



American Cancer Society
Cancer Action Network
825 Brook Street
I-91 Tech Center
Rocky Hill, CT, 06067
(203)-379-4850
www.acscan.org

**Public Health Committee
March 20, 2018**

The American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network (ACS CAN) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on **SB 164 - AN ACT RAISING THE LEGAL AGE TO PURCHASE TOBACCO TO TWENTY-ONE**, and to discuss the serious impact tobacco use has on Connecticut's health and economy. ACS CAN is the nonprofit, nonpartisan advocacy affiliate of the American Cancer Society that supports evidence-based policy and legislative solutions designed to eliminate cancer as a major health problem.

The Toll of Tobacco Use in Connecticut

Despite significant progress since the first Surgeon General's report, issued over 50 years ago, tobacco related diseases are the single most preventable cause of death in our society, yet according to DPH statistics, **tobacco use continues to kill more people in Connecticut each year than alcohol, AIDS, car crashes, illegal drugs, accidents, murders and suicides combined**ⁱ.

Tragically, 4,900 adults will die in Connecticut from smoking this year—13 per day. Additionally, 1300 kids will become new daily smokers—over 3 per day, every dayⁱⁱ and many of them will move on to using multiple tobacco products. As adolescents' brains are more susceptible to the effects of nicotine and nicotine addiction, powerful interventions are needed to keep youth from life-long addictions to these deadly products.

Statistically speaking, therefore, two or three people in Connecticut will have died from causes related to tobacco use during the course of this hearing today. Sadly, a couple of people in Connecticut will have tried tobacco for the first time during the course of this hearing as well—with about a 95 percent chance that person is under 18. In fact, 56,000 kids alive today in Connecticut will die prematurely from tobacco useⁱⁱⁱ.

In FY '19, Connecticut is projected to receive \$504 million in combined revenue from tobacco taxes and from the Master Settlement Agreement, which amounts to \$57,400 every hour of every day^{iv}. However, Connecticut incurs \$2.03 billion in annual health care costs related to tobacco use, or \$231,000 every hour of every day^v. **The cost of tobacco is \$173,600 more per hour than we receive in revenue. Every hour, every day.**

Increasing the sale age for tobacco products to 21, or Tobacco 21, is a promising intervention worthy of discussion as a complimentary part of a comprehensive tobacco

control strategy. One that includes proven ways to reduce health care costs, death and suffering from tobacco-related illnesses, such as fully funding tobacco prevention and cessation programs as outlined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

According to a March 2015 Institute of Medicine report- *Public Health Implications of Raising the Minimum Age of Legal Access to Tobacco Products*^{vi}, raising the national minimum legal sale age to 21 is predicted to reduce smoking prevalence by about 12 percent and smoking-related deaths by nearly 10 percent for future generations.

The report authors predicted that raising the national minimum age of sale for tobacco products, including electronic cigarettes, will prevent tobacco use, reduce suffering and death from tobacco-related diseases and save lives among the next generation of Americans.

It is important to note, however, it takes more than just changing 18 to 21 in existing statutes for the desired health benefits to be reached.

An effective Tobacco 21 law must include all tobacco products, including electronic cigarettes while not creating new categories of products, which would exempt them from other tobacco control laws.

Laws prohibiting sales to minors have historically not been effective stand-alone measures. Compliance with the law should be the responsibility of the retailer, and penalties for violations should not fall on the youth and young adults attempting to purchase tobacco. The focus should be on increasing the minimum age of sale, not the minimum age of purchase.

Public education campaigns and training and technical assistance for retailers must be provided as part of implementation to increase public awareness and enforcement of the new law.

More research on how effective raising the minimum sale age is in reducing tobacco use is critical and we strongly recommend the bill include a commitment to fully monitor and evaluate, through a nonprofit or government entity with expertise in evaluation of tobacco control policies, the impact of this policy to add to the body of research supporting this intervention.

Many of the existing statutes amended by this bill have been in place and largely unaltered for over 25 years. While reflective of the best thinking and best practices of the time, over two decades of additional evaluation and development of more appropriate interventions suggest this is an opportune time to update our existing statutes to more adequately ensure people are protected from the dangers of tobacco use.

Additionally, Tobacco 21 should not contain any language that would preempt other jurisdictions from passing strong tobacco control laws.

The evidence shows state and local governments can reduce tobacco use, save lives and save money by implementing three proven solutions to the problem: 1) Implementing smoke-free laws 2) Regular and significant increases in tobacco taxes and 3) Fully funding evidence based tobacco prevention and cessation programs. Separately each approach can help, but putting into place all three of these strategies will maximize the benefits to the states.

A 2013 study published in the *American Journal of Public Health* found that between 2002 and 2008, each of these measures separately contributed to declines in youth smoking and together they reduced the number of youth smokers by about 220,000. The study also found that states could achieve far greater gains if they more fully implemented these proven strategies^{vii}.

2017 CDC statistics indicate 4,900 adults will die in Connecticut from smoking this year.^{viii} Statistically speaking, therefore, three or four people in Connecticut will have died from causes related to tobacco use during the course of this hearing today. Adding to the tragedy, someone in Connecticut will have tried tobacco for the first time during course of this hearing as well.

Connecticut receives \$527 million annually between the MSA funds and tobacco tax revenue. Over the years, however, less than 1% of the cumulative total has been spent in support of smoking cessation services. In 2013 we spent \$6 million on TUC, for 2014 and 2015 that number was cut in half. However for FY '16 and now FY '17, that number is zero. *Our children are worth more than zero.*

It gets worse. Since it's inception in 2000, the Tobacco and Health Trust fund has been raided or had funds redirected 67 times. Of the total deposits into the THTF since 2000, only \$29.7 million will have been spent on tobacco control while \$195.7 million has been redirected to non –tobacco related programs, including \$134 million redirected directly into the General Fund^{ix}.

The CDC recommends \$32 million be spent on tobacco control programs in Connecticut *per year*. To put it starkly, we have dedicated a cumulative total of \$29.7 million for tobacco control during those 16 years-- *\$2.3 million less than the CDC recommends we spend annually*. While the state has continually underfunded programs with proven results and for the last two fiscal years has eliminated funding them altogether, *Connecticut incurs \$2.03 billion in annual health care costs.*

We can, should and need to do more. We know what can be done, what has a demonstrably proven level of success and at what cost and with a reasonable expectation on return of investment.

The 2014 Surgeon General's report found, "States that have made larger investments in comprehensive tobacco control programs have seen larger declines in cigarettes sales than the nation as a whole, and the prevalence of smoking among adults and youth has declined faster, as spending for tobacco control programs has increased."^x The report

concluded that long-term investment is critical: “Experience also shows that the longer the states invest in comprehensive tobacco control programs, the greater and faster the impact.”

States that have funded tobacco control have indeed seen results:

- Washington State saw a 5-1 savings with their program between 2000-2009 and cut adult smoking by a third and youth smoking in half^{xi}.
- Florida, which has a constitutional amendment that provides \$66 million per year, has seen their adult smoking rate plummet from 21.1% in 2007 to 16.8% in 2014 and their youth smoking rate drop to 6.9% in 2015 from a high of 10.5% in 2006^{xii}.
- In California, lung cancer rates declined by a third between 1988 and 2011^{xiii}.
- Alaska, one of only two states to fully fund according to the CDC recommendations, has cut its high school smoking rate by 70% since 1995^{xiv}.
- Maine reduced its youth smoking rates by two thirds between 1997-2013^{xv}.

70% of Connecticut’s smokers indicate they want to quit while 40% attempt to quit each year, however only about 5% are successful. Many fail because, in part, of a lack of access to successful cessation programs. Funding tobacco use prevention and cessation programs that alleviate this burden on our citizens and economy are not only consistent with our shared goal of insuring access to care to those in need, it is also the only fiscally responsible approach we can take.

Research shows that frequent tobacco tax increases, smoke-free workplace laws and fully funded tobacco cessation and prevention programs reduce youth initiation and help tobacco users quit. Increasing the sale age for tobacco products to 21 would be a significant step towards further reducing tobacco use in Connecticut.

Tobacco use remains the leading cause of preventable death in this country. The U.S. Surgeon General estimates that 56,000 Connecticut youth alive today will lose their lives prematurely if we don’t do more to reduce current smoking rates^{xvi}. State policymakers must support proven policy interventions that reduce tobacco use so our children can grow up not as next generation smokers but as a tobacco-free generation.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.

Bryte Johnson
Connecticut Director of Government Relations
American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network

ⁱ <https://authoring.ct.gov.com//DPH/Health-Information-Systems--Reporting/Mortality/Mortality-Tables--2000-to-2014-with-74-Cause-of-Death-Codes>

ⁱⁱ CDC, *Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs—2014*.

ⁱⁱⁱ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). *The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for

Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2014

^{iv} FY 2019 Governor's Budget Revision

http://www.ct.gov/opm/lib/opm/budget/2019midterm/31_sectiona.pdf

^v Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids – Tobacco in Connecticut

<https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/problem/toll-us/connecticut>

^{vi} http://iom.nationalacademies.org/~media/Files/Report%20Files/2015/TobaccoMinAge/tobacco_minimum_age_report_brief.pdf

^{vii} Matthew C. Farrelly, Brett R. Loomis, Beth Han, Joe Gfroerer, Nicole Kuiper, G. Lance Couzens, Shanta Dube, and Ralph S. Caraballo. A Comprehensive Examination of the Influence of State Tobacco Control Programs and Policies on Youth Smoking. *American Journal of Public Health*: March 2013, Vol. 103, No. 3, pp. 549-555. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2012.300948

^{viii} CDC, *Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs—2014*,

http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/stateandcommunity/best_practices/.

^{ix} Tobacco and Health Trust Fund Annual Report, 2016 -

http://www.ct.gov/opm/lib/opm/secretary/tobacco/2016_tobacco_&_health_trust_fund_report.pdf

^x U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2014.

^{xi} Washington State Department of Health, Tobacco Prevention and Control Program, *Progress Report*, March 2011 □

^{xii} Florida Department of Health, Bureau of Epidemiology, Division of Disease Control and Health Protection. Florida Youth Tobacco Survey, 2015, http://www.floridahealth.gov/statistics-and-data/survey-data/fl-youth-tobacco-survey/_documents/2015-state/index.html □ □

^{xiii} California Department of Public Health, California Tobacco Control Program, California Tobacco Facts and Figures 2015, Sacramento, CA 2015,

<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/tobacco/Documents/Resources/Fact%20Sheets/2015FactsFigures-web2.pdf> □

^{xiv} Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program Annual report

<http://dhss.alaska.gov/dph/Chronic/Documents/Tobacco/PDF/TobaccoARFY13.pdf> □ Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, “2015 Youth Risk Behavior Survey Results,” November 2015,

http://dhss.alaska.gov/dph/Chronic/Documents/yrbs/2015AKTradHS_YRBS_SummaryTables.pdf.

^{xv} National Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 1997 and 2013. □

^{xvi} Youth projected to die prematurely: *The Health Consequences of Smoking: 50 Years of Progress. A Report of the Surgeon General*, 2014.