



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 104

February Session, 2024

Substitute House Bill No. 5269

House of Representatives, March 25, 2024

The Committee on Labor and Public Employees reported through REP. SANCHEZ, E. of the 24th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING NONCOMPETE AGREEMENTS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2024*) As used in this section and
2 sections 2 to 6, inclusive, of this act:

3 (1) "Annualized monetary compensation" means (A) wages earned
4 over the course of the prior calendar year, or portion thereof, for which
5 the employee was employed annualized based on the period of
6 employment and calculated as of (i) the date that enforcement of the
7 covenant not to compete is sought, or (ii) the date of separation from
8 employment, whichever is earlier, and (B) payments made to
9 independent contractors based on services rendered annualized based
10 on the period during which the independent contractor provided
11 services and calculated as of (i) the date that enforcement of the
12 covenant not to compete is sought, or (ii) the date of separation from
13 employment, whichever is earlier;

14 (2) "Base salary and benefits" means (A) wages earned over the course
15 of the prior calendar year, excluding any overtime or bonus
16 compensation, and (B) health insurance benefits and other fringe
17 benefits received by an employee over the course of the prior calendar
18 year;

19 (3) "Covenant not to compete" means a contract, provision or other
20 agreement entered into, amended, extended or renewed on or after July
21 1, 2024, that restrains a worker from, or imposes penalties on a worker
22 for, engaging in any lawful profession, occupation, trade, calling or
23 business of any kind in any geographic area of the state, for any period
24 of time after separation from employment. "Covenant not to compete"
25 does not mean (A) a nonsolicitation agreement, provided such
26 agreement (i) does not restrict a worker's activities for more than one
27 year, and (ii) is no more restrictive than necessary in duration,
28 geographic scope, type of work and type of employer, (B) a
29 nondisclosure or confidentiality agreement, (C) a contract, contract
30 provision or other agreement in which an employee agrees to not
31 reapply for employment with an employer after being terminated by
32 such employer, (D) any covenant not to compete, described in sections
33 20-14p, 20-670 and 31-50b of the general statutes, or (E) any contract,
34 contract provision or other agreement made either (i) in anticipation of
35 a sale of the goodwill of a business or all of the seller's ownership
36 interest in a business, or (ii) as part of a partnership or ownership
37 agreement;

38 (4) "Employee" means any individual employed or permitted to work
39 by an employer;

40 (5) "Employer" has the same meaning as provided in section 31-71a
41 of the general statutes;

42 (6) "Exclusivity agreement" means a contract, contract provision or
43 other agreement entered into, amended, extended or renewed on or
44 after July 1, 2024, that restrains a worker from, or imposes a penalty on
45 a worker for, being simultaneously employed by the employer and
46 another employer, working as an independent contractor or being self-

47 employed;

48 (7) "Exempt employee" means any employee not included in the
49 definition of "employee" in section 31-58 of the general statutes;

50 (8) "Hourly wage" means, in the case of an hourly employee, such
51 employee's wages calculated on an hourly basis or, for any other
52 employee, annualized monetary compensation converted to an hourly
53 rate by dividing such monetary compensation by two thousand eighty;

54 (9) "Legitimate business interest" means an interest in (A) the
55 protection of trade secrets or confidential information that does not
56 qualify as a trade secret, or (B) preserving established goodwill with the
57 employer's customers;

58 (10) "Minimum fair wage" has the same meaning as provided in
59 section 31-58 of the general statutes;

60 (11) "Nonsolicitation agreement" means (A) a contract, contract
61 provision or other agreement between an employer and an employee
62 that prohibits such employee, upon separation of employment, from
63 soliciting (i) any employee of such employer to leave the employer, or
64 (ii) any customer of such employer to cease or reduce the extent to which
65 such customer is doing business with the employer, or (B) a contract,
66 contract provision or other agreement between an employer and a
67 customer of such employer that prohibits such customer from soliciting
68 an employee of such employer to cease or reduce the extent to which
69 such employee is doing business with the employer;

70 (12) "Separation from employment" means the date on which an
71 employment relationship terminates between an employer or contractor
72 and a worker;

73 (13) "Wages" has the same meaning as provided in section 31-58 of
74 the general statutes; and

75 (14) "Worker" means an employee or an independent contractor.

76 Sec. 2. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2024*) (a) No covenant not to compete
77 shall be enforceable against a worker unless the following conditions are
78 met:

79 (1) The covenant not to compete restricts such worker's competitive
80 activities for a period of not more than one year following the separation
81 from employment, except a covenant not to compete may be enforceable
82 for a period not to exceed two years following the separation from
83 employment if such covenant not to compete is part of an agreement in
84 which a worker is compensated with such worker's base salary and
85 benefits, minus any outside compensation, for the entire duration of
86 such covenant not to compete;

87 (2) The covenant not to compete is necessary to protect a legitimate
88 business interest of the employer and such legitimate business interest
89 could not reasonably be protected by less restrictive means, including,
90 but not limited to, a nondisclosure agreement, a nonsolicitation
91 agreement or reliance on the protections provided by the provisions of
92 chapter 625 of the general statutes;

93 (3) The covenant not to compete is no more restrictive than necessary
94 to protect a legitimate business interest in terms of the duration,
95 geographic scope, type of work and type of employer of the covenant
96 not to compete;

97 (4) The worker subject to the covenant not to compete is an exempt
98 employee;

99 (5) A written copy of the covenant not to compete is provided to the
100 worker not later than ten business days prior to (A) the worker's
101 deadline to (i) accept an offer of employment, or (ii) enter into an
102 independent contractor relationship, or (B) the date the covenant not to
103 compete is signed, whichever is earlier;

104 (6) The covenant not to compete contains a statement of the worker's
105 rights under the covenant not to compete that contains the following:

106 (A) Not all covenants not to compete are enforceable;

107 (B) A covenant not to compete for a worker whose hourly wage is less
108 than the amount described in subsection (b) of this section is illegal;

109 (C) A worker may contact the Attorney General if such worker
110 believes they are subject to an illegal covenant not to compete; and

111 (D) A worker has the right to consult with counsel prior to signing a
112 covenant not to compete;

113 (7) The covenant not to compete is signed by the worker and the
114 employer or contractor separately from any other agreement
115 establishing the relationship between the worker and the employer or
116 contractor;

117 (8) If the covenant not to compete is added to an existing employment
118 or independent contractor agreement, the covenant not to compete is
119 supported by sufficient consideration and is not the sole basis the
120 continuation of such employment or contractor relationship;

121 (9) The employment or contract relationship was not terminated by
122 the worker for good cause attributable to the employer or contractor;

123 (10) The covenant not to compete does not require a worker to submit
124 to adjudication in a forum outside of this state or otherwise deprive such
125 worker of the protections or benefits of this section; and

126 (11) The covenant not to compete does not unreasonably interfere
127 with the public interest and is consistent with the provisions of this
128 section, other laws of this state and public policy.

129 (b) No covenant not to compete shall be enforceable against a worker
130 if such worker is (1) an employee whose hourly wage is less than three
131 times the minimum fair wage, or (2) an independent contractor whose
132 hourly wage is less than five times such minimum fair wage.

133 (c) A covenant not to compete shall be unenforceable if such covenant
134 not to compete applies to (1) geographic areas in which a worker neither
135 provided services nor had a material presence or influence during such

136 worker's prior two years of employment, or (2) types of work that the
137 worker did not perform during such worker's prior two years of
138 employment.

139 Sec. 3. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2024*) (a) No employer or contractor
140 shall request or require a worker to sign or agree to an exclusivity
141 agreement unless:

142 (1) The worker is (A) an exempt employee whose hourly wage is
143 more than three times the minimum fair wage, or (B) an independent
144 contractor whose hourly wage is more than five times the minimum fair
145 wage; or

146 (2) The worker's additional employment, self-employment or work
147 as an independent contractor would (A) imperil the safety of such
148 worker, such worker's coworkers or the public, or (B) substantially
149 interfere with the reasonable and normal scheduling expectations for
150 such worker. On-call shift scheduling shall not be considered a
151 reasonable scheduling expectation for the purposes of this subdivision.

152 (b) Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter any obligations
153 of a worker to an employer under existing law, including, but not
154 limited to, the common law duty of loyalty, laws preventing conflicts of
155 interest and any corresponding policies addressing such obligations.

156 Sec. 4. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2024*) (a) No court shall modify a
157 covenant not to compete or an exclusivity agreement that violates the
158 provisions of section 2 or 3 of this act for the purposes of enforcing such
159 covenant not to compete or exclusivity agreement.

160 (b) If a covenant not to compete or an exclusivity agreement is held
161 unenforceable under section 2 or 3 of this act, any severable provision
162 of a contract or other agreement unrelated to such covenant not to
163 compete shall remain in full force and effect, including, but not limited
164 to, any provisions that require the payment of damages resulting from
165 any injury suffered by separation from employment.

166 (c) The party seeking to enforce a covenant not to compete or an

167 exclusivity agreement against a worker shall have the burden of proof
168 in any enforcement proceeding for such covenant not to compete or
169 exclusivity agreement.

170 (d) The party required to compensate a worker in an agreement in
171 which a worker is compensated with such worker's base salary and
172 benefits, minus any outside compensation, for the entire duration of the
173 covenant not to compete shall have the burden of proof in any
174 proceeding to cease compensating a worker.

175 Sec. 5. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2024*) (a) Any worker aggrieved by a
176 violation of the provisions of section 2 or 3 of this act may bring a civil
177 action in the superior court for the judicial district where the violation is
178 alleged to have occurred to recover damages, civil penalties and such
179 equitable and injunctive relief as the court deems appropriate. Any
180 person who prevails in such civil action may be awarded reasonable
181 costs and attorney's fees to be taxed by the court.

182 (b) In any such action if the court finds that a covenant not to compete
183 or an exclusivity agreement is in violation of sections 2 or 3 of this act,
184 the court may assess a civil penalty against the violator in an amount
185 not exceeding five thousand dollars.

186 Sec. 6. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2024*) (a) The Attorney General may
187 investigate, intervene or bring a civil action in the name of the state,
188 seeking injunctive or declaratory relief, damages and any other relief
189 that may be available under law, whenever any employer or contractor
190 is or has engaged in a practice or pattern of conduct that:

191 (1) Subjects, or causes to be subjected, workers to a covenant not to
192 compete that is in violation of section 2 of this act; or

193 (2) Subjects, or causes to be subjected, workers to an exclusivity
194 agreement that is in violation of section 3 of this act.

195 (b) In conducting any investigation under this section, the Attorney
196 General may issue subpoenas and interrogatories, and otherwise gather
197 information, in the same manner and to the same extent as is provided

198 in section 35-42 of the general statutes. No information obtained
199 pursuant to the provisions of this subsection may be used in a criminal
200 proceeding.

201 (c) If the Attorney General prevails in a civil action brought pursuant
202 to this section, the court shall order the distribution of any award of
203 damages to the injured worker. The court may also award civil penalties
204 against each defendant in an amount not exceeding five thousand
205 dollars. Any civil penalty that is received pursuant to this subsection
206 shall be deposited into the General Fund. No employer or contractor,
207 officer or agent that is found to have violated the provisions of section 2
208 or 3 of this act shall be liable for an additional penalty under section 31-
209 69 of the general statutes.

210 (d) In lieu of bringing a civil action under this section, the Attorney
211 General may accept an assurance of the discontinuance of any alleged
212 unlawful practice from any employer engaged in such practice.
213 Thereafter, any evidence of a violation of such assurance shall constitute
214 prima facie proof of a violation of the applicable law in any action
215 commenced by the Attorney General.

216 (e) Nothing in this section shall permit the Attorney General to bring
217 an action that would otherwise be barred under the applicable statute
218 of limitations.

219 (f) The Attorney General shall post on the Attorney General's Internet
220 web site information on how to file a complaint with the Attorney
221 General for an alleged violation of section 2 or 3 of this act.

222 (g) Nothing in this section shall permit the Attorney General to assert
223 any claim against a state agency or a state officer or state employee in
224 such officer's or employee's official capacity, regarding actions or
225 omissions of such state agency, state officer or state employee. If the
226 Attorney General determines that a state officer or state employee is not
227 entitled to indemnification under section 5-141d of the general statutes,
228 the Attorney General may, as it relates to such officer or employee, take
229 any action authorized under this section.

230 Sec. 7. Section 31-50a of the general statutes is repealed and the
231 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2024*):

232 (a) No employer may require any person employed in the
233 classification 339032 of the standard occupational classification system
234 of the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of
235 Labor to enter into an agreement prohibiting such person from engaging
236 in the same or a similar job, at the same location at which the employer
237 employs such person, for another employer or as a self-employed
238 person, unless the employer proves that such person has obtained trade
239 secrets, as defined in subsection (d) of section 35-51, of the employer.

240 (b) (1) Any person who is aggrieved by a violation of this section may
241 bring a civil action in the Superior Court to recover damages and for
242 such injunctive and equitable relief as the court deems appropriate.

243 (2) The Labor Commissioner may request the Attorney General to
244 bring an action in the superior court for the judicial district of Hartford
245 for restitution on behalf of any person injured by any violation of this
246 section and for such injunctive or equitable relief as the court deems
247 appropriate.

248 (c) The provisions of this section shall apply to agreements entered
249 into, renewed or extended on or after October 1, 2007, and before July 1,
250 2024.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:		
Section 1	<i>July 1, 2024</i>	New section
Sec. 2	<i>July 1, 2024</i>	New section
Sec. 3	<i>July 1, 2024</i>	New section
Sec. 4	<i>July 1, 2024</i>	New section
Sec. 5	<i>July 1, 2024</i>	New section
Sec. 6	<i>July 1, 2024</i>	New section
Sec. 7	<i>July 1, 2024</i>	31-50a

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In Section 1(3)(D), "pursuant to" was changed to "described in" for accuracy, in Subdiv. (6), "the employer and" was added before "another employer" for accuracy, and Subdivs. (8) and (12) were rewritten for clarity; Section 2(a)(1) was rewritten for clarity, in Subsec. (a)(8), "relationship" was changed to "agreement" for accuracy and "not solely" was changed to "the sole basis" for clarity and accuracy, and in Subsec. (c), references to "last two years" were changed to "prior two years" for consistency with standard drafting conventions; in Section 3(a)(1), "monetary compensation" was changed to "hourly wage" for consistency; Section 4(d) was rewritten for clarity and consistency; in Section 5, Subdivs. (1) and (2) were changed to Subsecs. (a) and (b) for consistency with standard drafting conventions; in Section 6(a), "or contractor" was added after "employer" for consistency, in Subdivs. (a)(1) and (2), "other persons" was changed to "workers" for consistency, in Subsec. (c), "employee" was changed to "worker" for consistency, "or contractor" was added after "employer" for consistency, and "or other person" was removed for clarity and accuracy.

LAB *Joint Favorable Subst. -LCO*

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill, which sets limits on the use of covenant not to compete provisions in employment contracts, does not result in any fiscal impact to the state or municipalities.¹

The bill allows the attorney general to bring a civil action in Superior Court for the relief the bill provides, which does not result in any cost impact. The court system disposed of over 263,000 cases in FY 23 and the number of cases is not anticipated to be great enough to require additional resources.

The bill has no cost impact to the state or municipalities as employers. To the extent that either the state or municipalities enter into noncompete contracts with their employees, the bill is not anticipated to change the cost of any such contract.

The Out Years

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

¹ Under the bill, violators are not liable to the Labor Department for any civil penalties imposed under the state's wage laws.

OLR Bill Analysis**HB 5269*****AN ACT CONCERNING NONCOMPETE AGREEMENTS.*****SUMMARY**

This bill sets limits on the use of noncompete agreements (i.e., “covenant not to compete” provisions) for employees and independent contractors. Under the bill, a noncompete agreement is enforceable only if it meets specific requirements, including that (1) the covered employee earn at least three times the minimum wage or independent contractor earn at least five times the minimum wage and (2) any covered employee be exempt from the state’s minimum wage laws.

The bill also sets limits on exclusivity agreements that employers and contractors can ask or require workers to sign. It applies to noncompete and exclusivity agreements entered into, amended, extended, or renewed on or after July 1, 2024. The bill applies to private employers as well as state and municipal employers.

The bill’s noncompete agreement provisions do not apply to noncompete agreements for three professions under existing law: (1) physicians, (2) homemaker and companion services employees, and (3) broadcast employees. It also sunsets, on June 30, 2024, a law that prohibits certain noncompete agreements for security guards, making security guards subject to the bill’s general provisions on noncompete agreements.

The bill permits an aggrieved worker or, in the name of the state, the attorney general to bring a civil action in Superior Court seeking remedies for violations of the bill. The worker may seek to recover damages, civil penalties, and equitable and injunctive relief as the court decides. The court may award a civil penalty of up to \$5,000 if it finds

that a noncompete or exclusivity agreement violates the bill's provisions.

The attorney general may seek injunctive or declaratory relief, damages, and any other relief that may be available under law when there is a violation of the bill. The bill also specifies other attorney general actions, such as accepting an assurance the employer will discontinue the practice, in lieu of seeking civil action.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2024

§ 1 — NONCOMPETE AGREEMENTS DEFINED

Under the bill, a “covenant not to compete” (i.e., noncompete agreement) means a contract, provision, or agreement that restrains a worker (employee or independent contractor) from, or imposes penalties for, engaging in any kind of profession, occupation, trade, or business in a geographic area of the state for a set period after separation from employment.

The bill excludes from this definition (1) nonsolicitation agreements that meet certain standards (as described below), (2) nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements, (3) agreements not to reapply with the same employer after being terminated, and (4) any contract or agreement made (a) in anticipation of a sale of a business's goodwill or all of the seller's ownership interest in a business or (b) as part of a partnership or ownership agreement.

§§ 1 & 2 — CONDITIONS FOR NONCOMPETE AGREEMENTS

The bill makes a noncompete agreement between an employer and worker unenforceable unless specific conditions are met.

Covered Workers (§ 1)

To be enforceable, a noncompete agreement must, among other things, only be applied to workers who are “exempt employees” (i.e., those exempt from the state's minimum wage laws, such as people employed in a qualifying executive, administrative, or professional capacity). The workers must be either:

1. employees earning an hourly wage of at least three times the state minimum wage; or
2. independent contractors earning an hourly wage of at least five times the state minimum wage.

For hourly employees, hourly wages are the employee's wages calculated on an hourly basis. For all others, they are the employee's "annualized monetary compensation" divided by 2,080 to convert it to an hourly rate (40 hours a week multiplied by 52 weeks equals 2,080).

Under the bill, "annualized monetary compensation" for employees means wages earned over the course of the prior calendar year, or portion of that year, for which the employee was employed. For independent contractors, it means payments for services rendered. In either case, these amounts are annualized and calculated as of the earlier of the (1) date enforcement of the noncompete agreement is sought or (2) date of separation from employment.

Restrictions (§ 2)

Under the bill, to be enforceable noncompete agreements must:

1. be limited to a period of up to one year following the worker's separation from employment (i.e., the date on which the employment relationship ends), except as described below;
2. be necessary to protect the employer's "legitimate business interest" that could not reasonably be protected through less restrictive means, including a nondisclosure agreement, nonsolicitation agreement, or the state Uniform Trade Secrets Act's business protections;
3. be no more restrictive than necessary to protect a legitimate business interest in terms of the agreement's duration, geographic scope, type of work, and type of employer;
4. not require the worker to submit to adjudication outside of the state, or otherwise deprive the worker of the bill's protections or

benefits; and

5. not unreasonably interfere with the public interest and be consistent with the bill's requirements, other state laws, and public policy.

Under the bill, a "legitimate business interest" is an interest in (1) protecting trade secrets or confidential information that does not qualify as a trade secret or (2) preserving established goodwill with the employer's customers.

Also, a noncompete agreement is unenforceable if the worker terminates the employment or contractual relationship for good cause attributable to the employer or contractor.

Furthermore, to be enforceable under the bill a noncompete agreement must:

1. be provided to the worker in writing at least 10 business days before the earlier of the (a) deadline for accepting the employment offer or offer to enter into an independent contractor relationship or (b) date the agreement is signed;
2. contain a statement of the worker's noncompete agreement rights, as described below;
3. be signed by the worker and the employer or contractor separately from any other agreement underlying the relationship; and
4. be supported by sufficient consideration and not be the only basis for continuing the employment or contractor relationship if the agreement is added to an existing employment or independent contractor relationship.

In addition, under the bill, a noncompete agreement is unenforceable if it applies to (1) geographic areas in which the employee neither provided services nor had a material presence or influence within the

last two years of employment or (2) types of work that the employee did not perform during this same period.

Required Statement of Workers' Rights (§ 2(a))

Under the bill, the noncompete agreement is not enforceable unless it contains a statement of the worker's rights under the agreement, including that:

1. not all noncompete agreements are enforceable,
2. noncompete agreements for workers who earn less than the thresholds established in the bill are illegal,
3. workers may contact the attorney general if they believe they are subject to an illegal noncompete agreement, and
4. they have a right to consult with counsel before signing it.

Exception to the Duration Limit (§ 2(a))

The bill allows a noncompete agreement to be enforceable for up to two years after the separation from employment if it is part of an agreement under which the worker is paid his or her "base salary and benefits," minus any outside compensation, for the entire period of the noncompete agreement. It defines "base salary and benefits" as (1) wages earned over the course of the prior calendar year, excluding any overtime or bonus pay, and (2) health insurance benefits and other fringe benefits the employee received in the prior calendar year.

EXCLUSION FOR NONSOLICITATION AGREEMENTS (§ 1)

The bill specifies that nonsolicitation agreements are excluded from the definition of "covenants not to compete" if they do not restrict a worker's activities for more than a year and are not more restrictive than necessary in the agreement's duration, geographic reach, type of work, and type of employer.

Under the bill, a "nonsolicitation agreement" means a contract or agreement between:

1. an employer and employee that prohibits an employee, upon separation of employment, from soliciting any (a) employee of the employer to leave or (b) customer to cease or reduce doing business with the employer or
2. an employer and any customer that prohibits the customer from soliciting an employee of the employer to stop or reduce doing business with the employer.

§ 3 — EXCLUSIVITY AGREEMENTS

The bill permits exclusivity agreements (i.e., contracts, provisions, or agreements that restrain a worker from, or penalize him or her for, simultaneously working for another employer, working as an independent contractor, or being self-employed) only if the worker is an exempt employee or independent contractor who meets the same hourly wage thresholds the bill sets for noncompete agreements.

However, the bill also allows exclusivity agreements if the worker's additional employment would (1) endanger the safety of the worker, the worker's coworkers, or the public or (2) substantially interfere with the employer's or contractor's reasonable and normal scheduling expectations, which excludes on-call shift scheduling.

The bill requires that its exclusivity agreement provisions not be construed to alter a worker's obligations to an employer under existing law. This includes the common law duty of loyalty, laws preventing conflicts of interest, and any corresponding policies on these obligations.

§§ 5 & 6 — ENFORCEMENT

Aggrieved Worker May Bring Civil Actions (§ 5)

The bill allows any worker aggrieved by a violation of the bill to bring a civil action in the Superior Court for the district where the violation is alleged to have occurred. The action can seek to recover damages, civil penalties, and equitable and injunctive relief as the court decides. The court may also award reasonable costs and attorney's fees to the person who prevails.

If the court finds that a noncompete or exclusivity agreement violates the bill's provisions, it may assess a civil penalty of up to \$5,000.

Attorney General Actions (§ 6)

The bill authorizes the attorney general to investigate, intervene, or bring a civil action in the name of the state, seeking injunctive or declaratory relief, damages, and any other relief that may be available under law, when there is a violation of the bill. Specifically, the attorney general may do this when an employer or contractor is engaged in a practice or pattern of conduct that subjects workers to a covenant not to compete or exclusivity agreement that violates the bill's requirements.

The attorney general may issue subpoenas and interrogatories, and otherwise gather information, in the same manner and to the same extent as provided under the Connecticut Antitrust Act. The bill bars any information obtained by the attorney general in these actions from being used in a criminal proceeding.

The bill gives the attorney general the option to, in lieu of seeking civil action, accept from the employer an assurance that it will stop any alleged unlawful practice. Any evidence of a violation of this assurance is prima facie proof of a violation of the applicable law in any later action the attorney general takes.

The bill also specifies that nothing in its enforcement provisions permits the attorney general to bring an action that would otherwise be barred under the applicable statute of limitations.

It also requires the attorney general to post on his agency's website information on how to file a complaint regarding noncompete or exclusivity agreements.

Claims Regarding State Agencies (§ 6(g))

The bill specifies that it does not authorize the attorney general to assert any claim against a state agency, or state officer or employee in the officer's or employee's official capacity, regarding the agency's, officer's, or employee's actions or omissions. If the attorney general

determines that a state officer or state employee is not entitled to indemnification provided under state law, the attorney general can take any action authorized under the bill.

Court Awards and Distribution (§ 6(c))

Under the bill, if the attorney general prevails in a civil action, the court must order the distribution of any damages awarded to the injured worker. The bill also permits the court to award civil penalties of up to \$5,000 against each defendant, which must be deposited into the General Fund.

The bill specifies that employers, contractors, officers, and agents found to have violated the bill's noncompete and exclusivity agreement provisions cannot be liable for an additional penalty under the state law addressing retaliation regarding wage complaints.

§ 4 — BURDEN OF PROOF

In any enforcement proceeding, the bill places the burden of proof on the party seeking to enforce a noncompete or exclusivity agreement against a worker. In any proceeding to stop compensating a worker under an agreement in which a worker is paid for the entire period of the noncompete agreement, the bill puts the burden of proof on the party required to compensate the worker.

§ 4 — UNENFORCEABLE AGREEMENTS

The bill prohibits the court from modifying a noncompete or exclusivity agreement that violates the bill's provisions to make it enforceable. It also specifies that if a noncompete or exclusivity agreement is found to be unenforceable, any severable provisions of a contract or other agreement that are unrelated to the noncompete agreement remain in full force and effect. This includes any provisions that require the payment of damages resulting from any injury suffered by separation from employment.

§§ 1 & 7 — EXISTING NONCOMPETE LAWS

Current law restricts the use of noncompete agreements in four types

of professions: (1) physicians, (2) homemaker and companion services employees, (3) broadcast employees, and (4) security guards.

The bill’s provisions do not apply to noncompete agreements covered under the existing laws for physicians; homemakers, companions, and home health aides; and broadcast employees, leaving these existing laws in effect. It creates an end date, July 1, 2024, for the current limitations on noncompete agreements for security guards. In doing so, it sunsets the current limitations on these agreements and instead subjects them to the bill’s general provisions.

BACKGROUND

Related Bill

HB 5366, favorably reported by the Human Services Committee, allows noncompete agreements for up to six months for homemaker and companion services employees who have received paid training from their homemaker-companion agency.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Labor and Public Employees Committee

Joint Favorable

Yea 8 Nay 4 (03/07/2024)