

Dear sirs or madams ,

Proposed gun legislation now pending in Connecticut do nothing to control gun violence in Connecticut. Two of my personal rifles will be considered "assault" rifles due only to specifications which have had no effect in any of the tragedies and recent events. To be considered an assault rifle under the new proposed standards a rifle must have on of the following attributes in addition to a detachable magazine; grenade launcher(yeah those kill a lot of people each year), bayonet lug(how many bayonet murders have occurred in the past hundred years?), a removable flash hider(huh? How deadly is that?), a moveable stock(you figure it out, and get back to me how much difference that makes!), a pistol grip(ahhh! Maybe that's the one thing that changes it, oops no it doesn't! It doesn't make the gun shoot any different!). There are no caliber specific or gun action details that effect the deadliness of any gun, especially the ones in question. My daughters gun, a Ruger 10/22 can perform exactly as the gun at sandy hook did. But you say that Adam Lanzas gun was a higher caliber rifle, and to that I say exactly! The new laws being proposed say nothing about caliber. What is being proposed to be banned is a completely asinine group of irrelevant features like bayonet lugs and grenade launchers. How can this be justified?

As I said previously two of my rifles would immediately be deemed "assault " rifles, yet all I have to do is remove the pistol grips from them to make them legal under the new legislation. The guns would be no less lethal, just no pistol grip. Whew! Dodged that bullet, no pun intended.

Take the time to educate yourselves before you make the judgement. Please.

Thank you,

Scott lee woodruff.

Sent from my iPhone