sSB-964
AN ACT CONCERNING COURT OPERATIONS.
   As Amended by Senate "A" (LCO 8206)
Senate Calendar No.: 441

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Affected</th>
<th>Fund-Effect</th>
<th>FY 20 $</th>
<th>FY 21 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judicial Dept.</td>
<td>GF - Cost</td>
<td>approx. 250,000 annually</td>
<td>approx. 250,000 annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub. Defender Serv. Com.</td>
<td>GF - Savings</td>
<td>approx. 250,000 annually</td>
<td>approx. 250,000 annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill requires the Judicial Department (JUD) to share the cost for appointing interest of justice (IOJ) counsel with Division of Public Defender Service (PDS). Currently PDS expends approximately $500,000 annually for IOJ appointments. The bill results in a cost of approximately $250,000 to JUD and corresponding savings to PDS of the same amount.

The bill makes various other changes that do not result in a fiscal impact.

Senate “A” makes technical changes and does not result in a fiscal impact.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would
continue into the future subject to the number of cases.

The preceding Fiscal Impact statement is prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for the purposes of information, summarization and explanation and does not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst’s professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.