

6949

My name is Ruth Stearns Pass,

I am a mother and a concerned citizen. I oppose house bill 6949 and believe that it is an infringement on the freedom of religious practice in our state.

There is no legal precedence for having a citizen provide a notarized confirmation of one specific Religious belief. There is no legal precedence for asking a parent to incriminate themselves by claiming that they are aware this belief "Puts their children and other children at risk."

This is a poorly thought out law which will have many legal challenges if brought forth. The future implications alone for the incriminating language of the bill (toward the parent) leaves open the door for questions as to application by such departments as DCF. This is a clear and present danger to Parental Rights and Religious freedom.

In the state of Ct. we have exceeded CDC target rates for vaccination. The small percentage of Religious waivers pose no threat to others. **Herd immunity numbers have been met, exceeded and maintained.**

This bill is an over stepping of governmental regulation to force those who have a moral and religious objection to vaccination to

- A) Notarize that specific religious belief is indeed held by them (this is illegal)
- B) Notarize that they acknowledge that their unvaccinated child presents a danger to others (absolutely incriminating and not true.)

This bill will be met with strong legal and parental objection. Please Vote 'NO'

Regards,

Ruth Pass

Standard Process New England
860.284.8751