

From the reporters I received about the hearing it seems that some of the members of Bipartisan Task Force on Gun Violence Prevention and Children's Safety appear to have their own political agenda or some ones. It has been reported by some of the people there that two members state twice "When we pass these laws" then corrected their statements to "if we pass these laws". If this is the case then the two members should be removed from this Bipartisan Task Force on Gun Violence Prevention and Children's Safety. Please, investigate this report. I do not think it is not in the best interest of the people of this state for killings at the Sandy Hook Elementary School to be used as political agendas.

That a State of Connecticut would view the over 3 million owner's lawful gun owning citizens of this state in this manner is disgraceful. It is time the government stop discrimination against those of us that target shoot and Hunt. These bills display a level of discrimination only rivaled by this country's dark history prior to the Civil Rights Movement. It is a direct assault on the rights guaranteed by Second Amendment and validated by the US Supreme Court. Under no circumstances can this biased draconian bill be considered as anything other than a malicious assault, discriminating against lawful gun ownership. These bills have no legitimacy in curbing crime and should have absolutely no support here in the state of Connecticut.

About 2000 children a year are killed by cars but the state is not trying to ban cars. It is about time the state of Connecticut used some common sense. Violent criminals and psychopaths aren't going away, and no law will prevent them from committing murder in the future, they are not going to registration of every firearm. The police are aware of this which is the reason they use magazines that hold 10 rounds or more. If you block the average person from having the same stopping power as the police then you endanger these people's lives. The police cannot be everywhere and most people cannot have their body guards like some of the government officials have.

It is time to improve the NIX system (instant check system), open up the state mental health hospital that were closed to save money, get rid of No gun zones that only give the psychopaths a place they know they are safe and stop adding unless new gun laws and enforce the existing gun laws. Teachers should carry the new type of pepper spray, which is very effective, trained how to use a taser guns and some trained in gun use.

The following information is not mine but from the US government and Homeland Security: According to Homeland Security, a Personal Defense Weapon is an M-4. In civilian hands that would be an AR-15. Except for full auto fire, every feature of a government defined Personal Defense Weapon is an AR-15. You can read the [Personal Defense Weapons Solicitation](#) yourself on an official US GOV website. In summary, according to our government, a personal defense weapon is a 5.56x45mm NATO, select-fire firearm suitable for personal defense use in close quarters and/or when maximum concealment is required. Interestingly, the official solicitation does not refer to an assault rifle. Nor do they call it a killing machine. Nowhere is the term weapon of war. The document is pretty straight up. They need some guns suited for self defense. Wow. The Department of Homeland Security does not call an M-4 a military weapon. Why you ask? Because border and immigration officers are not soldiers actively moving through the countryside taking land and killing the enemy. Yet a rifle that is traditionally thought to be a

war weapon is being requisitioned for self defense. The personal self defense rifle of choice is apparently the civilian AR-15.