

Senate bill 227 addresses the ownership of ivory for, apparently, any purpose. The question has always been a matter of protection. And to that end I will not object. However, to make unlawful the possession of legally purchased or otherwise legally obtained ivory prior to the passage of laws rendering possession unlawful is overreaching, as too is the proposed search and seizure provision inclusive in senate bill 227.

The giant leap sought by the passage of senate bill 227 takes us too far too fast. Compromise is in order.

Richard Quinn