

Dear Sirs

I must ask you, are you prepared to make me a felon because I possess a magazine that holds eleven rounds of ammunition? Connecticut already has some of the most stringent gun control laws in the country. Connecticut is rated by the Brady group as being in the top five states with the toughest gun control laws. Many of today's modern firearms do not have magazines that will satisfy the law as proposed today. If this law passes as it is written, most if not all of Connecticut's gun owners will become instant felons. How is that possible when we have committed no crime? The only law we will have broken is that we own a firearm magazine that holds eleven rounds. One does not even need to own a firearm; the mere possession of a magazine that holds eleven rounds of ammunition is all that it will take to become a felon. Why are you seeking to punish us? As a result of the hysteria, every store that sells firearms and ammunition is basically out of inventory, not just in Connecticut but all across America. Why, because American citizens are afraid of what lawmakers are trying to do. There is an agenda and we do not support it. Federal and state legislatures are dividing our state and country farther apart by seeking to demonize gun owners because we disagree with your solutions. This law will create a black market.

If these Bills become law, is Connecticut financially ready for the lawsuits that may result? The state is \$420 million dollars in debt, shouldn't our legislature and governor focus on that problem rather than trying to make over 100,000 law abiding gun owners instant felons? Is the state trying to drive out lawful business such as Colt, Ruger and many others? Quite a few of these manufacturers have said they would leave the state if this law is passed? Can we afford the loss of industry and tax revenue? **We are prepared to fight this and exhaust every legal option.**

Most if not all of the top law enforcement officials have concluded that no law would have or could have prevented what happened in Newtown. The only thing that may have made a difference in the outcome that day would have been to have armed security or police there to protect our children. Why are our

legislature and Representatives so opposed to the idea of having a police officer or armed security at our schools? As a teacher, I am all in favor of having either armed security or a police officer at school. Five minutes is too long to wait in a time of crisis. We need a good guy on duty to protect us from the bad guys. The only reason I feel safe while on the job is because my school has a police substation across the street. Lockdowns do very little to protect our children and teachers. In effect, a lockdown becomes a deadly game of hide and seek. **We hide and hope that help gets there fast enough.**

What we need are stronger mental health services where people in need can go and receive help. We need more effective interventions, counseling and treatment services. We can no longer say it's too expensive. We need to treat the illness and not the symptoms of illness.

The laws that are being talked about today will do nothing but punish law abiding citizens. **The FBI and Department of Justice study concluded that the assault weapons ban from 1994 to 2004 had no impact on violent crime or firearms usage in the commission of a violent crime.** These laws will result in legal challenges that may end up costing the state financially. I urge you all to work with Connecticut's pro firearms groups and come up with a better solution. Irrational and reactionary legislation that has been hastily written, not thought out or debated will do little to decrease gun violence. Criminals and those intent on doing evil will not stop simply because you passed another "feel good" law. "One of the greatest delusions to the world is the hope that the evils of this world will be cured through legislation"

Thank you

Stephen Reisinger



New York State Sheriffs' Association, Inc.

27 Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207
Phone: (518) 434-9091 Fax: (518) 434-9093
E-mail: sheriff@nysheriffs.org

Peter R. Kehoe, Executive Director
Thomas A. Mitchell, Counsel

OFFICERS

Donald B. Smith, President
Putnam County
Patrick M. O'Flynn, 1st Vice President
Monroe County
Christopher J. Moss, 2nd Vice President
Chemung County
Jack S. Stenberg, 3rd Vice President
Seneca County

Christopher Farber, Secretary
Herkimer County
James Voulor, Treasurer
Niagara County
Timothy S. Whitcomb, Sgt-at-Arms
Cattaraugus County

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

John M. York, Chairman
Livingston County
Gary T. Maha
Genesee County
Kevin E. Walsh
Onondaga County
Carl E. DuBois
Orange County
Rosal A. Todd
Oswego County
Jack Mahar
Rensselaer County
Nathan "Bud" York
Warren County

TRUSTEES

Joseph A. Gerace
Chautauque County
Michael J. Amato
Montgomery County
Barry Virts
Wayne County

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

David Harrison, Jr., Chairman
Columbia County
David E. Harder, Vice Chairman
Broome County
Christopher J. Moss
Chemung County
Emiel Cutting
Chenango County
Thomas E. Mills
Delaware County
Adrian H. Anderson
Dutchess County
Timothy B. Howard
Erie County
Thomas J. Lorey
Fulton County
Patrick M. O'Flynn
Monroe County
Robert M. Meckel
Onondaga County
Donald B. Smith
Putnam County
Vincent F. DeMarco
Suffolk County
Michael A. Schiff
Sullivan County
Nathan "Bud" York
Warren County
Barry Virts
Wayne County
Farris S. Helmann
Wyoming County
Ronald G. Spike
Yates County

January 24, 2013

The Honorable Andrew M. Cuomo
Governor of New York State
NYS State Capitol Building
Albany, NY 12224

Dear Governor Cuomo:

Since enactment of the NY SAFE Act the Sheriffs of New York have been carefully reviewing its numerous provisions. This week, 52 of the 58 Sheriffs met in conference to further consider the law and to formulate the formal position of the Association. That position statement is attached.

There are a number of provisions in the law which our Association finds to be helpful to law enforcement and to our citizens. Those are pointed out in our position statement. There are also a number of provisions which cause us concern, and which we think should be revisited. Those we also point out in the position statement.

We commend you for your willingness to take on this difficult and complex problem. Because it is so complex it does not admit of an obvious or simple solution. Many of the provisions of NY SAFE Act contribute toward a solution. We hope that you will recognize that our comments and suggestions are offered, also, as a contribution toward a solution to the problem.

We pledge to continue to work with you and the Legislature as revisions and additions to the NY SAFE Act are considered

Very truly yours,

Donald B. Smith
President
Legislative Committee Chairman



Your Sheriff: The People's Choice for Public Safety

Sheriffs' Response to NY SAFE Act

Following passage of the SAFE Act by the State Legislature and approval by the Governor, the Sheriffs now have had the opportunity to review the language of the new law and wish to make our comments available. The Sheriffs of New York state support many of the provisions of the SAFE Act, and believe that they will enhance public safety and help to shield citizens from gun violence. However, there are also some parts of this new law that need clarification, and some that we think should be reconsidered and modified to meet the concerns of the law enforcement community and the public at large.

We have identified the following six provisions of the new law which we believe are helpful and will increase the safety of our citizens. These include:

- **Restriction on FOIL requests about pistol permit holders.** By granting citizens the option of having their names and addresses withheld from public disclosure, the new law does provide a mechanism to allow people to decide for themselves whether their personal information should be accessible to the public. We believe, however, that no one should have to explain why their personal information should remain confidential. A better procedure, we believe, is simply to exempt all this personal information from FOIL disclosure.
- **Killing of emergency first responders.** The new law makes killing of emergency first responders aggravated or first degree murder, enhancing penalties for this crime and requiring life without parole. First responders need this protection, evidenced all too often by attacks on them when they attempt to provide help, and in special recognition of the terrible attacks on two firefighters in Webster, NY and attacks on first responders in Jefferson County.
- **Requirement of NICS checks for private sales (except between immediate family).** We believe that this will ensure that responsible citizens will still be able to obtain legal firearms through private transactions, with the added assurance that private buyers are approved by the federal National Instant Criminal Background Check System. We remain concerned that this provision will be very difficult to enforce and will likely only affect law abiding citizens.
- **Comprehensive review of mental health records before firearms permits are granted and review of records to determine if revocation of permits is required.** Sheriffs believe that there is an urgent need to increase funding for mental health care. The

new law imposes reporting requirements on many mental health care professionals and others who may make a determination that a person is a danger to himself or others. The law further gives needed authority to courts or others who issue firearms permits to deny permit applications or to revoke permits already issued. We believe that this issue demands a much more full and detailed discussion about how to keep guns out of the hands of such people. The Sheriffs of New York want to pursue these issues with the Governor and the State Legislature.

- **Safe storage of firearms.** The new law provides that guns must be safely stored if the owner lives with someone who has been convicted of a felony or domestic violence crime, has been involuntarily committed, or is currently under an order of protection. We agree that firearms owners should have the responsibility to make sure that their weapons are safeguarded against use or access by prohibited persons, and the new law adds these protections to ensure that weapons are safely and securely stored.
- **Increased penalties for illegal use of weapons.** The new law adds several increased sanctions for violation of New York gun laws and creates new gun crimes which did not previously exist. These new provisions will provide added tools for law enforcement to prosecute such crimes. We further believe that the new provisions should help deter future misuse of firearms. We also suggest that the legislature consider limitations on plea bargaining for all gun crimes.

We have reviewed other provisions of the new law, and strongly believe that modifications are needed to clarify the intent of some of these new provisions and that revisions are needed to allow Sheriffs to properly enforce the law in their counties.

- **Assault weapon ban and definition of assault weapons.** We believe that the new definition of assault weapons is too broad, and prevents the possession of many weapons that are legitimately used for hunting, target shooting and self defense. Classifying firearms as assault weapons because of one arbitrary feature effectively deprives people the right to possess firearms which have never before been designated as assault weapons. We are convinced that only law abiding gun owners will be affected by these new provisions, while criminals will still have and use whatever weapons they want.
- **Inspection of schools by state agencies.** The new law transfers to state agencies the responsibility to review school safety plans. We expect that funding will be transferred to these state agencies to implement safety proposals. Sheriffs and local police provide

this service in all parts of the state and can perform these duties efficiently. As the chief law enforcement officer of the county, Sheriffs are in the best position to know the security needs of schools in their own counties, and the state should help to fund these existing efforts by Sheriffs and local police departments to keep our schools safe. Because Sheriffs and local police are already deeply involved with school safety plans, have developed emergency response plans, and are familiar with structural layouts of schools in their counties, they should be included along with state counterparts in any effort to review school safety plans.

- **Reduction of ammunition magazine capacity.** The new law enacts reductions in the maximum capacity of gun magazines. We believe based on our years of law enforcement experience that this will not reduce gun violence. The new law will unfairly limit the ability of law-abiding citizens to purchase firearms in New York. It bears repeating that it is our belief that the reduction of magazine capacity will not make New Yorkers or our communities safer.
- **Five year recertification of pistol permit status and registration of existing assault weapons.** The new law delegates to the State Police the duty to solicit and receive updated personal information of permit holders every five years in order to maintain these permits. Further, the law requires owners of certain existing firearms now classified as assault weapons to register these with the State Police within one year. The recertification and registration conflict with Sheriffs' duties regarding issuance of pistol permits. All records should be maintained at the local, and not the state level. This information should be accessible to those who are responsible for initial investigation of permit applications. Pistol permit information should be maintained in one file at the local level, and forwarded to a statewide database for law enforcement use. It bears repeating that it is our belief that pistol permit and any registration information required by the law should be confidential and protected from FOIL disclosure.
- **Sale of ammunition.** The new law imposes several new provisions regarding how, and from whom, ammunition can be lawfully purchased. The law should be clarified about the use of the Internet as a vehicle for these sales, out-of-state sales to New York residents, and other issues. Businesses have said that they do not understand the new provisions and are concerned that they will have to cease operations.
- **Law enforcement exemptions must be clarified.** The new law has many provisions that might apply to law enforcement officers and there has been much confusion about whether existing law enforcement exemptions continue to apply. We understand that

the Governor and Legislature have already agreed to review and modify these provisions where necessary, and the Sheriffs want to be part of the discussion to make the changes effective. Additionally, the exemptions should apply to retired police and peace officers, and to others in the employ of the Sheriff and other police agencies who perform security duties at public facilities and events.

- **Method of bill passage.** It is the view of the Sheriffs' Association that anytime government decides it is necessary or desirable to test the boundaries of a constitutional right that it should only be done with caution and with great respect for those constitutional boundaries. Further, it should only be done if the benefit to be gained is so great and certain that it far outweighs the damage done by the constriction of individual liberty. While many of the provisions of the new law have surface appeal, it is far from certain that all, or even many, of them will have any significant effect in reducing gun violence, which is the presumed goal of all of us. Unfortunately the process used in adoption of this act did not permit the mature development of the arguments on either side of the debate, and thus many of the stakeholders in this important issue are left feeling ignored by their government. Even those thrilled with the passage of this legislation should be concerned about the process used to secure its passage, for the next time they may find themselves the victim of that same process. Fortunately, the Governor has shown himself open to working with interested parties to address some of the problems that arose due to the hasty enactment of this law. We will work with the Governor and the Legislature on these issues.
- **Sheriffs understand their Constitutional obligations and the concerns of constituents**
Sheriffs and other law enforcement officers are not called upon by this new legislation to go door-to-door to confiscate any weapons newly classified as assault weapons, and will not do so.

Sheriffs represent all the people, and we take an oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of New York. Sheriffs will continue to enforce all laws of the state and will protect the rights of all citizens, including those rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of New York.