

To Whom It May Concern,

Consider the following scenario, which may be as an unlikely event as was the Sandy Hook School Tragedy but in fact did occur: A set of criminals (A, B, C, and D) plan and burglarize a gun store acquiring numerous pistols, revolvers, and various types of long guns as well as large quantities of ammunition and accessories. Criminal A was tasked to organize a meeting with a second set of criminals who had agreed to buy the stolen goods. Unwittingly, Criminal A places a phone call to your voice mail/message answering system, which does not record the verbal notification, leaving a message to the effect that the stolen guns must be transported by Criminals B, C, and D to Site X at a specified date and time certain.

As a responsible citizen you inform law enforcement. Unfortunately, under existing CT statutes §52-570d and §52-184a, the recorded message was illegally obtained and would likely be adjudicated as inadmissible. Additionally, it is also likely that the "fruit of poisonous tree" doctrine would apply.

The attached file: CY13-Modernize 52-570d at §§(c)(8)(10) cures the existing statutory deficiency.

Of note, during the 2011 legislative session a similar proposal, SB 1149, was unanimously passed but the House failed to act and during the 2012 legislative session HB 5503 was raised by the Judiciary Committee (JUD) but the House again failed to act. For the 2013 legislative session, Senator Fonfara has referred the files CY13-Modernize 52-570d and Rationale-to-Ament-52-570d-to-JudiciaryCommittee to the JUD.

I request that the Gun Violence Prevention Working Group (GVPWG) incorporate the language as proposed in the attachment, CY13-Modernize 52-570d, that amends §52-570d into the legislation set out by the (GVPWG).

Respectfully submitted, Lawrence S. Jezouit (860) 953-3909