AN ACT INCREASING FAIRNESS AND TRANSPARENCY IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.

As Amended by Senate "A" (LCO 9747)

Senate Calendar No.: 437

---

**OFA Fiscal Note**

**State Impact:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Affected</th>
<th>Fund-Effect</th>
<th>FY 20 $</th>
<th>FY 21 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Justice, Div.</td>
<td>GF - Cost</td>
<td>691,327</td>
<td>729,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub. Defender Serv. Com.</td>
<td>GF - Cost</td>
<td>252,342</td>
<td>266,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comptroller- Fringe Benefits¹</td>
<td>GF - Cost</td>
<td>388,697</td>
<td>410,075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: GF=General Fund

**Municipal Impact:** None

**Explanation**

The bill requires the Division of Criminal Justice (DCJ) to collect specified disaggregated, case level data and results in a cost of $976,085 in FY 20 and $1,028,384 in FY 21. The required data is currently not collected in this form by DCJ and would require DCJ to hire one paralegal for each of the 13 judicial district courthouses.

The bill requires the Public Defender Services Commission (PDS) to establish a pilot program to provide representation at parole revocation hearings and results in a cost of $356,282 in FY 20 and $375,876 in FY 21 for four positions.

Senate “A” makes procedural changes to the Criminal Justice Commission and does not result in a fiscal impact.

¹The fringe benefit costs for most state employees are budgeted centrally in accounts administered by the Comptroller. The estimated active employee fringe benefit cost associated with most personnel changes is 41.19% of payroll in FY 20 and FY 21.
The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

The preceding Fiscal Impact statement is prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for the purposes of information, summarization and explanation and does not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst’s professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.