

Dear Energy and Technology Committee member,

Connecticut is lagging behind its neighbors on climate leadership. But you have an opportunity to right that wrong this session with progressive climate goals, structural reform, and enhanced policy tools that will put the state on a positive path through 2030 and beyond.

**Renewable Portfolio Standard (Sec. 1—SUPPORT BUT MAKE STRONGER):** We need an even stronger RPS than is proposed to ensure Connecticut meets the Global Warming Solutions Act's greenhouse gas reduction requirements. Fifty percent renewables by 2030 will put us on that economy-boosting renewable infrastructure trajectory. We need to make this move this year so Connecticut is ready to transition away from fossil fuels and nuclear power when the time is right.

**Net Metering (Sec. 4 and 5—OPPOSE):** The proposal to eliminate net metering would cripple a renewable energy future for Connecticut and our solar industry. Solar users have property rights that should allow them to keep the unused solar power they make during the day, store it in a battery, and use it whenever they please. Any changes to Connecticut's net metering program should mirror neighboring states' laws that protect the right to consume and store self-generated clean energy.

**Energy Efficiency Savings Targets (Sec. 7—SUPPORT BUT MAKE STRONGER):** Strong mandates for energy efficiency are some of the most effective investments in cutting climate change, protecting public health, and building a green workforce. Now more than ever, after devastating raids of the energy efficiency funds, the state must be more aggressive—like Massachusetts is—and double the current annual savings target proposed in SB 9.

**Clean Energy Fund/Green Bank (Sec. 9—SUPPORT):** The raids against clean energy funds last session hurt renewable growth in Connecticut, and must not be repeated. But SB 9 attempts to triage that injury through a minimal, time-limited increase in Green Bank funding (through 2025). It will allow the CT Green Bank to become self-sustaining without future ratepayer support.

In addition to SB:9, why does Eversource punish solar panel owners by charging high distribution rates to its customers? These customers are producing their own energy and helping to keep the earth under the temperature bubble. How does that increase individual's incentive to go solar and save the earth for future generations?

Sincerely,

Mm. Eva Csejtey

351 Browns Rd Storrs Mansfield, CT 06268-2816 [ecsejtey@yahoo.com](mailto:ecsejtey@yahoo.com)