

## Affordable Housing Under CGS § 8-30g

By: Shaun McGann, Associate Analyst  
August 2, 2022 | 2022-R-0116

### Issue

Identify the percentage of affordable housing in each Connecticut municipality from 2011 to 2021.

This report updates OLR Report [2021-R-0092](#). **This report has been updated by OLR Report [2023-R-0219](#).**

### Summary

In traditional land use appeals, developers must convince a court that a municipality acted illegally, arbitrarily, or abused its discretion in denying an affordable housing development application. However, the Affordable Housing Land Use Appeals Procedure under [CGS § 8-30g](#) instead places the burden of proof on certain municipalities to defend their decisions, if contested, to reject qualifying affordable housing development applications or approve them with costly conditions. Municipalities are generally exempt from the appeals procedure if (1) at least 10% of their total housing units qualify as affordable, based on certain statutory criteria, or (2) they are eligible for a moratorium (see OLR Report [2022-R-0147](#) for more information on current moratoria). Broadly, affordable housing includes certain government-assisted and income-restricted housing.

Between 2011 and 2021, the percentage of qualifying affordable housing units increased in 132 Connecticut municipalities. Based on the most recently available Department of Housing (DOH) list, 31 municipalities currently meet or exceed the 10% affordable housing threshold for an exemption from the appeals procedure.

## Background

By law, DOH must annually publish an Affordable Housing Appeals List providing the percentage of housing units in each municipality that qualify as affordable under the Affordable Housing Land Use Appeals Procedure ([CGS §§ 8-30g\(k\) & 8-37qqq\(a\)\(2\)\(D\)](#)). Under § 8-30g, affordable housing includes:

1. certain government-assisted housing (including voucher-assisted rental units);
2. housing financed by Connecticut Housing Finance Authority mortgages;
3. housing subject to certain affordability deeds or restrictions; and
4. certain mobile homes.

Using data from DOH's appeals lists published between 2011 and 2021, Table 1 below displays the percentage of each municipality's housing that qualified as affordable over this 11-year period. When calculating the percentage of affordable housing in each municipality, the law requires DOH to use data from the most recent U.S. decennial census to determine the total number of housing units in municipalities. For the appeals lists from 2011–2021, these figures come from the 2010 decennial census.

## Affordable Housing Trends

Between 2011 and 2021, the percentage of affordable housing increased in 132 municipalities, decreased in 32, and remained the same in 5. Over this period, nine municipalities experienced an increase in affordable housing of at least three percentage points (“pp”): Hartford (+4.8 pp), Franklin (+4.2 pp), New Haven (+4.0 pp), Windsor Locks (+3.8 pp), North Canaan (+3.4 pp), Brookfield (+3.4 pp), Bridgeport (+3.2 pp), West Haven (+3.2 pp), and Somers (+3.1 pp). Conversely, two municipalities experienced a decrease of this magnitude: Mansfield (-4.5 pp) and Canaan (-3.3 pp). From 2011 to 2021, the median change in affordable housing for all municipalities was +0.5 pp.

As the table shows, most of the exempt municipalities for 2011 remained so in 2021. Over this period Mansfield dropped below the exemption threshold while North Canaan and Windsor Locks surpassed it. The 31 exempt municipalities for 2021 appear in blue boldface.

**Table 1: Percent Affordable Housing by Municipality, 2011–2021**

Municipality	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Change from 2011–2021 (pp)
Andover	3.4%	3.6%	4.1%	4.3%	4.2%	3.0%	3.2%	3.4%	3.6%	3.9%	4.1%	0.7
Ansonia	14.6%	14.6%	15.3%	13.9%	14.2%	13.7%	14.4%	15.2%	15.3%	15.5%	16.0%	1.4
Ashford	3.6%	3.7%	4.3%	4.4%	4.2%	3.5%	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%	3.6%	3.4%	-0.2
Avon	3.6%	3.7%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	3.9%	3.9%	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%	0.5
Barkhamsted	0.9%	0.8%	1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.6%	1.8%	1.6%	0.8
Beacon Falls	1.2%	1.2%	1.5%	1.3%	1.6%	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%	2.0%	2.0%	1.7%	0.4
Berlin	7.2%	7.2%	8.4%	8.6%	8.8%	8.8%	9.0%	9.2%	9.3%	9.2%	9.0%	1.8
Bethany	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6
Bethel	5.2%	5.2%	5.3%	5.4%	5.1%	4.7%	5.0%	5.9%	5.7%	6.3%	6.0%	0.8
Bethlehem	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	1.8%	2.0%	2.2%	2.1%	1.8%	0.3
Bloomfield	11.4%	11.4%	11.6%	11.7%	11.2%	10.8%	10.7%	10.9%	11.1%	11.1%	11.0%	-0.4
Bolton	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	1.3%	1.2%	1.0%	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	0.6
Bozrah	1.9%	2.2%	3.1%	3.4%	3.1%	3.1%	3.4%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%	2.8%	0.9
Branford	3.2%	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	3.4%	3.5%	3.4%	0.2
Bridgeport	18.1%	17.7%	18.5%	18.8%	19.9%	19.8%	20.1%	20.3%	20.4%	20.7%	21.3%	3.2
Bridgewater	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	2.8%	0.1%	-0.1
Bristol	13.2%	13.2%	13.5%	13.0%	14.2%	13.7%	14.0%	13.4%	14.4%	14.8%	14.8%	1.5
Brookfield	2.0%	2.1%	2.4%	2.4%	3.4%	3.9%	5.1%	5.4%	5.6%	5.6%	5.3%	3.4
Brooklyn	9.4%	10.0%	11.7%	11.7%	11.1%	10.7%	10.5%	9.6%	8.3%	8.1%	9.4%	0.0
Burlington	1.6%	1.7%	2.0%	1.8%	1.9%	2.1%	1.9%	1.9%	2.1%	2.2%	2.1%	0.5
Canaan	4.5%	4.5%	4.6%	7.6%	6.9%	7.2%	6.8%	5.8%	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	-3.3
Canterbury	5.3%	5.7%	7.1%	7.6%	6.8%	6.8%	7.0%	6.5%	6.9%	7.1%	6.8%	1.5
Canton	7.3%	7.3%	7.5%	7.6%	7.6%	7.0%	7.1%	7.0%	7.1%	7.2%	8.3%	1.1
Chaplin	2.4%	2.4%	2.8%	3.6%	3.2%	3.3%	3.5%	3.2%	3.6%	3.8%	3.7%	1.3
Cheshire	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	3.7%	3.8%	3.5%	3.6%	3.7%	3.8%	3.8%	3.7%	0.5
Chester	1.8%	1.8%	2.0%	2.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	0.4
Clinton	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%	2.4%	2.2%	2.3%	2.8%	2.8%	3.0%	2.9%	0.7
Colchester	7.7%	7.9%	8.4%	8.8%	8.6%	8.5%	8.7%	8.5%	8.9%	8.9%	8.7%	1.0
Colebrook	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.5%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	0.0
Columbia	2.8%	3.0%	3.7%	3.9%	4.5%	4.2%	4.4%	3.6%	3.9%	3.8%	3.6%	0.8
Cornwall	1.8%	2.0%	2.4%	2.3%	3.4%	3.3%	3.4%	3.3%	3.3%	3.6%	3.6%	1.8
Coventry	4.8%	5.1%	6.0%	5.9%	5.9%	4.9%	5.0%	4.8%	5.1%	5.1%	4.8%	0.0
Cromwell	6.9%	6.9%	7.0%	7.1%	7.5%	6.3%	6.5%	6.8%	6.9%	7.0%	6.6%	-0.4
Danbury	10.0%	10.5%	10.9%	10.1%	10.3%	10.5%	10.9%	10.8%	11.9%	12.0%	11.5%	1.5
Darien	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	4.0%	1.4
Deep River	2.5%	2.6%	2.8%	3.6%	2.6%	2.3%	2.6%	2.9%	2.9%	3.1%	3.1%	0.6
Derby	10.7%	10.8%	10.8%	11.2%	11.5%	11.4%	11.6%	11.5%	11.4%	11.7%	11.8%	1.1
Durham	1.7%	1.7%	2.1%	2.0%	1.9%	1.7%	1.9%	2.0%	2.4%	2.4%	2.3%	0.7
East Granby	4.8%	5.0%	5.3%	5.1%	5.3%	5.1%	5.1%	5.3%	5.4%	5.7%	5.4%	0.6
East Haddam	2.3%	2.3%	2.6%	2.7%	2.5%	2.2%	2.6%	2.8%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%	0.7
East Hampton	3.0%	3.2%	3.6%	3.6%	3.7%	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	3.4%	3.5%	3.3%	0.3
East Hartford	15.6%	16.5%	16.7%	17.4%	16.3%	15.7%	15.8%	15.7%	16.4%	16.1%	15.8%	0.2
East Haven	7.7%	6.7%	6.8%	7.9%	8.1%	7.6%	7.7%	8.0%	8.0%	8.1%	7.8%	0.1
East Lyme	5.8%	5.3%	5.6%	6.1%	6.3%	6.0%	6.1%	5.9%	6.2%	6.3%	6.1%	0.3
East Windsor	13.7%	14.3%	14.8%	14.6%	14.6%	14.0%	14.3%	14.2%	14.0%	14.2%	13.8%	0.1
Eastford	2.0%	2.4%	3.2%	3.0%	2.9%	2.3%	2.0%	1.9%	2.0%	1.9%	1.3%	-0.8

Table 1 (continued)

Municipality	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Change from 2011–2021 (pp)
Easton	1.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	-1.0
Ellington	5.0%	5.3%	5.9%	5.6%	5.7%	5.4%	5.5%	5.4%	5.6%	5.7%	5.5%	0.5
Enfield	12.0%	12.0%	12.2%	12.2%	12.5%	12.0%	12.3%	12.4%	12.6%	12.7%	12.4%	0.4
Essex	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	1.5%	1.5%	2.3%	2.9%	3.0%	2.9%	3.3%	1.9
Fairfield	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	2.2%	2.3%	2.2%	2.2%	2.4%	2.5%	2.6%	2.8%	0.2
Farmington	7.5%	7.5%	7.7%	8.0%	8.1%	7.7%	7.8%	7.9%	7.9%	7.9%	7.8%	0.3
Franklin	2.1%	2.2%	2.7%	5.6%	6.2%	6.4%	6.4%	5.6%	5.7%	6.4%	6.2%	4.2
Glastonbury	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%	5.6%	5.3%	5.4%	5.4%	5.7%	5.7%	5.6%	0.1
Goshen	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	-0.1
Granby	2.9%	3.1%	3.5%	3.2%	3.3%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%	3.2%	3.3%	3.2%	0.3
Greenwich	4.9%	5.1%	5.1%	4.8%	5.3%	4.9%	5.0%	5.1%	5.3%	5.3%	5.4%	0.5
Griswold	6.2%	6.6%	8.1%	8.9%	8.4%	7.4%	7.6%	6.7%	6.9%	6.8%	8.3%	2.1
Groton	20.4%	20.4%	20.5%	22.5%	22.7%	22.4%	22.5%	22.5%	23.4%	23.5%	23.2%	2.8
Guilford	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.3%	2.4%	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	0.3
Haddam	1.1%	1.1%	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.4%	0.4
Hamden	6.6%	6.6%	6.7%	7.7%	7.9%	8.0%	8.2%	8.5%	8.7%	9.0%	9.3%	2.8
Hampton	2.0%	2.3%	4.0%	5.5%	4.7%	3.5%	3.3%	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	1.5%	-0.5
Hartford	35.6%	37.1%	37.8%	37.9%	40.2%	38.3%	38.4%	38.7%	39.3%	39.9%	40.3%	4.8
Hartland	0.7%	0.9%	1.4%	1.3%	1.1%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%	0.2
Harwinton	2.0%	2.1%	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	2.6%	3.0%	2.9%	1.0
Hebron	2.6%	2.7%	3.0%	3.0%	2.9%	2.6%	2.8%	2.8%	2.9%	3.1%	2.9%	0.4
Kent	4.6%	3.1%	1.7%	3.6%	3.7%	3.7%	3.8%	2.5%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	-0.7
Killingly	11.9%	12.6%	14.9%	14.4%	13.8%	12.2%	12.3%	11.0%	11.4%	11.3%	10.4%	-1.6
Killingworth	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	0.8%	0.9%	1.1%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4
Lebanon	2.5%	2.8%	3.5%	3.8%	3.5%	3.3%	3.6%	3.4%	3.6%	3.6%	3.4%	0.9
Ledyard	3.3%	3.4%	4.4%	4.0%	4.3%	3.8%	4.1%	4.1%	4.4%	4.6%	4.3%	1.1
Lisbon	2.1%	2.6%	3.8%	3.4%	3.5%	2.9%	3.3%	2.9%	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%	1.3
Litchfield	4.6%	4.6%	4.7%	5.1%	4.9%	4.7%	4.8%	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%	4.8%	0.3
Lyme	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	2.5%	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.3
Madison	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	0.1
Manchester	14.4%	14.6%	14.9%	14.5%	14.5%	13.8%	14.1%	14.2%	14.7%	14.6%	14.4%	0.0
Mansfield	10.9%	10.9%	11.3%	11.0%	10.8%	10.7%	6.9%	6.7%	6.8%	6.6%	6.4%	-4.5
Marlborough	1.7%	1.8%	2.2%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.2%	2.0%	2.0%	0.3
Meriden	14.6%	14.7%	14.8%	16.0%	16.5%	15.7%	16.1%	15.7%	16.5%	16.5%	16.6%	2.1
Middlebury	3.3%	3.5%	3.7%	4.0%	4.0%	3.9%	4.2%	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	4.1%	0.9
Middlefield	2.2%	2.3%	2.4%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%	2.7%	2.8%	3.0%	2.8%	0.6
Middletown	22.3%	20.8%	23.4%	22.0%	23.3%	21.3%	21.6%	22.1%	21.8%	22.2%	22.4%	0.2
Milford	6.1%	6.1%	6.2%	5.5%	5.5%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.2%	5.3%	-0.8
Monroe	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	1.2%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%	0.5
Montville	3.9%	4.2%	5.0%	4.7%	5.1%	4.7%	5.1%	5.0%	5.3%	5.5%	5.2%	1.3
Morris	1.7%	1.7%	1.8%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	2.2%	2.2%	2.4%	2.4%	2.1%	0.5
Naugatuck	8.2%	8.3%	8.4%	9.3%	8.7%	8.0%	8.5%	8.5%	8.9%	9.0%	8.7%	0.6
New Britain	19.8%	20.3%	20.7%	21.1%	20.1%	18.2%	18.5%	18.2%	18.4%	18.5%	18.6%	-1.2
New Canaan	2.4%	2.4%	2.7%	2.7%	2.6%	2.7%	2.7%	3.4%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	0.5
New Fairfield	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	1.3%	0.7
New Hartford	2.2%	2.3%	2.4%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%	3.0%	2.9%	2.6%	0.5

Table 1 (continued)

Municipality	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Change from 2011–2021 (pp)
New Haven	29.0%	29.1%	29.6%	29.1%	30.2%	29.9%	31.8%	31.9%	32.0%	32.4%	33.0%	4.0
New London	19.9%	19.9%	20.1%	24.9%	23.5%	22.9%	23.1%	22.5%	22.8%	23.0%	22.5%	2.6
New Milford	4.9%	5.4%	5.8%	3.9%	4.2%	3.7%	3.7%	4.3%	4.6%	4.7%	4.5%	-0.4
Newington	7.0%	7.2%	7.3%	8.5%	8.6%	8.1%	8.3%	8.6%	8.9%	9.0%	8.7%	1.7
Newtown	1.7%	1.7%	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	2.2%	2.3%	2.5%	2.7%	2.7%	2.5%	0.8
Norfolk	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	4.0%	3.8%	3.7%	3.7%	1.7%	2.8%	2.9%	2.8%	-0.4
North Branford	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%	2.3%	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.1%	0.0
North Canaan	6.8%	6.8%	6.9%	9.3%	9.4%	9.2%	9.3%	9.6%	10.3%	10.2%	10.2%	3.4
North Haven	4.7%	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%	5.0%	4.9%	5.1%	5.3%	5.4%	6.0%	5.8%	1.1
North Stonington	0.8%	1.0%	1.3%	1.0%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	1.2%	0.4
Norwalk	11.4%	11.4%	11.6%	11.8%	12.3%	12.7%	12.7%	12.8%	13.1%	13.5%	13.7%	2.3
Norwich	16.8%	16.9%	17.4%	18.1%	19.2%	18.7%	18.8%	18.7%	19.1%	19.3%	19.3%	2.6
Old Lyme	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%	1.5%	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%	0.3
Old Saybrook	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	2.4%	2.5%	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%	1.6
Orange	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%	0.2
Oxford	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%	0.4
Plainfield	13.9%	14.4%	15.9%	16.1%	15.1%	13.4%	12.7%	12.6%	12.5%	12.7%	12.3%	-1.5
Plainville	7.5%	7.1%	7.4%	7.4%	7.7%	6.5%	6.7%	6.6%	7.2%	7.1%	6.9%	-0.6
Plymouth	6.4%	6.6%	7.1%	8.2%	7.8%	7.4%	7.5%	7.1%	7.6%	7.7%	7.3%	0.9
Pomfret	2.7%	3.0%	3.7%	3.6%	3.3%	2.9%	3.0%	2.8%	2.8%	3.0%	2.8%	0.1
Portland	7.9%	8.0%	8.3%	8.1%	8.4%	8.2%	8.3%	8.3%	8.5%	8.6%	8.3%	0.4
Preston	3.8%	4.0%	4.5%	4.4%	4.5%	4.2%	4.4%	4.2%	4.3%	4.3%	4.1%	0.3
Prospect	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	1.2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.7%	1.8%	2.6%	1.9
Putnam	12.7%	13.7%	15.4%	15.4%	14.4%	13.1%	13.2%	12.3%	12.4%	12.2%	12.7%	0.0
Redding	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4
Ridgefield	2.2%	2.3%	2.4%	2.5%	2.7%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	0.8
Rocky Hill	4.9%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.1%	4.7%	4.9%	5.1%	5.4%	5.4%	5.1%	0.2
Roxbury	1.7%	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%	1.8%	1.9%	1.9%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	0.3
Salem	1.6%	1.8%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	1.7%	1.8%	2.0%	2.0%	2.3%	2.1%	0.5
Salisbury	1.2%	1.2%	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	0.4
Scotland	1.3%	1.6%	2.4%	1.9%	2.5%	2.5%	3.1%	3.2%	3.8%	4.7%	4.3%	2.9
Seymour	5.3%	5.3%	5.5%	5.4%	5.7%	5.5%	5.7%	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	5.6%	0.3
Sharon	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.6
Shelton	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	3.4%	3.5%	2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%	3.2%	3.1%	0.4
Sherman	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3
Simsbury	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%	3.7%	4.5%	4.6%	4.7%	4.7%	4.9%	4.8%	1.4
Somers	2.2%	2.3%	5.2%	5.4%	5.4%	5.3%	5.2%	5.2%	5.3%	5.5%	5.3%	3.1
South Windsor	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.2%	6.5%	6.6%	6.8%	7.1%	7.2%	6.8%	-0.2
Southbury	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%	0.3
Southington	5.6%	5.6%	5.7%	5.9%	6.3%	5.0%	5.3%	5.4%	5.4%	5.5%	5.3%	-0.3
Sprague	4.7%	4.8%	5.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.6%	5.8%	5.1%	5.0%	4.9%	4.6%	-0.2
Stafford	6.4%	6.8%	7.6%	8.2%	9.2%	7.9%	8.0%	7.5%	7.9%	7.9%	7.7%	1.2
Stamford	15.4%	15.5%	16.0%	16.2%	16.8%	16.0%	16.3%	15.1%	15.5%	15.7%	15.7%	0.3
Sterling	2.2%	2.4%	4.1%	4.4%	3.3%	2.6%	2.6%	2.3%	2.4%	2.1%	1.8%	-0.4
Stonington	3.8%	3.9%	4.0%	4.0%	5.0%	4.8%	5.7%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	5.7%	1.9

Table 1 (continued)

Municipality	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Change from 2011–2021 (pp)
Stratford	5.6%	5.6%	5.7%	5.8%	6.0%	6.0%	6.2%	6.4%	6.4%	6.6%	6.3%	0.7
Suffield	4.9%	5.1%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.0%	5.1%	5.7%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	1.8
Thomaston	5.8%	6.1%	6.9%	6.8%	6.9%	5.7%	6.0%	5.8%	6.2%	6.3%	6.3%	0.5
Thompson	5.2%	5.9%	7.7%	7.4%	6.6%	5.9%	5.8%	5.2%	5.2%	5.1%	4.9%	-0.2
Tolland	3.1%	3.3%	3.9%	3.6%	3.5%	3.0%	3.2%	3.2%	3.5%	4.4%	4.3%	1.2
<b>Torrington</b>	12.0%	12.0%	12.7%	12.2%	12.6%	10.8%	10.8%	10.7%	11.2%	10.7%	10.6%	-1.4
Trumbull	4.8%	5.1%	5.1%	5.2%	4.5%	4.5%	4.8%	4.5%	4.7%	5.6%	5.6%	0.7
Union	1.5%	2.3%	4.1%	3.1%	2.6%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0
<b>Vernon</b>	16.3%	16.4%	16.6%	15.6%	16.3%	15.7%	16.4%	16.5%	16.9%	17.0%	16.8%	0.5
Voluntown	3.8%	4.0%	4.7%	5.5%	4.8%	4.3%	4.3%	4.0%	4.3%	4.0%	3.8%	0.0
Wallingford	5.1%	5.0%	5.1%	5.0%	5.1%	4.0%	4.1%	4.2%	4.3%	4.5%	4.4%	-0.7
Warren	0.2%	0.5%	1.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	-0.1
Washington	1.7%	1.7%	1.8%	2.4%	2.2%	2.4%	2.3%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	2.1%	0.4
<b>Waterbury</b>	22.0%	22.1%	23.3%	22.7%	23.1%	21.2%	21.6%	20.9%	21.8%	21.3%	21.2%	-0.8
Waterford	3.8%	4.0%	4.5%	4.4%	4.7%	4.2%	4.4%	4.5%	4.8%	4.9%	5.6%	1.8
Watertown	3.9%	4.0%	4.1%	4.0%	4.3%	4.3%	4.6%	4.7%	5.0%	5.1%	5.0%	1.0
West Hartford	7.8%	7.9%	8.1%	7.8%	7.5%	7.3%	7.5%	7.5%	7.9%	8.0%	7.8%	0.0
<b>West Haven</b>	12.6%	13.1%	13.7%	12.9%	13.1%	13.0%	13.2%	13.2%	14.4%	14.8%	15.8%	3.2
Westbrook	4.7%	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%	4.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.1%	5.1%	5.2%	5.2%	0.5
Weston	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2
Westport	2.7%	2.7%	2.8%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%	3.6%	3.4%	3.6%	3.7%	3.8%	1.0
Wethersfield	8.3%	8.3%	8.4%	8.4%	8.5%	8.1%	9.4%	9.2%	9.4%	9.5%	9.2%	0.9
Willington	7.4%	7.5%	8.0%	7.8%	7.9%	7.5%	7.5%	7.4%	7.5%	7.7%	7.6%	0.2
Wilton	2.5%	3.6%	3.8%	3.9%	3.9%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	1.0
<b>Winchester</b>	12.1%	12.6%	13.1%	17.4%	12.9%	11.7%	12.1%	11.0%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	-1.4
<b>Windham</b>	28.0%	26.9%	29.0%	31.1%	31.3%	29.0%	29.2%	28.6%	28.5%	28.7%	28.3%	0.3
Windsor	6.6%	7.1%	7.3%	7.5%	7.0%	6.5%	6.8%	6.8%	7.5%	7.6%	7.5%	0.9
<b>Windsor Locks</b>	8.6%	9.2%	9.2%	8.8%	9.0%	8.5%	9.0%	9.0%	9.8%	12.8%	12.4%	3.8
Wolcott	7.0%	6.9%	7.1%	7.1%	7.5%	7.1%	7.2%	7.6%	7.9%	8.1%	8.0%	1.0
Woodbridge	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	0.0
Woodbury	1.8%	1.8%	2.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%	1.9%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.2
Woodstock	1.8%	2.2%	3.2%	2.8%	2.7%	2.1%	2.0%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	-0.4

## Additional Resources

- Sean Ghio, “[Trends and Changes in Assisted Housing in Connecticut](#),” *Partnership for Strong Communities*.
- OLR Issue Brief: CGS §8-30g, The Affordable Housing Land Use Appeals Procedure (As Amended by PA 17-170) ([2017-R-0158](#)).

SM:kl