

William R. Mulready; (Bill is fine)  
P.O. BOX 519  
Bethlehem, CT. 06751  
203-278-9811

January 31, 2013

[bmulready7@yahoo.com](mailto:bmulready7@yahoo.com) (to reply by email please type in email address to ensure delivery)

Henry J. Martoccio  
813 Graham Road  
South Windsor, CT. 06074  
860-432-4567

OPEN letter and comments to;

MR. Patrick L. Carroll III, Chair and full Committee Members of Public Service and Trust Commission:  
Advisory Board on the Americans with Disabilities Act and ALL other interested parties or addressees'.  
[ada.program@jud.ct.gov](mailto:ada.program@jud.ct.gov)

RE: Board NOTICE and "seeking" and "welcome"; for "suggestions on how the Judicial Branch can  
continue its compliance with the law as mandated under Title II of the Act." And;

"Suggestions or comments relating to specific litigation or cases will not be considered."

Dear MR. Carroll III; Atty. Mark Ciarciello; Ms. Sandra Lugo-Gines; and full committee:

You are each acting under the direct mandates of the United States Congress; the President; and  
the United States Supreme Court; the U.S. DOJ Regulations 28 CFR PART 35.107(a) and 28 CFR PART  
35.149 and all the others; not Steve Pellitier not Martin not Bob Coffey not Ms./Judge Barbara Quinn not  
Mrs./Justice Chase Rodgers.

Questions raised: ADA? Individuals Rights under the ADA? My Rights under the ADA? You or  
who's responsibility and obligations and authority and accountability under the ADA?

What do you know? When did you know it? What have you done about it?

The Judicial Branch was not compliant with the ADA Title II on January 26, 1992; not compliant with the  
ADA Title II on April 15, 1995; and not compliant with the ADA today January 31, 2013.

You all know today.

Overturn the 31 lies of Walter M. Pickett, JR. Thank you, I'm waiting.

If not; fraud and purgery from each of you and shame on you all.

Where are you when citizens ask for your help? Why are you not in the Courts – Available to  
explain the Americans with Disabilities Act, available for litigant witnesses, confrontation under the 6<sup>th</sup>  
Amendment?

Your silence is against the law; is prohibited; is a fraud and a purgery.

I and others cannot find the legislative action, the Connecticut Statute, the Judicial Branch policy, the Judicial Branch Self Evaluation that supports CT Appellate Court and CT Supreme Court discriminating exclusion and denial of ADA Rights and Protection opinion or desires' when they hold that termination proceedings are not programs, services, or activities of the Judicial Branch? This opinion or desire and holding are in direct conflict of the Mandates of the LAW of Title II of the ADA, absent the jurisdiction of State of CT Statute and or Judicial Branch justified policy. Both subjected to ADA mandate of Self Evaluations 28 CFR PART 35.105. Absent such jurisdiction the holding opinion or desires' of the CT Appellate Court and CT Supreme Court are violation of the ADA and you are mandated oversight and reporters. Where are you? I can't hear you. The people can't hear you. Your misleading the people and I and the Courts.

Where is the continued compliance of the Law as mandated by the Title II you boast of, and the mandates within to compliance with the Restitution Act of 1987 if you refuse, exclude, deny the production of requested, required jurisdiction – and your voice? Where's your mandated oversight? Silence is not an option, is not your option. Your individual and collective silence is a fraud, a purgery, discrimination, not compliance with the law as mandated under ADA Title II. Your silence is against the law – JUDGE -. Why is termination proceedings not a program, service, activity of the Judicial Branch under the ADA? Who's not qualified to be in Court? To not have Due Process? To have Equal Protection of the Law?

Where is the continued compliance of the Law as mandated by the Title II you boast of, and the mandates within to compliance, when the Branch and yourselves hold and enforce Title I on litigants and exclude and deny Title II for not asking prior when you and the Branch are required and mandated to Title II and for notification of Rights and Protections, need by one and available to all, and you and the Branch fail to offer and provide?

It is incomprehensible for any of you State of CT Judicial Branch do gooders to take a paycheck and ignore the violations, to cover up the violations, to not take immediate remedial action, to do no review (at least open to public), to remain silent. That is fraud, that is purgery, that is against the law. Shame on you, each and every one of you.

Yours For Barrier Free Courts With Sober And Honest Judges;

William R. Mulready (Bill)