



SOCIOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE - EXHIBIT A



INSTITUTIONALIZED RACISM

Mr. Traylor, an African-American, was ordered to obtain an attorney in his "OWN NAME," during a scheduled hearing without all parties present after an Ex Parte communications with the missing party.

SOCIOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE - EXHIBIT B



ABUSE OF CONTEMPT POWER

Once Mr. Traylor, an African-American, obtained an attorney, his attorney was held in contempt of court for six (6) hours, without being fined for any wrongful actions.

SOCIOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE - EXHIBIT C



INTIMIDATION OF A WITNESS

What would have been the consequences for an African-American Plaintiff if the roles had been reversed, and he had reached into the judge's bench to touch a judge?


EXHIBIT D

DOCKET NO.: KNL-CV-06-5001159S : SUPERIOR COURT
SYLVESTER TRAYLOR, ET AL : J.D. OF NEW LONDON
V. : NEW LONDON
BASSAM AWWA, M.D., ET AL : AUGUST 17, 2010


AFFIDAVIT

My name is James A. Hall, IV, and I am over the age of 18 and believe in the obligation of an oath.

1. On July 8, 2010, I witnessed four Judicial Marshals in the courtroom while Mr. Traylor's hearing proceeded.
2. When I questioned one of the State of Connecticut Judicial Marshals as to why there were four Marshals in a hearing room with only myself, the defense attorney and Mr. Traylor, he replied "get used to it".
3. I have never previously seen four Judicial Marshals in the courtroom for a case which is not a criminal case.
4. At the next hearing with Mr. Traylor, there was only one Judicial Marshal.
5. Mr. Traylor has asked that I attest to what I personally witnessed and the above is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and recollection:


James A. Hall, IV, Esq.

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 17th day of August, 2010.


~~Commissioner of Superior Court~~
Notary Public
My Commission Expires: 3-31-14

FILED

AUG 20 2010
SUPERIOR COURT
New London Judicial District

(note)

7-17-10

Thomas S. Lloyd
49 Chappell St.
New London, CT. 06320
(860) 447-1087

July 31, 2009

To the Statewide Bar Counsel
287 Main St. 2nd. Fl.
East Hartford, CT. 06118

RE: Unbecoming of an Attorney.

Dear Bar Counsel,

On July 31, 2009 while I was in the lobby of the Superior Court clerk's office, Attorney Donald Leone was talking with the acting Chief Clerk in the lobby area. Mr. Traylor walked in and immediately Attorney Leone became loud and confrontational with Mr. Traylor. Upon asking him, "What do you want?" Mr. Traylor's response was, "I'm not here to talk with you." Attorney Leone's response was; "This is my office NOW! And What do you want!!!" Mr. Traylor's response: "I am not here to speak with you or get into any confrontations."

There was another witness in the lobby as well and she will be giving her statement concerning Attorney Leone's rude and confrontational attitude towards Mr. Traylor. Furthermore, at no time did the acting Chief clerk correct Attorney Leone concerning his rude behavior towards Mr. Traylor.

Yours truly,



Thomas S. Lloyd

4 . a

- 1083
Exhibit 4

Sylvester Traylor
881 Vauxhall St. Ext.
Quaker Hill, CT. 06375
(860) 331-4436

October 19, 2009

Richard Zaharek
61 Woodland St.
Hartford, CT. 06105
(860) 722-5868

RE: Retaliation for making a "Racial Profiling Complaint"

Dear Sir,

On May 27, 2009 I wrote to your office concerning racial profiling by the Judicial Marshals in the New London Court.

As you know since, I've received a response from your office regarding my complaint against the New London Marshals I have not made any additional complaint against the New London Marshals. Thank you for resolving that complaint.

However, one of the New London Marshals was transferred to Norwich, and it is my belief that he did not get your memo concerning to ceasing the harassment and racial profiling.

On October 16, 2009 I was forced to contact the Norwich Police Department concerning Marshal Zeimet and Marshal Winski. Marshal Winski instructed Marshal Zeimet to single me out as I was approaching a metal detector. There were people ahead of me, all who were white, but their wallets were not opened and inspected. When I questioned both Marshals why I was the only one singled out. Also see attached Norwich Police 911 report hereto marked Exhibit "A".

- They said that: "*We can single out who ever we want too.*"
- My response was that: "*That does not give you the power to harass and/or racially profile a person.*" Needless to say, when I went through the metal detector with NO ringing sounds going off.

I then proceeded to go down to the Law Library which I discovered was closed. As I was leaving the building, I asked for the two Marshals names, so that I may write to you again, but they refused to give me their names.

- Instead they questioned me, "Why do you need our names?"

I saw an ink pen on top of "the large metal scanner", so I picked it up and started to write down their names.

4..16



Suddenly Marshal Zeimet , came over from behind his counter, and then pulled out his baton started to strike at my legs in a provoking manner while clutching my writing hand.

- Marshal Zeimet then said, *"Put the Ink pen back."*

I was *ONLY* writing down his name. Needless to say, I had the time to write down both Marshals names.

- I said in a very loud voice: *"Don't touch me!"*

Marshal Zeimet, looked back towards Marshal Winski, and then Marshal Winski motioned his head to say, No.


After I finished writing down their names, I put the ink-pen back on top of "the large metal scanner", where I got it from. Refer back to the attached Norwich Police 911 report hereto marked Exhibit "A".

- I then said to Marshal Winski, *"This guy is out of control, and over reacting. Did you see him strike at my legs."*
- Suddenly, Marshal Zeimet, looks back towards to Marshal Winski and said, *"He took a defense stands."*

Needless to say, all I was doing was writing down his name. There was no reason for Marshal Zeimet to come from behind his counter and approached me, or swinging at my legs in a provoking manner.

I have not given anyone the reason to provoke me. I am a law abiding citizen of the United States. I do not want to feel intimidated or provoked each and every time that I enter the Superior Court. I only go to the Superior Court to conduct official business. I am hereby requesting that the discrimination towards me because of my race, African -American and Pro-Se status be eradicated.

Yours Truly,


Sylvester Traylor

4-12

183
Exhibit A

EXHIBIT E

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT**

SYLVESTER TRAYLOR
Plaintiff

DOCKET No: # 3:11CV132 (AWT)

Vs.

**BASSAM AWWA, M.D. AND CONNECTICUT BEHAVIORAL HEALTH ASSOCIATES
P.C;**

**ATTORNEY DONALD LEONE OF CHINIGO LEONE & MARUZO LLP;
ROBERT AND NEIL KNOWLES ON BEHALF OF ADVANCED TELEMESSAGING
INC;**

**RICHARD BLUMENTHAL, CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ON
BEHALF OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT and on behalf of
("State Actors": Hon. Judge Thomas F. Parker, Hon. Judge James W. Abrams, Hon.
Judge Robert C. Leuba, Hon. Judge Robert A. Martin, and Hon. Judge A. Susan Peck,
State of Connecticut Judiciary Chief Justice Chase T. Rodgers, and Judge Barbara M.
Quinn Chief Court Administrator);**

**CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL GEORGE C. JEPSEN
CITY OF NEW LONDON;**

**JOSEPH D'ALESIO OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT COURT OF OPERATIONS;
NEW LONDON CRIMINAL DIVISION STATE ATTORNEY'S (State's Attorney, Michael
L. Regan, Supervisory Assistant State's Attorney Lawrence J. Tytla, and Supervisory
Inspector Philip Fazzino);**

**DR. ROBERT GALVIN, COMMISSIONER FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH;
CONNECTICUT MEDICAL INSURANCE COMPANY;
DEFENDANTS**

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

DATE: August 10, 2011

**Affidavit by
Habibah Abdul-Hakeem
Concerning Connecticut Judicial Bullying**

I, Habibah Abdul-Hakeem, I am an African American female, being duly sworn, deposed and say:

1. I am over the age of 18 years old, and believe in the obligation of an oath.

2. I make this affidavit of my own free will because of my personal knowledge and concerns about the institutionalized racism within the New London Superior Court.
3. I am a resident of Connecticut, residing at 10 Franklin St. #2, New London, Connecticut 06320.
4. I have lived in the State of Connecticut since 1974.
5. I am a citizen of the United States of America.
6. I was employed by the New London Superior Court in 2005, as a TAC.
7. In 2005, when I was first employed by the New London Superior Court located at 70 Huntington Street, New London Connecticut 06320, I immediately observed the fact that I was the only African-American employed as a clerk.
8. On one occasion, during my initial stage of employment, Mr. Sylvester Traylor of Quaker Hill, Connecticut, came to the clerk's window while conducting a civil litigation matter and introduced himself as a Life Member of the NAACP. Mr. Traylor informed me that he had filed a complaint prior to my employment that there were no African-American clerks or Judges, employed at the New London Superior Court.
9. Immediately after this conversation with Mr. Traylor, I was made to feel and believe by upper management, that Mr. Traylor, an "African American" was going to enter the court some day with a gun and shoot and kill everybody by going "postal".
10. Upper management caused me to further question Mr. Traylor's credibility and demean him because he was indigent and an African American.

11. There was, in fact, disparaging treatment towards Mr. Traylor. It is my belief that I was deliberately influenced by my co-workers that Mr. Traylor did not have a legitimate lawsuit. However, I later learned that he had in fact obtained a Certificate of Merit to support his complaint by the Director of Medicine at Yale University, who had signed a letter instructing the court that Mr. Traylor did in fact have a legitimate complaint of medical malpractice.
12. In my opinion, the animus based discrimination by the New London Superior Court towards Mr. Traylor, was in fact intended to discredit him, and this discrimination lessened his chances of obtaining a jury trial.
13. I have never observed Mr. Traylor threatening violence towards any of the State of Connecticut Judges or staff. I believe that the State of Connecticut employees did, in fact, conspire and retaliate against Mr. Traylor, as a Life member of the NAACP, for making a complaint against the New London Superior Court's officials and staff.
14. On a personal note, it is my belief that after years of employment by the court, they used the same tactic and mode of operation against me to cause fear based on race and attempted to sway others into believing that I, "an African American," was going to enter the court and shoot and kill everybody with a gun, thus going "postal".
15. Additionally, management influenced my co-workers to make the same falsehood against me via a petition signed by only white employees, which was based on animus based discrimination. Their attitude was in fact discriminatory against both Mr. Traylor and me because of our race.

IN CONCLUSION

16. Between 2010 and 2011, the New London Superior Court clerk's office staff received a memo of concern from Jorene Couture, Chief Clerk, which outlined concerns that Court Operations had regarding our "handling" of the public at large. The memo was issued by Ms. Couture after receiving a report from Court Operations indicating that they had sent "secret shoppers" to observe the staff's work habits and daily interaction with the public. After this memo was issued by Jorene Couture, Ms. Couture announced that she alone would "handle" Mr. Traylor whenever he came into the clerk's office for assistance. It is my belief that Mr. Traylor was in fact singled out because everyone knew that he had made a complaint to our Human Resource Office concerning our disparaging treatment.


17. From 2010-2011, I also personally observed unusual behavior by Judge Thomas F. Parker in relation towards Mr. Traylor regarding the civil litigation of Traylor v Awwa, docket number CV-06-5001159-S. I noted that Judge Parker would keep Mr. Traylor's file under lock and key in his chambers. Judge Parker would even request the clerks and staff to inform him whenever Mr. Traylor had entered the court, and to inform him of the exact nature of Mr. Traylor's business.


18. Clearly, the Connecticut Judicial System needs to clean up its act as evidenced by the disparity in treatment in both my complaint and Mr. Traylor's complaint. The disparity of treatment in POWER by judges and court clerks is reminiscent of a playground bully of our childhood which is improper and unnecessary.

19. I, Habibah Abdul-Hakeem, do hereby attest to and affirm, and support the fact that the New London County Superior Court located at 70 Huntington St. New London, Connecticut 06320 did in fact conspire to deprive Mr. Traylor of his civil rights to have a fair trial by a jury. This was a form of retaliation against Mr. Traylor through the practice of animus based discrimination and caused state employees to fear Mr. Traylor because of his race and color.

20. The bottom line is that institutional racism does exist in the Judicial Branch, and especially in the New London County Judicial District via the practices of nepotism, cronyism, race, age, sexual and gender discrimination, harassment and bullying of which Mr. Traylor and I have repeatedly faced by State Employees. It is my belief that Mr. Traylor was in fact denied his access to court. I further believe that Judicial Bullying based on race and color should be eradicated. There is a definite need for the creation of a Healthy Workplace Environment, as well as, improvement of Services to all members of the general public, minus the public bullying based on race and economic status.

I, Habibah Abdul-Hakeem, being first duly sworn under oath according to the law, deposes and says that I, Habibah Abdul-Hakeem, have read the foregoing Affidavit which I have subscribed, that the matters stated herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Habibah Abdul-Hakeem
10 Franklin St. #2,
New London, Connecticut 06320
Tel: 860.514.5140

Subscribed and sworn to before me

Notary Public or Commissioner of
the Superior Court
My Commission Elizabeth A.
Expires: Sabirin