

Member of Energy and Technology Submitted by Tom McCormick W.Htfd.
Dominion tells us nuclear power is safe. How can that statement be taken at face value?

Millstone is failing. Mishaps are piling -up.

Millstone Unit 3 Reactor's AFW Near-Miss (to be continued)

<http://www.ucsusa.org/search/site/millstone#.WKePHVUrLIU>

Millstone plants are aging become more and more subject to accidents. Radiation is blasting metal molecular bonds apart.

<http://allthingsnuclear.org/dlochbaum/nuclear-plant-aging>

<https://www.technologyreview.com/s/544211/how-old-is-too-old-for-a-nuclear-reactor/>

Don't expect the NRC to protect us.

Last year the commissioners rejected a recommendation from their own technical staff that the existing rules for relicensing should be revised to reflect increased concerns around going from 60 years to 80.

<https://www.technologyreview.com/s/544211/how-old-is-too-old-for-a-nuclear-reactor/>

Serious accidents are numerous.

Nuclear power plant accidents: listed and ranked since 1952

SB106 will hurt ratepayers. Testimony of Tom McCormick West Hartford

Some are suggesting that locking Millstone production at a set price can save ratepayers money by hedging against rising NG price rises.

What evidence of rising gas prices do they site?

I present evidence that there will be no significant rise in price during Millstone' likely lifespan.

Production of NG is slated to rise holding down the price.

The production of both oil and natural gas is now booming in the United States, but a new 30-year federal forecast sees a falloff in oil after 2020. It expects no slowdown for natural gas.

<http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2013/12/16/doe-forecast-natural-gas-boom/4034723/>

There are abundant supplies of natural gas in the world, and many of these supplies can be developed and produced at relatively low cost. In the U.S., despite their relative

maturity, natural gas resources continue to grow, and the development of low-cost and abundant unconventional natural gas resources, particularly shale gas, has a material impact on future availability and price.

<http://energy.mit.edu/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/MITEI-The-Future-of-Natural-Gas.pdf>

"Nuclear energy is a non-pollutant to the environment." Representative McCarthy

Gross misrepresentation do not serve her constituents nor the CT public.

I guess in her reality Fukushima and Chernobyl never happened. I guess that on her fantasy island nuclear energy related Super Fund sites don't exist. No McKinley, New Mexico groundwater contamination by acidic mill tailings, sulfate, thorium, radium, aluminum, ammonia and iron from former uranium ore milling operations of United Nuclear Corp.

No West Valley, NY; No Paducah OH; No Piketon, OH.; No Oak Ridge, TN; No ...

I guess the daily release of toxic chemicals detailed in Millstone's CT Clean Water permit are a myth. I guess Ms. McCarthy would be willing drink hydrazine to show that it is safe.

I guess the Church Rock uranium mill tailing retention dam didn't breach.

I guess all would enjoy the golden glow of Coors beer caused by using water tainted with uranium.*

I guess the daily releases of ionizing radiation that is subjecting the population to a massive game of genetic roulette from Millstone is "fake news."

I guess KM forgot that Karl Morgan Sr., former Assistant Director of Oak Ridge National Labs and considered the father of health physics, declared "There is no safe dose."

Referring to radiation exposure. I guess she missed the radiation alarms ringing at EB when Millstone belched radiation.

Energy and Tech Committee members, please request Rep. McCarthy have a knowledgeable party proofread her work and resubmit her testimony.

Waterford area residents didn't elect her to be a propagandist for Dominion's Millstone.

Tom McCormick

West Hartford, CT

*Joke. Coors trucks in its water. The river flowing into Golden is polluted with uranium mine tailings.