

Sirs,

I'm writing to express my opposition to S.B. 835. This bill has been proposed for a few years now and the intention is quite clear. Some legislators want to take away rights of people they disagree with. In this case, to be blunt, wants to take away the rights of those who provide alternatives to abortion services.

This legislation is based on the false assumption that the state's pregnancy centers deceive their clients. Strange, there's no proof of this accusation, and if this was the case, there are current laws and regulations that can address deception.

The legislation proposes regulations and penalties that don't apply to abortion centers. And the proposed regulations are very vague. It doesn't define what might be deceptive and force pregnancy centers to correct the alleged deception that doesn't exist.

There is more evidence that abortion centers use deception to lure their clients to the operating table. They provide no services to women who want to bring their child into the world.

Finally, this type of legislation has been ruled unconstitutional by the U.S. Supreme Court, so why is this legislature even considering this bill? Oh, yes, it's because you want to trample the rights of those who provide alternative services to abortion. This is contrary to the oath each legislator takes to protect and defend the state constitution.

David R. Lyon

23 Winter St., Willimantic, CT 06226