



State of Connecticut
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
STATE CAPITOL
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06106-1591

REPRESENTATIVE SUSAN M. JOHNSON
FORTY-NINTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

LEGISLATIVE OFFICE BUILDING
ROOM 4100
HOME: 860-423-2085
CAPITOL: 860-240-8585
TOLL FREE: 800-842-8267
FAX: 860-240-0206
E-MAIL: Susan.Johnson@cga.ct.gov

DEPUTY MAJORITY LEADER

MEMBER
APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
EDUCATION COMMITTEE
INSURANCE AND REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE

**Testimony In Support of HB 6945: An Act Concerning
Attorney Fee Agreements in Municipal Tax Appeals**

Senator Osten, Representative Miller and members of the Planning and Development Committee: thank you so much for taking the time to publicly hear HB 6945, "An Act Concerning Attorney Fee Agreements in Municipal Tax Appeals."

I represent the 49th House District of Windham. My experience with municipal tax appeals includes the time I spent sitting on our local Board of Assessment Appeals. Later, as an attorney, I represented municipalities in tax appeals to the area Superior Court which were often transferred to the special Superior Court in New Britain that addresses municipal tax appeals.

Originally, the idea of a special court that addresses tax appeals seemed to be a good way to relieve busy local superior courts from responsibility for these often technically difficult and complex cases by transferring them to a court that specializes in tax appeals. The cases should require the plaintiffs to present a reasoned appraisal analysis of the property in question at pretrial. This process can become fairly complex when the properties are valued at more than \$500,000.00 dollars. So there is an automatic appeal in those cases from the local Board of Assessment Appeals to the Superior Court. Most of those appeals end up in the Municipal Tax Court in New Britain.

As former member of the Board of Assessment Appeals I recall seeing the highly valued properties being appealed to the Superior Court by attorneys who would seek clients on a contingency basis and have their cases moved to the special New Britain Tax Court. As a town attorney I would occasionally represent my municipal client in these cases. I have had a chance to see the difference in the town assessor's ability to negotiate a settlement in a case with a residential property and a highly valued property like a mall, big box store or large apartment complex. In cases in which the appeal was made by a residential property owner, the prospect of trial for both parties inspired cooperative negotiations that were resolved by using market analysis as would be expected in this sort of appeal.