

We already have an assault weapons ban in CT—how will banning arbitrary features make any difference on crime?

Limiting magazine capacities only compromises my ability to defend myself and is not based on any empirical evidence. How did ten (10) rounds become a magic number? What evidence is there to support that 10, 15, 20, etc make any difference? Moreover, limited ALL magazine capacities to 10 rounds automatically makes many pistols inoperable—ten round magazines simply don't exist for many models. Glock 19, for example, comes with a standard 15 round magazine that fits completely within the grip, not protruding in any way from below the gun.

Criminal enforcement, yes.

Background checks—no problem.

I am deeply troubled that the Governor, in his effort to start his presidential campaign, wants to by-pass the democratic process and simply institute what he deems to be “common sense.” This is the essence of tyranny. He seems to think he knows better.

I strongly oppose any measure that does not received full public debate, with legislators who are willing to evaluate evidence rather than feel that must do “something” even when they know it will have no impact on the problem. How can any measure be seriously considered before we receive all the findings from the Lanza investigation, since this horrible incident is presumably what has prompted your efforts.

I vote and will never again support any candidate who infringes on my second amendment rights.