

Dear Energy and Technology Committee member,

Connecticut is lagging behind its neighbors on climate leadership. But you have an opportunity to right that wrong this session with progressive climate goals, structural reform, and enhanced policy tools that will put the state on a positive path through 2030 and beyond.

Renewable Portfolio Standard (Sec. 1—SUPPORT BUT MAKE STRONGER): We need an even stronger RPS than is proposed to ensure Connecticut meets the Global Warming Solutions Act's greenhouse gas reduction requirements. Fifty percent renewables by 2030 will put us on that economy-boosting renewable infrastructure trajectory. We need to make this move this year so Connecticut is ready to transition away from fossil fuels and nuclear power when the time is right.

Net Metering (Sec. 4 and 5—OPPOSE): The proposal to eliminate net metering would cripple a renewable energy future for Connecticut and our solar industry. Solar users have property rights that should allow them to keep the unused solar power they make during the day, store it in a battery, and use it whenever they please. Any changes to Connecticut's net metering program should mirror neighboring states' laws that protect the right to consume and store self-generated clean energy.

Energy Efficiency Savings Targets (Sec. 7—SUPPORT BUT MAKE STRONGER): Strong mandates for energy efficiency are some of the most effective investments in cutting climate change, protecting public health, and building a green workforce. Now more than ever, after devastating raids of the energy efficiency funds, the state must be more aggressive—like Massachusetts is—and double the current annual savings target proposed in SB 9 while ensuring equity based contracting practices, stakeholder input, and fuel blind services for All ratepayers as well as Equal access to both direct EE service and incentives for All ratepayers, not just Gas heated customers.

Clean Energy Fund/Green Bank (Sec. 9—SUPPORT): The raids against clean energy funds last session hurt renewable growth in Connecticut, and devastated the efficiency industry resulting in layoffs and businesses leaving Connecticut. This must not be repeated. But SB 9 attempts to triage that injury through a minimal, time-limited increase in Green Bank funding (through 2025).

I oppose that this bill does not support direct service to ratepayers through the energy Efficiency programs which are paid for through the collection of ratepayer electric funds.

This bill seems to only support financing for ratepayers, through the Green Bank, and demonstrates no focus on equity based incentives for low income or working families who pay a much higher percentage of their annual income on energy.

We must keep in mind that this Bill 9 proposes to utilize more ratepayer funds for Green Bank growth and development and should minimally enhance efficiency services to ALL ratepayers regardless of heating fuel sources.

I urge you to do the right thing for not only my family, but for all residents of Connecticut, today and ensure equal and fair access to direct services which are intended to lower energy waste and energy costs for the ratepayers of Connecticut. By reducing our energy waste we can begin to put those savings towards our renewable energy future.

Sincerely,
Ms. Leticia Colon de Mejias
398 Palisado Ave Windsor, CT 06095-2031 icolonees@gmail.com