

Testimony of Linda Palermo, 46 Vought Stratford, Ct 06614,memaprose@aol.com

OPPOSITION TO THE REAPPOINTMENT OF JUSTICE ROGERS TO THE

CONNECTICUT SUPERIEME COURT

I was in show business for a number of years, and received my education through, some of the best, known entertains from California to New York, NY. I later went on to nursing. After sustaining several injuries, and the fact I was always fascinated by the Law and the Judicial System to the extent I wanted to become an attorney I went on to Sacred Heart University to receive my AS in Legal Assistant, Paralegal. I have appeared as a prose litigant since 1981 through to the present time. While on trial I mentioned to the learned attorney in opposition I requested a copy of a speech given by Justice Rogers and I mentioned, the fact that it appeared to me she treated prose in a fair manner. Said I should go back and read the letter again and not be fooled that she liked pro se litigants. Reflecting back over the years I must say the system has changed a great deal. Rules of professional Conduct from what I recall said to treat opposing and third parties fairly. Judges I appeared before were cordial, others were not. Like Rowland the defunct governor brought us Justice Rogers, local politician/attorneys interfered with the process and others rights to due process. I learned that an attorney I called as a witness in a trial going back to 1991, was telling other attorneys if they want to win cases in the Fairfield JD, don't every represent Linda Palermo. Sounds like a deal maker to me. He would appear at my WC hearings and he had no standing in the issue. What is the system doing with this type of ingrate attorney? Then there is the attorney who is using the system to get even with people and for his corrupt gain. My family primarily myself and my mother who is now deceased have been collectively subjected to 40 years of targeting and separately been subjected to arrest as a result to elected officials making promises in exchange for favors. The Town attorney mentioned in the previous paragraph appeared in court on day number one, and misrepresented he had a standing in the matter so that he could see a file he had no right to see. Yes this too is a grievance. As for the Pro Se litigants out here, most appear pro se because they can't afford to pay attorney's the fees they are asking. If anyone

applies for a waiver of cost and fee's it is granted at the courts discretion. In my opinion the biggest joke going in the probate system is you go in you know you have or had a mother and father, but when "they" the disingenuous attorneys come out they tell you that you have not standing in the case because a conservator has been appointed. Family Court is an absolute mess. Who needs to spend money on a Guardian Et Litem? Don't give your money away to that cause. Work things out between the parties and spend it on the best interest of your issue/issues. We need not have Chase Rogers Reappointed nor do we need all these Miscarriages of Justice that are defraying the Courts principle of dealing in fairness. Move forward and clean up our present system. Revisit rules of professional conduct and ethics. I say no more.

Linda Palermo, ASLAP
46 Vought PL
Stratford, CT 06614