

01/25/2013

To: Bipartisan Task Force on Gun Violence, Senators and State Representatives

Subject: SB 122 - AN ACT CONCERNING RESTRICTIONS ON GUN USE.

I do not agree with the entire contents of, or purpose of this bill.

For a person to attempt to protect their life or the lives of their families from an attacker, or multiple attackers with a firearm that is capable of holding and firing only one round would put the person at a severe disadvantage.

How can this law be considered beneficial to public safety? Criminals are not going to obey this law any more than they obey current laws. This bill will only affect the law abiding citizen.

From a sporting point of view, this would severely limit a person's ability to enjoy shooting sports such as bird and wild game hunting, skeet shooting and other sport hunting that requires multiple shots for effective results.

The result of this bill could also cause cruel and inhumane conditions. This would occur if the wild game target was not taken down and killed by the first shot and only wounded, then escaped before an additional shot(s) could be fired. Additional reloading time could cause many such instances. Unnecessary suffering for the wild game target would be the result.

This bill should not be passed.

Sincerely;  
Michael Faucher



475 Liberty Hwy  
Putnam, CT 06260  
860-428-5049