

OFFICE OF FISCAL ANALYSIS

Legislative Office Building, Room 5200
Hartford, CT 06106 ◊ (860) 240-0200
<http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa>

HB-7160

AN ACT INCREASING VOTER ACCESS.

AMENDMENT

LCO No.: 9885

File Copy No.: 754

House Calendar No.: 452

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 20 \$	FY 21 \$
Secretary of the State	GF - Cost	Up to 152,500	Up to 30,000
Various State Agencies	GF - Cost	2,500	
State Elections Enforcement Commission	GF - Potential Cost	See Below	See Below

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 20 \$	FY 21 \$
Various Municipalities	Potential Cost	See Below	See Below

Explanation

The amendment strikes the underlying bill and its associated fiscal impact.

Section 1 of the amendment allows registrars of voters to apply to the Secretary of the State (SOS) for permission to designate additional Election Day Registration (EDR) locations. To the extent that municipalities need to designate an additional EDR location, there could be potential associated costs, including, but not limited to: providing a polling location, hiring and training additional EDR staff,

Primary Analyst: MG
Contributing Analyst(s):

5/28/19
()

purchasing additional equipment, and hiring police.

The amendment also requires the State Elections Enforcement Commission (SEEC) to conduct an investigation if the registrars of voters cannot verify the residency of an admitted applicant. Dependent on the number of investigations, SEEC may need to hire additional staff.

Sections 2 through 5, which allow the Governor to deliver writs of election electronically for certain vacancies, have no fiscal impact.

Sections 6 through 11 allows the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), voter registration agencies, and requires public high education institutions to use an SOS approved electronic system when registering qualified voter registration applicants as electors. Such system must comply with the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) requirements.

Pursuant to a May 16, 2016, memorandum of understanding between the SOS and DMV there is already an established process and timeline for developing an automatic voter registration system. Thus, these provisions have no fiscal impact to DMV.

In order to develop an electronic system, it is anticipated the SOS will have to hire a consultant at a cost of up to \$150,000 in FY 20. The costs would be associated with the consultant modifying the existing online voter registration system to include the ability for applications to sign using a signature pad and to set up signature kiosks at such agencies. A cost of up to \$30,000 is anticipated in FY 21 in order to maintain the software of such application. In addition, each voter registration agency will need a kiosk set up which can accept electronic signatures from applicants at a cost of \$2,500 in FY 20 to each such agency.

The bill also requires SOS to develop and implement a system through which individuals may submit electronic signatures in order to sign elections-related forms and applications, other than those from

campaign finance purposes.

It is anticipated SOS will need to purchase software for developing forms that can be electronically signed at a cost of up to \$2,500 in FY 20. It is unclear how the electronic signature will be implemented once developed and potential costs may be incurred in FY 21 for each form signed.

Sections 12 and 13 have no fiscal impact. Specifically, Section 13 eliminates the requirement that all fines related to a felony conviction must be paid as a condition for restoring the elector privileges of certain convicted felons. As convicted felons are not released from confinement if they owe a fine, but they remain incarcerated and their unpaid fine balance is reduced at a daily rate, this section has no fiscal impact.

The preceding Fiscal Impact statement is prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for the purposes of information, summarization and explanation and does not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.