

Price, Richard

From: Eunice Johnson <euniceej57@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2015 7:10 AM
To: Sen. Kissel, John A.; pstestimony
Subject: Bill 1090

I am an Enfield citizen, and I am **adamantly opposed** to the building of a casino on the 91 corridor in the northern part of Connecticut. There are a dozen reasons why I oppose this idea, all which I suspect my state legislators have heard repeatedly. The rationale *for* building seems to be to pull consumers away from the new casino being erected in Springfield. Speaking with friends who frequent casinos, I asked which, if a competing casino was to be built in northern CT, they would patronize: the one in northern CT, or the Springfield facility. Without fail, they responded, "Whichever one was bigger and nicer!" They are not going to stay in CT through any sense of loyalty to our state, so **unless we plan to "out-do" the facility being built in Springfield** (and then we are running the gamble that two huge facilities can be supported within a few miles of each other), **even the rationale for the business plan is suspect, in addition to all the negative implications of a new casino in northern CT.**

PLEASE do not pass BILL 1090 into law.