

In regards to school security (or lack there-of), why is it that a gun free zone has zero means of defending itself? I find it odd that courts, federal buildings, state houses are also gun free zones and yet have armed security and metal detectors. By setting up a gun free zone with no means to defend itself are we not creating the perfect target for a lunatic bent on taking out as many people as they can? Are we not setting up the perfect terrorist target?

Is it that it costs too much? Are not children deserving of the same protection as our politicians and government workers? Perhaps this is the reason the focus has so heavily been on enacting meaningless anti-gun legislation that has already been proven to have no beneficial impact on reducing violent crime per the 2004 Department of Justice study (Document # 204431). This was further substantiated by no increase in violent crime when the bill lapsed on the federal level.

I urge you to enact legislation which would enhance school security bringing them to the same level as other gun free zones. It's time to put your money where your mouth is and enact meaningful legislation.

Christopher Moore  
5 Edgewood Rd  
Ellington, CT 06029