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SUMMARY
This bill addresses several different subjects, including online dating, “child grooming,” domestic violence training, employment discrimination and workplace sexual harassment, and anti-discrimination protections for domestic violence victims.

Among other things, the bill does the following:

1. requires online dating operators offering services in Connecticut to provide Connecticut users with safety awareness notifications before allowing them to use their online dating platforms (§§ 1-5);

2. creates a working group to make recommendations on criminalizing “child grooming” (e.g., persuading, coercing, inducing, or enticing a minor to sexually exploit the minor) (§ 6);

3. sets up a grant program administered by the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection (DESPP) to provide education and training on online abuse (§ 7);

4. extends anti-discrimination statutes to cover (a) people working for an employer with one or two employees and (b) elected and
appointed officials for a governmental body (§ 8);

5. prohibits discriminatory practices based on someone’s status as a domestic violence victim (§§ 8, 11 & 14-24);

6. requires state agencies to provide domestic violence training and certain employers to post related information (§§ 9 & 10);

7. broadens the definition of sexual harassment (§§ 11-13);

8. eliminates requirements for Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) supervising attorneys (§§ 9 & 25); and

9. requires the Department of Social Services (DSS) to make $1.44 million available for advocates at domestic violence agencies to provide trauma-informed services (§ 26).

*Senate Amendment “A”* strikes the underlying bill and replaces it with similar provisions. In doing so, it makes changes to the underlying bill, such as (1) requiring online dating operators to provide Connecticut users with safety awareness notifications instead of conducting user verifications, (2) applies the underlying bill’s antidiscrimination protections to victims of domestic violence rather than family violence, and (3) extends antidiscrimination protections to elected and appointed officials. It adds the provision on CHRO’s assignment of counsel. It also removes provisions on (1) impermissible settlement terms and certain defenses against an employment discrimination claim, (2) affirmative actions in public contracts, and (3) campus mental health coalitions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2022, except that the (1) DESPP grant program (§ 7) and DSS funding (§ 26) provisions are effective July 1, 2022; and (2) the working group on criminalizing child grooming (§ 6), domestic violence training (§ 9), and CHRO supervisory attorney changes (§§ 9 & 25) are effective upon passage.

**§§ 1-5 — ONLINE DATING SERVICES**

*Requires online dating operators offering services in Connecticut to provide Connecticut users safety awareness notifications (e.g., whether the operator conducts criminal background screenings) before allowing them to use their online dating platforms and authorizes the DCP commissioner to penalize violators up to $25,000 per violation*
**Affected Online Dating Operators and Users (§ 1)**

The bill imposes its notification requirements on “online dating operators,” defined as anyone who operates a software application (e.g., presumably, an online dating platform) designed to facilitate online dating. An “online dating platform” is a digital service designed to allow users to interact through the internet to initiate relationships with other individuals for the purpose of romance, sex, or marriage (i.e., “online dating”).

Under the bill, a “Connecticut user” is a user (i.e., someone who uses an online dating operator’s service) who (1) provides a Connecticut home address or zip code when registering with an online dating operator or (2) is known or determined by an online dating operator, or its online dating platform, to be in Connecticut at the time of registration.

**Criminal Background Screening Notification (§§ 1 & 2)**

The bill establishes criminal background screening notifications that online dating operators must provide their Connecticut users. The notifications differ depending on whether the operator conducts such a screening.

Under the bill, a “criminal background screening” is a name search for an individual’s history of criminal convictions that is conducted by searching (1) an available and regularly updated government public record database that in the aggregate provides national coverage for searching an individual’s history of criminal convictions or (2) a regularly updated database maintained by a private vendor that provides national coverage for searching an individual’s history of criminal convictions and sexual offender registries. A “criminal conviction” is a conviction for a state or federal crime.

**Screening Not Conducted.** If an online dating operator does not conduct a criminal background screening on each user, then the operator must, before permitting a Connecticut user to communicate through its online dating platform with another user, provide the Connecticut user with a clear and conspicuous notification that the
online dating operator does not conduct a criminal background screening on each user.

**Screening Conducted.** If an online dating operator offers services to Connecticut residents and conducts a criminal background screening on each user, then the operator must, before allowing a Connecticut user to communicate through its platform with another user, provide the Connecticut user a clear and conspicuous notification indicating that the operator conducts a criminal background screening on each user. The notification must include a statement of whether the platform excludes an individual who is identified as having a criminal conviction and a statement that a criminal background screening may (1) be inaccurate or incomplete, (2) give a user a false sense of security, and (3) be circumvented by an individual who has a criminal history. The bill also requires this notification be included on its online dating platform.

**Safety Awareness Notification (§ 3)**

The bill requires online dating operators that offer services to Connecticut residents to provide a safety awareness notification clearly and conspicuously on its online dating platform to all Connecticut users.

The notification must include a list of safety measures reasonably designed to increase awareness of safer online dating practices. It must also include the following statements in substantially similar form:

1. “Use caution when communicating with a stranger who wants to meet you.”

2. “You should not include your last name, electronic mail address, home address, phone number or any other identifying information in your online dating profile or electronic mail messages or communications until you feel comfortable with the other user. Stop communicating with anyone who pressures you for personal or financial information or attempts in any way to coerce you into revealing such information.”

3. “If you choose to have a face-to-face meeting with another user who you met on the online dating platform, tell a family member
or friend where you will be meeting and when you will return. You should not agree to be picked up at your home. Always provide your own transportation to and from your date and meet in a public place with many people around.”

4. “Anyone who is able to commit identity theft can also falsify a dating profile.”

Notification Timing and Communication Mode (§ 4)

The bill requires an online dating operator to communicate the required notifications when a Connecticut user registers with it by email, text message, push notification, inbox message, or in-product message.

The bill specifies that the communication must not address matters other than the criminal background screening notification and the safety awareness notification. Additionally, if the means of communication is character limited, the bill allows the online dating operator to include the full content of the information by linking to a separate website. However, the website may only address the required notifications.

Investigations and Penalties for Violations (§ 5)

The bill authorizes the Department of Consumer Protection (DCP) to penalize violators by (1) issuing fines up to $25,000 per violation, (2) accepting an offer in compromise, or (3) taking other actions allowed under law or regulations. DCP may take these actions if an online dating operator fails to comply with the bill’s provisions.

The bill also allows the commissioner or her designee to (1) conduct investigations and hold hearings on any issue related to the online operator provisions and (2) issue subpoenas, administer oaths, compel testimony, and order the production of books, records, and documents.

Under the bill, if anyone refuses to appear, testify, or produce any book, record, or document when ordered to, then the commissioner or her designee may apply to Superior Court for an appropriate enforcement order.
Additionally, the bill authorizes the attorney general, at the request of the commissioner or his designee, to apply to Superior Court in the name of the state for an order to restrain and enjoin anyone from violating the bill’s provisions on online operators.

§ 6 — WORKING GROUP ON CRIMINALIZING CHILD GROOMING

Establishes a 10-member working group to develop recommendations for legislation to criminalize child grooming

Purpose

The bill establishes a 10-member working group to examine and develop recommendations on potential legislation to criminalize child grooming. Under the bill, child grooming includes persuading, coercing, inducing, or entic ing a minor to (1) sexually exploit or traffic the minor, (2) create child pornography, or (3) engage in prostitution.

(Existing law does not treat these actions as a separate offense, but they are presumably covered by the state’s risk of injury statute (CGS § 53-21) or its criminal attempt statute (CGS § 53a-49).)

Composition

The working group includes the following members:

1. two individuals appointed one each by the Senate president pro tempore and the House speaker,
2. two individuals appointed one each by the Senate and the House minority leaders,
3. four individuals appointed one each by the Judiciary Committee chairpersons and ranking members,
4. the chief public defender or her designee, and
5. the chief state’s attorney or his or her designee.

The appointed members may be legislators.

Timeline

The appointing authorities must (1) make their appointments within
60 days after the bill’s passage and (2) provide a copy of the appointment to the Judiciary Committee administrator within seven days after the appointment.

The chairperson, the Senate president’s nominee, must schedule and hold the working group’s first meeting within 90 days after the bill passes.

**Reporting and Termination**

The working group must (1) report its recommendations to the Judiciary Committee by December 31, 2022, and (2) terminate on the later of the date it submits the report or December 31, 2022.

**§ 7 — ONLINE ABUSE PREVENTION GRANT PROGRAM**

*Creates a grant program, administered by DESPP, to prevent online abuse and provide educational and training opportunities to inform people about identifying, reporting, responding to, and avoiding online abuse*

**Administration and Purpose**

The bill establishes a grant program to be administered by DESPP, in consultation with the State-Wide Hate Crimes Advisory Council, to provide educational and training opportunities to (1) prevent online abuse and (2) inform people about identifying, reporting, responding to, and avoiding online abuse. Under the bill, “online abuse” means the following acts, when conducted using any interactive computer service:

1. speech or conduct motivated by hatred, prejudice, or bigotry towards a person or group based on the person’s actual or perceived religion, national origin, alienage, color, race, sex, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, or disability;

2. harassment, stalking, swatting, or doxing (i.e., publicly revealing previously private personal information about someone, usually via the internet); or

3. an assault.

**Requests for Proposals From Eligible Entities**

Each fiscal year, within three months after receiving funds from the
state, DESPP must issue a request for proposals (RFP) from eligible entities. Under the bill, an “eligible entity” must be located in Connecticut and may be any of the following or any entity operating under them:

1. local or regional school districts,
2. historical societies,
3. tax-exempt entities registered with the Office of the Secretary of the State,
4. government agencies,
5. constituent units of the state higher education system, or
6. public libraries.

Each RFP response must specify:

1. the types of online abuse that the eligible entity proposes to address, which must conform to the program’s purpose;
2. the methods used to achieve the program’s goals;
3. the entity’s other specific goals;
4. the target audience of the training and information that the entity would provide;
5. whether the entity is replicating a program found to have a high likelihood of success as determined by a cost-benefit analysis in a peer reviewed academic journal; and
6. the amount of matching funds the entity will contribute, if any.

**Grant Awards**

The bill authorizes DESPP to award grants for any programming or service that prevents online abuse or furthers the other program goals, including training teachers or school professionals, archiving, public
murals, curriculum development, and marketing.

It allows eligible entities to use awarded funds collectively, including regionally, through coordinated efforts and conferences that achieve the program’s goals.

The bill limits the total grant amount that DESPP may award an eligible entity to a maximum of $30,000 during any fiscal year.

§ 8 — APPLICATION OF ANTIDISCRIMINATION LAWS TO EMPLOYERS WITH ONE OR TWO EMPLOYEES

Subjects employers with one or two employees to the antidiscrimination laws, including those that prohibit discriminatory employment practices or workplace sexual harassment

Under the current human rights and opportunities laws, “employer” means the state and all its political subdivisions and any person or employer with at least three employees. The bill lowers the number of employees an employer must have to be subject to these laws to one or more instead of three or more.

In doing so, it subjects employers with one or two employees to the antidiscrimination laws under the CHRO statutes, including those that prohibit (1) discriminatory employment practices (such as those described under § 11 below) and (2) workplace sexual harassment. These laws also impose certain duties on the employer, such as the duty to provide reasonable accommodation to an employee who is pregnant, unless doing so would be an undue hardship.

Under the bill, employers with one or two employees are no longer exempt from liability for employment discrimination based on any of the protected classes. The bill gives these employees the right to file a complaint with CHRO claiming to be aggrieved by an employer’s alleged discriminatory practice, as employees of employers with three or more employees may do under existing law.

Existing law requires employers with three or more employees to post certain notices and provide training and education on the illegality of workplace sexual harassment. The bill generally subjects employers with one or two employees to these requirements, but existing law
already requires them to provide training and education to their supervisory employees. By law, an employer who fails to post the required notices, or provide the required training and education, must be fined up to $750.

As under existing law for other size employers, under the bill, if an employee of an employer with one or two employees refuses or threatens to refuse to comply with the employment discrimination prohibitions, the employer may file a written complaint under oath asking CHRO for assistance by conciliation or other remedial action.

§ 8 — EXTENSION OF ANTIDISCRIMINATION PROTECTIONS TO ELECTED OR APPOINTED OFFICIALS

* Extends antidiscrimination protection to elected or appointed officials*

The bill makes the elected or appointed officials of a municipality, board, commission, counsel, or other governmental body “employees” covered by the state’s employment discrimination laws. Thus, it extends to them the laws on, among other things, sexual harassment (see above) and required accommodations for pregnant employees.

§§ 9 & 10 — DOMESTIC VIOLENCE TRAINING AND INFORMATION FOR EMPLOYEES

* Requires state agencies, within available appropriations, to provide a one-hour minimum training and education on domestic violence and victim resources; requires employers with three or more employees to post similar information in an accessible location*

**State Agencies (§§ 9 & 10)**

The bill authorizes CHRO to require that all state agencies provide at least one hour of training and education on domestic violence and the resources available to victims. The training must be given to employees hired:

1. before January 1, 2023, by July 1, 2023, and
2. on or after January 1, 2023, within six months after their date of hire.

Under the bill, this training and education for state employees must be done within available appropriations using CHRO’s training and education materials (see below). It must include information on:
1. domestic violence, abuser, and victim behaviors;

2. how domestic violence may impact the workplace; and

3. the resources available to victims.

The bill requires CHRO, in conjunction with domestic violence victim advocacy organizations, to develop:

1. a link with information on domestic violence and available resources for victims and include it on the commission’s website and

2. an online training and education video or other interactive method of training and education that meets the requirements above and make them available to each state agency at no cost.

**Employers With Three or More Employees (§ 9)**

The bill also allows CHRO to require employers with three or more employees to post, in a prominent and accessible location, information on domestic violence and the resources available to such victims in Connecticut.

**§§ 11-13 — WORKPLACE SEXUAL HARASSMENT**

*Broadens the definition of “sexual harassment” to include conduct that interferes, not just substantially interferes, with a person’s work performance and that otherwise meets the definition; applies the workplace sexual harassment provisions to elected or appointed officials*

Under existing law, sexual harassment in the employment context is any unwelcome sexual advances or requests for sexual favors or conduct of a sexual nature in certain circumstances.

The bill broadens the definition under one of these circumstances. It does so by eliminating the current condition that conduct must substantially interfere with a person’s work performance. Instead, it classifies as sexual harassment conduct that interferes with work performance and otherwise meets the definition of sexual harassment.

This change applies to laws that prohibit (1) sexual harassment as a form of employment discrimination under the CHRO statutes, (2)
higher education institution employees or agents from sexually harassing students, and (3) employers or agents from sexually harassing interns. (For higher education students, the conduct must interfere with academic performance, not work performance.)

As under existing law, employment sexual harassment also includes unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or conduct of a sexual nature when:

1. submission is explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of employment;

2. submission or rejection is the basis for employment decisions affecting the person; or

3. the conduct creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment.

Similar provisions apply for higher education students and interns (for students, the inquiry focuses on terms affecting their academic success or the basis for education decisions, rather than employment).

§§ 8, 11 & 14-24 — DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIMS AS A PROTECTED CLASS UNDER ANTI-DISCRIMINATION LAWS

Prohibits discrimination on the basis of someone’s status as a domestic violence victim in employment, public accommodations, housing, the granting of credit, and other laws over which the CHRO has jurisdiction; authorizes such a victim aggrieved by an alleged discriminatory practice to file discrimination complaints with CHRO.

The bill prohibits various forms of discrimination based on someone’s status as a domestic violence victim, such as in employment, public accommodations, housing sales or rentals, granting credit, and several other areas. In several cases, it classifies discrimination on this basis as a “discriminatory practice” under the CHRO laws. By doing so, the act allows individuals aggrieved by these violations, or CHRO itself, to file a complaint with CHRO alleging discrimination.

Domestic Violence Defined (§ 8)

For purposes of the CHRO laws the bill applies a general definition of the term “domestic violence.”
Under the bill, “domestic violence” generally means:

1. a continuous threat of present physical pain or physical injury against a family or household member;

2. stalking, including 2nd degree stalking, of a family or household member;

3. a pattern of threatening, including 2nd degree threatening, of a family or household member or a third party that intimidates the family or household member; or

4. coercive control of a family or household member, which is a pattern of behavior that in purpose or effect unreasonably interferes with a person’s free will and personal liberty (CGS § 46b-1(b)).

**General Anti-Discriminatory Provision and Deprivation of Rights (§ 14)**

Under existing law, it is a discriminatory practice to deprive someone of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by Connecticut or federal laws or constitutions, or cause such a deprivation, because of religion, national origin, alienage, color, race, sex, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, blindness, mental or physical disability, or status as a veteran. The bill adds status as a domestic violence victim to this list.

Under existing law, it is a crime to place a noose or simulation of one on public property, or on private property without the written consent of the owner, and with the intent to harass someone because of any protected class listed above. The bill adds “domestic violence victim” to the list of protected classes.

By law, violation of these provisions is generally a class A misdemeanor but if the violation results in property damage above $1,000, it is a class D felony. In either case, there is a minimum $1,000 fine unless the court states on the record its reasons for reducing it. A class A misdemeanor is punishable by up to 364 days in prison; a class
D felony is punishable by up to five years in prison.

**Employment Discrimination (§ 11)**

The bill prohibits an employer or employer’s agent, unless there is a bona fide occupational qualification or need, from refusing to hire or employ someone; barring or discharging someone from employment; or discriminating against someone in pay or in employment terms, conditions, or privileges because the person is a domestic violence victim. This prohibition applies to all employers, public or private, and all employees except those employed by their parents, spouse, or children.

The bill also prohibits the following kinds of employment discrimination based on domestic violence victim status:

1. employers refusing to provide a reasonable accommodation to an employee whom the employer knows is a victim of domestic violence, unless the absence would cause an undue hardship (see below);

2. employment agencies failing or refusing to classify properly or refer for employment or otherwise discriminating against someone except in the case of a bona fide occupational qualification or need;

3. labor organizations excluding someone from full membership rights, expelling a member, or discriminating in any way against a member, employer, or employee, unless the action is due to a bona fide occupational qualification;

4. employers, employment agencies, labor organizations, or anyone else taking adverse action against someone because he or she opposed a discriminatory employment practice, brought a complaint, or testified or assisted someone else in a complaint proceeding;

5. any person aiding, abetting, inciting, compelling, or coercing someone to commit a discriminatory employment practice or
attempting to do so; and

6. employers, employment agencies, labor organizations, or anyone else advertising employment opportunities in a way that restricts employment and thus discriminates, except for a bona fide occupational qualification or need.

**Reasonable Leave of Absence.** Under the bill, it is a discriminatory practice for an employer or the employer’s agent to deny an employee a reasonable leave of absence in order to:

1. seek attention for injuries caused by domestic violence, including for a child who is a domestic violence victim, as long the employee is not the perpetrator against the child;

2. obtain services, including safety planning, from a domestic violence agency or rape crisis center;

3. obtain psychological counseling, including for a child as long as the employee is not the perpetrator against the child;

4. take other actions to increase safety from future incidents, including temporary or permanent relocation; or

5. obtain legal services, assist in the offense’s prosecution, or otherwise participate in related legal proceedings.

The bill requires an employee who is absent from work under these circumstances to provide a certification to the employer, upon request, within a reasonable time after the absence. The certification must be one of the following:

1. a police report indicating that the employee or the employee’s child was a domestic violence victim,

2. a court order protecting or separating the employee or employee’s child from the perpetrator,

3. other evidence from the court or prosecutor that the employee appeared in court, or
4. documentation from a medical professional or a domestic violence counselor, or other health care provider, that the employee or employee’s child was receiving services, counseling, or treatment for physical or mental injuries or abuse resulting in victimization from domestic violence.

Under the bill, if an employee has a physical or mental disability resulting from a domestic violence incident, the employee must be treated the same as employees with other disabilities.

The bill also requires employers, to the extent allowed by law, to maintain the confidentiality of any information regarding an employee’s status as a domestic violence victim.

**Public Accommodations (§ 16)**

The bill prohibits anyone from denying someone, based on his or her status as a domestic violence victim, full and equal accommodations in any public establishment (i.e., one that caters to or offers its services, facilities, or goods to the general public), including any commercial property or building lot on which a commercial building will be built or offered for sale or rent, subject to lawful conditions and limitations that apply alike to everyone. It further prohibits discriminating, segregating, or separating people based on their domestic violence victim status. Violations are punishable as a class D misdemeanor, subject to a fine of up to $250, up to 30 days’ imprisonment, or both.

**Housing (§ 17)**

The bill prohibits anyone from refusing to sell or rent after a person makes a bona fide offer; or refusing to negotiate for the sale or rental of a dwelling; or otherwise denying or making a dwelling unavailable to someone based on their status as a domestic violence victim.

A violation is a class D misdemeanor, punishable by up to 30 days in prison, a fine of up to $250, or both.

This prohibition does not apply to either of the following if the owner maintains his or her residence there: (1) renting a room or rooms in a single-family home or (2) a unit in a two-family home.
Credit (§ 18)

The bill prohibits a creditor from discriminating against an adult in a credit transaction based on the person’s status as a domestic violence victim.

Other Areas Subject to CHRO’s Jurisdiction (§§ 15 & 19-24)

The bill authorizes CHRO to investigate claims of discrimination based on a person’s status as a domestic violence victim under other laws over which CHRO has jurisdiction. The bill:

1. subjects any professional or trade association, board, or other similar organization whose profession, trade, or occupation requires a state license, to a fine of $100 to $500 for denying someone membership because of his or her status as a domestic violence victim (§ 15);

2. requires state officials and supervisory personnel to recruit, appoint, assign, train, evaluate, and promote state personnel on the basis of merit and qualifications, without regard to their status as a domestic violence victim (§ 19);

3. requires state agency services to be performed without discrimination based on a person’s status as a domestic violence victim (§ 20);

4. requires any state agency that provides employment referrals or placement services to public or private employers to reject any job request that indicates an intention to exclude anyone based on his or her status as a domestic violence victim (§ 21);

5. prohibits state departments, boards, or agencies from granting, denying, or revoking a person’s license or charter on the grounds that he or she is a domestic violence victim (§ 22);

6. requires all educational, counseling, and vocational guidance programs and all apprenticeship and on-the-job training programs of state agencies, or in which they participate, to be open to all qualified people, without regard to domestic violence
victim status (§ 23); and

7. prohibits a person’s status as a domestic violence victim from being considered as a limiting factor in state-administered programs involving the distribution of funds to qualify applicants for benefits authorized by law; and prohibits the state from giving financial assistance to public agencies, private institutions, or other organizations that discriminate on this basis (§ 24).

§ 11 — EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

Prohibits someone from asserting, as a defense to an employment discrimination complaint, that the conduct was not severe or pervasive

The bill prohibits someone from asserting, as a defense to an employment discrimination complaint, that the conduct was not severe or pervasive. The bill specifies that it is an unlawful discriminatory practice when conduct (1) subjects someone to inferior employment terms, conditions, or privileges because of the person’s protected characteristic or characteristics and (2) rises above the level of what a reasonable person with the same protected characteristic or characteristics would consider petty slights or trivial inconveniences.

Under the bill, a person’s failure to make a discrimination complaint about the discrimination is not determinative of the liability of the applicable entity (i.e., the employer, licensing or employment agency, or labor organization).

§§ 9 & 25 — CHRO LEGAL COUNSEL

Eliminates requirements CHRO legal counsel serve as a supervising attorney and that the CHRO executive director assign commission legal counsel through a supervising attorney

Under current law, (1) one CHRO legal counsel must serve as a supervising attorney (2) when CHRO’s executive director assigns, presumably additional, commission legal counsel in certain actions, she must do so through a supervising attorney. This applies when she assigns commission legal counsel to:

1. represent the commission in any proceeding where a state agency or officer is an adversary party and other matters the commission
and the attorney general jointly prescribe;

2. represent the commission in any hearing or appeal on complaints by an employee of a state or quasi-public agency or large state contractor that action has been threatened or occurred in retaliation for whistleblowing; and

3. bring a civil action about an alleged discriminatory practice.

In these cases, the bill eliminates the requirement that the executive director assign commission legal counsel through the supervising attorney. It also eliminates the requirement that one CHRO legal counsel must serve as a supervising attorney.

§ 26 — DSS FUNDING

Requires DSS to make $1.44 million available to domestic violence child and family advocates at domestic violence agencies for trauma-informed services

For FY 23, the bill requires DSS to make $1.44 million available to domestic violence child and family advocates at domestic violence agencies for providing trauma-informed services to children and families experiencing domestic violence.

Under the bill, a “domestic violence agency” is any office, shelter, host home, or agency helping domestic violence victims through crisis intervention, emergency shelter referral, and medical and legal advocacy, that also meets DSS’s service provision criteria.

“Trauma-informed services” are services directed by a thorough understanding of the neurological, biological, psychological, and social effects of trauma and violence on someone.
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