

CT.Task Force,

I just want to give you my input on the gun issue. I don't believe you are representing the people that elected you as I believe infringing on the rights of individuals as to what they own for protection is wrong. Enforcement as to what is on the books and mental health issues is what I believe is more beneficial than trying to make felons out of law abiding citizens. Although I don't own any of the sporterized guns you believe are assault rifles, I don't think they should be banned. Magazines of high capacity above 10 also should not be banned as stock pistols come with the higher capacity. For protection you might need more than 10 as to why one would be purchased. Multiple attackers would require this. If this proposal does go through then there should be no exceptions for anyone including law enforcement because anyway you try to justify it for them can be justified for the individual.

As a point to make about this, law enforcement practices and they have to qualify on a regular basis so they should actually need fewer rounds in their guns or they could also carry additional magazines. Even as we talk about these issues the federal government has ordered AK style weapons for homeland security and they were ordered as self protection with selective fire (selective fire is a full automatic not allowed for civilian use). In closing remember you are sent to office to represent Connecticut, not push personal beliefs.

Thank you,
Peter Krol
153 Chestnut Hill
Stafford Springs, Ct. 06076
860-684-3259
petek153@yahoo.com