

I am a life long, law abiding gun owner. I don't own an "assault weapon" and I don't belong to the NRA nor do I agree with some of their views. The people who provided me with this web address, I'm sure, would not be happy with my views; although, I do believe they represent the silent majority of gun owners in Connecticut.

Yes, I want to tighten the process for gun ownership not only in Connecticut but nationwide.

Yes, I would like to see registration extended to all guns including private sale of long guns.

Yes, I agree with not only a ban on, but outlawing high capacity clips. They are not needed for hunting and most northeastern states restrict hunters to 3-5 shot maximum capacity depending on where and what they are hunting.

Yes, I agree on back ground checks to purchase ammo.

Most modern semi automatic pistols have a clip capacity of about 10, I'm okay with that but not much more.

Where I have a problem is with some of the proposals that are in committee: Guns that only hold one shot; a 50% tax on ammo, an overall ban on "assault weapons" (please define assault weapon). Restricting the amount of ammo that may be purchased at a time (I need to hear more about that one). I'm an avid trap shooter and go through 100-200 rounds per week so buying 1,000-2,000 rounds of trap ammo when it's on sale does not seem unreasonable to me. To those who don't understand the sport it may. It's these types of extreme left wing proposals that make me take pause and wonder if I should be supporting the NRA and extreme right wing.

I'm sure that the answer can be found somewhere in between the extremes. Bear in mind that Connecticut gun laws are already some of the toughest in the nation.

James F Mazzei  
Middletown, Ct.