

Dear Energy and Technology Committee member,

I am a life-long resident of Connecticut, and care deeply about the environmental 'state' of our state. Not just for the immediate future, but long term as well. This affects not only Connecticut citizens' health & well being, but their financial well being also. Connecticut is lagging behind its neighbors on climate leadership. But you have an opportunity to right that wrong this session with progressive climate goals, structural reform, and enhanced policy tools that will put the state on a positive path through 2030 and beyond.

**Renewable Portfolio Standard (Sec. 1—SUPPORT BUT MAKE STRONGER):** We need an even stronger RPS than is proposed to ensure Connecticut meets the Global Warming Solutions Act's greenhouse gas reduction requirements. Fifty percent renewables by 2030 will put us on that economy-boosting renewable infrastructure trajectory. We need to make this move this year so Connecticut is ready to transition away from fossil fuels and nuclear power when the time is right.

**Net Metering (Sec. 4 and 5—OPPOSE):** The proposal to eliminate net metering would cripple a renewable energy future for Connecticut and our solar industry. Solar users have property rights that should allow them to keep the unused solar power they make during the day, store it in a battery, and use it whenever they please. Any changes to Connecticut's net metering program should mirror neighboring states' laws that protect the right to consume and store self-generated clean energy.

**Energy Efficiency Savings Targets (Sec. 7—SUPPORT BUT MAKE STRONGER):** Strong mandates for energy efficiency are some of the most effective investments in cutting climate change, protecting public health, and building a green workforce. Now more than ever, after devastating raids of the energy efficiency funds, the state must be more aggressive—like Massachusetts is—and double the current annual savings target proposed in SB 9.

**Clean Energy Fund/Green Bank (Sec. 9—SUPPORT):** The raids against clean energy funds last session hurt renewable growth in Connecticut, and must not be repeated. But SB 9 attempts to triage that injury through a minimal, time-limited increase in Green Bank funding (through 2025). It will allow the CT Green Bank to become self-sustaining without future ratepayer support.

I urge you to do the right thing for not only my family, but for all residents of Connecticut, today and into our healthy and renewable energy future. As a state, and a country, we need to look towards renewable energy solutions that are sensible for our citizens, our economy, and our planet - for now and into the future. Thank you for your time & support in this.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Thompson

6 Town Bridge Rd Collinsville, CT 06019-3415 [mt750str@yahoo.com](mailto:mt750str@yahoo.com)