

I am writing to register my support for this proposed bill. Millstone Station needs to remain economically viable long term in order to continue to provide a potent source of carbon-free electricity. If not allowed to compete on the same basis as other carbon-free electricity sources, there is a significant risk that the station will be forced by economics to stop electricity production before the end of its useful life. Wind, solar and other renewable sources of energy would not be able to take up the slack by that time, meaning that Connecticut would become more dependent than it currently is on fossil-fuel burning plants to sustain its electricity needs. I see no reason why nuclear generated electricity should have to compete on a less favorable footing than any other form of zero-carbon generated electricity. In addition, Millstone is a key provider of high-paying jobs, important to the community where I live, and it is providing something we need: carbon-free energy. For these two reasons the state should help make the economics favorable for Millstone Station, rather than doing the opposite. As a rate-payer, I feel assured that the bill, and other state regulations, will adequately protect my interests, as well.

Ethan Weiner
20 Chapman Drive
East Lyme, CT 06333