



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 556

February Session, 2022

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 35

House of Representatives, April 20, 2022

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. STAFSTROM of the 129th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute joint resolution ought to be adopted.

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES.

Resolved by this Assembly:

1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
2 24425 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
3 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Darlene Walker, is confirmed.

4 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
5 25348 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
6 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Carlton Wallace, is confirmed.

7 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
8 25746 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
9 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Patrick Batchelor, is confirmed.

10 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
11 25461 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
12 excess of twenty thousand dollars of William Billington, is confirmed.

13 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
14 25822 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
15 excess of fifty thousand dollars of Jean Conquistador, is confirmed.

16 Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
17 25871 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
18 excess of fifty thousand dollars of William Jackson, is confirmed.

19 Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
20 23975 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
21 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Clement and Lori Langlois, is
22 confirmed.

23 Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
24 23977 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
25 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Kevin McBreairty, is confirmed.

26 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
27 23978 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
28 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Wayne McBreairty, is confirmed.

29 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
30 24901 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
31 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Keith Anderson, is confirmed.

32 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
33 23582 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
34 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Khadija Barnoussi, is confirmed.

35 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
36 24472 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
37 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Toni Camby, is confirmed.

38 Sec. 13. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
39 25326 of said commissioner, involving the claim against the state in
40 excess of twenty thousand dollars of Richard Reynolds, is confirmed.

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In Section 1, the language concerning the denial of the claim was changed to "involving the claim against the state" for consistency.

JUD *Joint Favorable Subst.*

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The resolution, which confirms the decision of the claims commissioner to dismiss several claims against the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars, will not result in a fiscal impact.

The Out Years

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None



OLR Bill Analysis

sHJ 35

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES.

SUMMARY

The Office of Legislative Research does not analyze Resolutions.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 39 Nay 0 (04/04/2022)