaultier et al. noted that their data did not seem to support the hypothesis that puppies from pet stores constitute a special, at-risk population for the development of behavioral problems. The researchers reported that the puppies in that study (n = 60) did not appear to disturb their owners any more than those in a previous study by Taylor and Mills involving puppies acquired from local pedigree dog breeders. However, the breeders in the latter study included a semicommercial breeder and at least 1 puppy mill.

Most puppies sold by pet stores in the United States are purchased from breeders, who may themselves be breeders but overwhelmingly acquire their puppies from high-volume breeding facilities, or CBES, located throughout the United States. Conditions in the CBES, which supply tens of thousands of puppies to retail pet stores each year, vary widely. Conditions in CBES range from modern, clean, and well-kept to squalid, noxious, and gravely detrimental to animal health and welfare.

The purpose of the study reported here was to evaluate the hypothesis that dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores would be reported to have an increased prevalence of behavioral problems, compared with dogs obtained as puppies from NCRs.

Materials and Methods

Data collection—Behavioral evaluations of the dogs were obtained by use of the online version of the C-BARQ, a standardized survey instrument with established reliability and validity characteristics. The C-BARQ is designed to provide quantitative assessments of a wide array of behavioral characteristics of dogs and has been widely used as a research tool for comparing behavior in different dog populations.

The questionnaire consists of 100 items that ask respondents to indicate on a series of 5-point ordinal rating scales their dogs' typical responses to a variety of everyday situations during the recent past. The scales rate either the intensity (aggression, fear, and excitability subscales) or frequency (all remaining subscales and miscellaneous items) of the behaviors, with a score of 0 indicating the absence of the behavior and a score of 4 indicating the most intense or frequent form of the behavior. The C-BARQ currently comprises 14 behavioral factors or subscales and a further 22 miscellaneous stand-alone items. Higher scores are generally less favorable for all items and subscales, with the exception of trainability, for which higher scores are more desirable. Owners were also asked to indicate the dog's current age at the time the survey was completed, whether there were other dogs living in the same household, and whether the dog was used for specific working or recreational roles, including breeding or showing, field trials or hunting, other sports (eg, agility, racing, or sledding), and working roles (eg, search and rescue, service, or sheep herding). To obtain information on the source from which the dog was acquired, owners were also asked to respond to the question, "where did you acquire this dog?" Possible responses included the following: bred him/her myself, from a breeder; from a shelter or rescue group; from a neighbor, friend, or relative; bought from a pet store; adopted as a stray; and other. Consistent with the 2 previous studies that offered pet-owning participants the choice of breeder as the source of the dog, the question in the C-BARQ regarding the source of the dog does not define the term breeder.

Sample—The online C-BARQ was advertised originally via an article in the news magazine of the Veterinary Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania and by notices sent to Philadelphia-area veterinary clinics and the top 20 US breed clubs, as determined on the basis of American Kennel Club registrations. Availability of the survey then spread via word of mouth. No geographic limitations were applied, and participation included residents of the United States as well as other countries. A subset of these data consisting entirely of pet dogs whose owners reported obtaining them either from breeders (n = 3,657) or pet stores (413) was used for analysis. Breeder-obtained dogs were selected as the comparison group for the following reasons: age at the time of acquisition would most closely match pet store-obtained dogs; for the most part, breeder-obtained dogs are purebred as are those from pet stores; and the life history of the dog prior to purchase in breeder-obtained puppies is relatively standardized, thereby reducing the amount of environmental variability among the dogs of this group. These assumptions apply to the United States and may have less validity in other countries.

Statistical analysis—Two-level hierarchical linear or logistic regression models were used to analyze the data on behavioral measures. The outcome variables (attachment and attention seeking, chasing, trainability, excitability, and energy) in the hierarchic linear model were treated as normally distributed continuous variables. All other behavioral variables were dichotomized (eg, 0 or > 0) because they were typically highly skewed and it was impossible to identify a suitable transformation method to normalize their distribution. These were analyzed with 2-level mixed logistic models. Both types of model aimed to assess the relationship between source of acquisition (eg, pet store vs breeder) and behavior while controlling for various confounding variables (other dogs in household, working or recreational roles, sex, and body weight) or intervening variables (neutered vs sexually intact and age at the time of evaluation). All possible 2-way interactions between source of acquisition and confounding and intervening variables were explored and accounted for in the modeling process. Nonsignificant confounding and intervening variables and interaction effects were removed from the
model. Breed was also included in both models as a random effect to account for clustering of dogs at the breed level. Linear and logistic models were fit via restricted and full maximum likelihood estimation procedures. The analysis was performed with statistical software17 by use of subject-specific models.2,4 For all comparisons, a value of P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

According to the results of the multiple regression analyses, dogs acquired from pet stores differed significantly from those acquired from breeders on 12 of 14 of the C-BARQ behavioral subscales. In no category did pet store dogs have a more desirable score than breeder dogs (Tables 1 and 2). The strongest effects were observed in relation to aggressive behavior. For example, sexually intact pet store dogs were 3 times as likely to have owner-directed aggression as were sexually intact dogs acquired from breeders, and pet store dogs were nearly twice as likely to have aggression toward unfamiliar dogs (dog-directed aggression). Pet store dogs were also 30% to 60% more likely to have stranger-directed aggression, aggression to other household dogs, fear of dogs and non-social stimuli, separation-related problems, and touch sensitivity. In addition, they were somewhat more excitable, energetic, and attention seeking and generally less trainable, although this was only true for dogs that did not participate in working or recreational activities. The only C-BARQ subscales that were not significantly different between pet store and breeder-derived dogs were chasing and stranger-directed fear. In addition, pet store–obtained dogs had a range of miscellaneous behavioral problems at significantly higher frequencies than did those acquired from breeders (e.g., escaping from the home, sexual mounting of people and objects, and most forms of house-soiling).

**Discussion**

Results of this study supported the view that dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores are more likely to develop behavioral problems as adults, compared with dogs obtained from NCBs. The retrospective nature of the data used in this analysis did not permit determinations of causality. However, there are several potential explanations for the differences between pet store and NCB dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Other variables controlled</th>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excitability</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.12 to 0.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.063 to 0.17</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chasing</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000 to 0.01</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment and attention seeking</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.12 to 0.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainability</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS—Not working dog</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>-0.26 to -0.13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS—Working dog</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.07 to 0.12</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PS = Acquired from pet store.
Other variables controlled were as follows: 1 = other dogs, 2 = dogs with working or recreational roles, 3 = sex, 4 = weight, 5 = neutered, 6 = age at time of evaluation (nonsignificant intervening variables [those variables that intervene in the relationship between variable and predictor] were removed from the analyses).

Table 2—Results of logistic regression models comparing behavioral variables in dogs obtained from pet stores versus dogs obtained from NCBs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Other variables controlled</th>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Separation-related behavior</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.19 to 2.11</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-directed aggression</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS—Neutered</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1.67 to 5.23</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS—Nurtured</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.16 to 2.06</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stranger-directed aggression</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.18 to 2.16</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-social fear</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.01 to 2.07</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog rivalry</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.05 to 1.74</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog-directed fear</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.03 to 1.71</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog-directed aggression</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.44 to 2.67</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touch sensitivity</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.18 to 2.11</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escapes from home or yard</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>1.75 to 8.33</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolls on odorous material</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.67 to 1.09</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coprophagia</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chews objects</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.84 to 1.35</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mounts objects or people</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.75</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinates against objects or furnishings</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.52 to 2.39</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submitive urination</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.13 to 2.07</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinates when left alone</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.52 to 1.52</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defecates when left alone</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.31 to 2.16</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Table 1 for key.
The formative stages of the puppy's life in the CBE are periods where stress may exert an impact on brain development. Although no studies on sources of stress in CBEs or their potential effects on the well-being of the dogs have been published, sources of stress have been investigated in dogs living in confinement in kennels, animal shelters, and laboratories. Similar stressors have been documented in the CBE environment, and it is therefore reasonable to suggest that the effects applied to the dogs in the present study, despite some differences in background, housing, and husbandry. Specific factors that have been determined to be associated with stress in dogs living in confined environments include spatial restriction, extreme temperatures, aversive interactions with kennel staff, lack of perceived control or the capacity to avoid or regulate exposure to aversive stimuli, and limited access to positive human and conspecific social interactions.

A recent study on the mental health of dogs formerly used as breeding stock in CBEs found severe and long-lasting adverse effects in dogs in this type of environment, offering evidence of the chronicity of stressors in CBEs. The stressors in the CBE environment may have acted at 2 stages of the developing puppies' lives: the prenatal period and the first 8 weeks after birth. A large body of research in humans and other animals has convincingly determined that prenatal stress (ie, stress experienced by a pregnant female) causes alterations to the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis of the developing fetus that may manifest later in life as an impaired ability to cope with stress, abnormal social behavior, and increased emotionality and fear-related behavior. All of these outcomes are consistent with the differences detected in pet store- versus NCB-obtained dogs (ie, increased aggression, fear of dogs and nonsocial stimuli, and excitement). Substantial evidence in humans and other animals indicates that stressful experiences in early life may have extensive and enduring effects with strong correlations to later development of behavioral abnormalities and psychopathologic abnormalities.

In dogs, Fox and Stelzner detected a short period at approximately 8 weeks of age when puppies are hypersensitive to distressing psychological or physical stimuli and during which a single unpleasant experience could result in long-term aversive or abnormal effects. Transport-related stress was suggested by both Mugford and Gaultier et al to be a potentially critical factor in the early lives of puppies from CBEs as they are shipped to pet stores throughout North America. Mugford, Serpell, and Jagoe and Bennett and Rohlf have each suggested that a reason for pet store and CBE puppies to have a high prevalence of behavioral problems later in life is inadequate early socialization. In addition, genetic influences may play a role in the differences between pet store and NCB dogs, because a genetic basis for behavioral traits in dogs is consistent with findings observed in dogs of the present study, including fear, aggression, emotional reactivity, and non-specific alterations in temperament and personality.

The reported differences in the 2 groups of dogs in the present study could be attributable to a number of owner-related factors. It is possible that people who buy puppies from pet stores may use different degrees or methods of training than people who buy puppies from an NCB. The importance of training in the development of problem behaviors was recently elucidated in the study of the relationship of potentially problematic behaviors with other variables. The researchers found that for the 5 behavioral subscales, the strongest predictor for scoring undesirably in 3 of the 5 subscales was the level of training the dog received. The present study did not attempt to collect demographic or background information on the dog owners; therefore, the degree to which such factors may have contributed to the findings could not be assessed. An additional owner-related consideration is that it is possible that people who buy puppies from pet stores simply report potentially problematic behaviors more readily than do others, irrespective of the dogs' actual behavior.

The data support the notion that dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores have substantial adverse behavioral differences, compared with dogs obtained from NCBs. Taken individually, however, the specific factors that differ between the 2 groups are not readily attributable to a single definitive explanation. For example, stranger-directed aggression may be attributable to inadequate socialization, maltreatment by humans, and genetic factors, and prenatal stress. Taken collectively, no single explanatory factor appears capable of accounting for the differences between the 2 groups. For example, although inadequate socialization may explain increased aggression, the most prominent emotional consequence of insufficient socialization is fear, and whereas aggression toward humans (owners and unfamiliar people) was increased, fear toward humans was not.

There were a number of limitations to the present study. The sample of dog owners was self-selected and therefore a potential source of bias. The question in the C-BARQ regarding the source of the dogs did not define breeder, leaving the participants to define the term for themselves. Accordingly, a breeder source could have indicated either type of NCB (hobby breeder or backyard breeder), and the level and type of care differ between the 2 types. These differences are presumably minor in comparison to the differences between NCBs and CBEs. It is also conceivable that the source of some dogs specified by the owner as breeder was a CBE; however, it is reasonable to conclude that this would be no overlap between breeder and pet store categories (ie, no owner with a dog coming from a pet store would select breeder as a source, and no owner with a dog coming from a breeder would select pet store as a source).

Results of the present study indicated that compared with dogs obtained as puppies from NCBs, dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores had significantly greater aggression toward human family members, unfamiliar people, and other dogs; fear of other dogs and nonsocial stimuli; separation-related problems; and urination and defecation problems in the home. On almost all behavioral variables measured, pet store dogs received less favorable scores than breeder-obtained dogs. The diversity of behavioral differences between pet store-obtained and breeder-obtained dogs suggests a multifactorial cause and, accordingly, a multifactorial approach to correction; however, the data did not permit determination of the specific contributory factors and the degree of influence they exerted. In addition,
because we did not compare the 2 groups of dogs in this study with other sources of dogs, the results should not be interpreted as an endorsement of any particular source of dogs. On the basis of these findings combined with earlier findings regarding pet store–obtained dogs, until the causes of the unfavorable differences detected in this group of dogs can be specifically identified and remedied, we cannot recommend that puppies be obtained from pet stores.
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