

## Carroll, Rhonda

---

**From:** Andy and Angela George <aageorge27@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 23, 2016 9:19 AM  
**To:** JudTestimony  
**Cc:** Amy Paterson; Rep. Orange, Linda; Sen. Linares, Art  
**Subject:** Testimony for 2/24 public hearing - Raised Bill 144

Dear Co-Chair Coleman, Co-Chair Tong, and Honorable Members of the Judiciary Committee,

Please accept this as my testimony (for public hearing held on 2/24/16) in OPPOSITION of Raised Bill 144, AAC Land That Is Subject to a Conservation Restriction Held by A Nonprofit Land-Holding Organization.

I oppose Raised Bill 144 because:

- It deletes a provision passed last year by the General Assembly which prevents land subject to a conservation easement held by a land trust from being taken by adverse possession. (Use attached for additional talking points to help you draft your testimony)
- If your organization is facing or has faced a claim of adverse possession and/or has had to deal with the headaches of enforcing a CR against successor landowners, please add a description of that experience(s) to your testimony.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Yours truly,  
Andrew George, President  
Colchester Land Trust  
27 Heatherwood Dr.  
Colchester, CT 06415