

Hello

The CHRO area needs to be drastically changed. I have been a victim of this area and was denied by right to due process. I was told to settle to get out or I would not have a chance and would have to spend more thousands of dollars. I had to pay thousands of dollars to settle and thousands of dollars for a lawyer. How is this fair? I was recorded without my knowledge and told that it was OK for the complainant to do this unlawful act. I also found out that the complainant brought another case and was awarded \$8,000. A great way to make money.....

This process is not fair at all and should be changed. A drunk driver gets off easier than a landlord, but, also has a right to due process. Infact a criminal has more rights and fairness than a landlord.

Damages should be limited, a maximum of a \$1,000.00 for the first offense and manatory education. The complainant should not get thousands of dollars.

Private attorneys should not be able to use CHRO to obtain any settlements. There should be a fair trial with an organization representing both sides. CHRO does not want to hear from the landlord.

The burden of proof should be raised to be fair to both sides.

Complainants must be pre-screened and habitual offenders should not be considered.

If there are any recordings done without the offerners knowledge the case should be thrown out. This is an illegal practice and should not be allowed.

With the many items above, CHRO needs to be changed to fair to ALL parties involved.

Dennis Nichols