

Carroll, Rhonda

From: Guy Cranfill <gcranfill@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2016 7:09 PM
To: JudTestimony
Cc: zRepresentative John Scott
Subject: Governor's Bill No. 5054

Good evening,

I have a couple of questions about this bill. As I understand it, persons served with an ex parte protective order would be required to surrender and firearms permits along with any firearms and ammunition they possess, without an opportunity for a hearing? Since the right to bear arms is guaranteed by the CT constitution (and second amendment of the US constitution). Since both the 14th amendment to the constitution says "nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law" why would we explicitly remove the due process required by the current law? My second question is what does this bill have to do with the governor's budget, the stated purpose of the bill?

I request you oppose this bill in its current form and either reject it or return the due process clauses to the bill.

Guy Cranfill
Gales Ferry (Ledyard) CT