

Please find attached new comprehensive US Firearm Murder Statistics 1960-2012 which is compiled from a number of CITED sources.

It represents EVERYTHING I could find in 'US DOJ BCS', 'Mother Jones', 'Wikipedia' and other sources.

A Citation Page is included.

I am a certified US Social Security Admin. and CT DMV Permanently Disabled Person. I can not viably attend the meeting on the 28th of January 2013 because of my Disability. Here is my written text and Testimony:

To: CT Gun Violence Prevention and Children's Safety Committee- 25 JAN 2013

From: Gregory M. Eads (gregory.eads@gmail.com) ; Kent, Ct 06757-0649

We gather here [today] in remembrance, of many who have gone before, some recent,

some of the longer past. My dear mother, presided over one of the hallowed Chambers here, some 15 years ago; in name: M. Adela "Dell" Eads, former Senate President pro Tempore. Her sense of this issue before us was simply to enforce the many, many laws already in force.

We, hopefully, gather here to assess facts, to understand the unexplainable, and with the greatest deliberation, formulate solutions with real world effect, and shall not impose completely unenforceable, unmanageable and draconian 'window-dressing'.

Fortunately, mass shooting events are, in fact, extremely rare, given some 314 million people in the US. Rarest of all, in the extreme, are mass shooting events where a so-called 'assault weapon' is actually USED. SO Rare that neither the FBI's national database - nor CT State Police's extensive archives - report(s) their use - at ALL !!

From a criminals' practical experience, it is far easier to remain undiscovered until the last moment - by employing (smaller) handguns. This is borne out in many decades of FBI statistics, wherein handguns are used in 65-68% of all murders. For that matter, Murders are now at the lowest rate of US incidence since the 1962-1963 record period !!

No veil of legitimacy can be given to any legislation unless it has been scientifically and statistically validated relative to a repeated series of identical real world events in CT specifically using the firearms and accessories cited in this (near instantly) proposed legislation. For the greater purposes of our citizens and true safety, laws shall be driven by FACTS, not mere emotional hyperbole, grandstanding or other deviousness.

In terms of effective firearms law enforcement, the Ct State Capitol Grounds are a near perfect model. Thus, the desired effect HAS been achieved in CT ALREADY. What should be on the agenda is how to efficiently make this current success a statewide standard. Gun and related bans will NEVER achieve THAT level of security !

The resultant crushing tens of millions dollar deficit created by gun bans unintended consequences should much better put forward to actual existing gun law enforcement.

Indeed, it is possible to, if new laws as proposed were strictly enforced, to achieve a very deadly police state environment wherein doors of thousands homes will be blown up, and over-crowded prisons will be presented with tens of thousands of newly minted political remandees with millions of years of sentences aggregate – our friends and neighbors.

I would also suggest various architectural retrofits, such as a bullet resistant (metal – polycarbonate- 6” wide window panel(s), inner/outer door Entry Vestibules with a magnetometer-triggered DUAL DOOR LOCKS. Additionally, psychotropic drugs may also have rare, unintended suicidal/homicidal characteristics.

Many ordinary items – such as large propane tanks, gasoline and speeding cars – can be used to commit horrific mass murders. Gun bans may actually incentivize the insane to use far more deadly means of attacks !

Were we to want to ANNUALLY save 10,000 or more American lives, we could legislate that automobiles SHALL be capable of no more than 40 MPH top speed !

Of course, no one NEEDS to go faster than THAT !!

As a very-well trained advanced firearms instructor, I can assure you that the firearms in question are quite perfect for your loved ones, our grandparents or women defending children during a multiple-perpetrator Home Invasion, as was seen in Cheshire, and elsewhere. A modern, truly effective defense of self and others requires the best that one can get !!! Defenders are intrinsically at considerable disadvantages, and modern self defense rescue tools can well mitigate those disadvantages.

CT already has nearly the most restrictive gun laws in the United States. CT HAS an ‘assault weapon’ ban. Were we to, for further political and utopian expediencies, defile the State and U.S. Constitution with endless morasses of hysterically-driven, reactionary, arbitrary and factually capricious gun laws, we may edge endlessly towards a police state, a society truly at war with itself, and the moniker of ‘the Constitution State’ will be nothing but a cruel joke.

If the State does indeed – in it’s deeds – wish to disarm its citizens, will it not be so hypocritical as to hide behind the doctrine of ‘Sovereign Immunity’ from lawsuits pertaining to “Failure-to-Protect” same ???

Very Truly Yours,

Gregory M. Eads (tel: [475 529 6524](tel:4755296524))