

I support SB 630 to strengthen and extend into the future the RPS standard

I oppose SB 106 because nuclear power is definitely not renewable and is not zero carbon. Please do not destroy our renewable future by stealing the funds intended for wind and solar power. Nuclear power is too expensive to compete and the only new reactors that should be built are those that can burn the waste fuel rods already at Millstone. This type is called an integrated fast breeder reactor and should be cooled with molten salt or molten lead like several Russian reactors.

I oppose SB 412 because more solar power is more important than more farmland, and the solar panels will preserve future use as farmland. All large solar ground mounted arrays should be encouraged to use goats or sheep to keep down the weeds instead of carcinogenic sprays. This should be especially convenient in an agricultural area.

The State needs to slow down the current wasteful practice of installing non-reversible central air conditioning in new and upgraded structures. It only costs a few percent more to install a reversible air source heat pump of the same capacity, that can provide most of the heat in mild weather from renewable power and reduce our fossil fuel use. Heat pumps are the only practical way to heat our structures from renewable energy sources.

Phil