

The “assault weapon” made no difference.

The “high capacity magazines” made no difference. Magazine changes take 5 seconds.

If Lanza used the shotgun or the pistols the result would be the same.

911 could not respond fast enough. He only needed 10 minutes.

The CT gun laws worked. He could not legally buy the guns.

He murdered the gun owner and stole the guns and the ammo. This is already illegal.

The inescapable truth is that once Lanza decided to kill, he could have used many methods. A car full of fertilizer, gasoline and a road flare for ignition and driven through the door for instance. It’s all on the Internet and even in the media. These people are remarkably creative and the stuff to make their devices are common.

None of the proposed gun control bills will impact the criminal or the mental case. They are aimed at restrictions, cost and harassment of honest, legal gun owners. Motivations vary from honest concern to ignorance of the facts to pure politics.

First get the violent criminals back in jail and stop letting them loose on a cheap cost cutting move. Then enforce mandatory sentencing for illegal gun possession and use. This is mostly ignored, even on the federal level. There are closed prisons available.

Reopen at least one mental institution and change the laws to identify and confine the cases that cannot function in society. Get them off the street and in proper care.

There is no apparent history of “assault type weapons” having any widespread use in crime. Studies after the Virginia shooting concluded that high cap magazines likely had little if any effect on the crime. What is the basis to act? And why the rush?

Has the Office of Legislative Research analyzed the effect of the “assault weapons” ban to see if expanding it has any relevance? Or if there is any national research that supports such further restrictions on legal gun ownership? OLR inclusion should be required.

Give every proposal the sniff test: Is it based on supportable evidence and experience or is it opinion, emotion, sensationalism, or naked politics?

I oppose any further restriction on the constitutional right of honest people to own firearms. This state has enough gun laws already. The criminals and mental cases just ignore them.

HOW MANY SANDY HOOK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL KIDS MIGHT HAVE BEEN SAVED IF A TEACHER HAD A GUN?

Joseph Tampellini
102 Curtiss Street
Naugatuck ct 06770