

Good Morning:

While I am not in favor of banning firearms or gun control, I understand that the option of retaining the status-quo may not be viable any longer in today's political climate. That is why, I am concerned that any recommended legislation at least be drafted with consistency of purpose and a knowledge of firearms that would preclude unintended results, such as the quickly passed legislation in the State of New York that not only inadvertently banned weapons based upon the 7 round capacity limit but also failed to exempt the police.

I would also urge that the discussion be bounded to enable reasonable informed debate that precludes scope expansion and tangential debate.

Therefore I am strongly recommending that the task force adopt the current Feinstein legislative proposal as a starting point for the any discussions regarding gun control. This proposal is well written, and appears to have been drafted by persons with a good working knowledge of firearms. It at least provides a consistent theme throughout and accommodates sporting weapons. I think this bill with its consistent set of boundaries that would then allow a debate that does not go from the sublime to the ridiculous.

I strongly urge the Connecticut Gun Violence task force to use the Feinstein proposal as a starting and limiting point for their discussions and debate. By so doing the debate can stay focused on a particular set of goals and objectives and the discussion would be bounded by a well written and consistent focused set of parameters.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely

Richard M. Golden
16 Birchwood Drive
Uncasville, CT 06382
860-884-2031