

---

**From:** Tim Hawks <popeyesm2@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 19, 2015 12:38 PM  
**To:** JudTestimony  
**Subject:** oppose the nomination of Auden Grogins for Superior Court Judge

Dear Senator Coleman, Representative Tong, and Members of the Judiciary Committee;

I'm writing to oppose the nomination of Auden Grogins for Superior Court Judge.

While Ms. Grogins may be eminently qualified as an attorney, that is not the single litmus test for a judgeship position.

While an attorney must support their client, no matter where they personally stand on an issue, a judge MUST support the Constitution, no matter where they personally stand on an issue.

Audin Grogins served six years on the Judiciary Committee of the General Assembly where she often failed to uphold her oath of office to support both the state and federal Constitution, and allowed her personal feelings on an issue to influence her vote.

This is NOT a desirable trait for a judge.

Also, as a long-time resident of Connecticut, I am puzzled that much of the testimony in support of Ms. Grogins appears to be coming from out of state. For example, I fail to see how the nomination of Audin Grogins has any impact at all on a fishing club from Illinois, yet the president of said club has submitted testimony for today. I urge the committee to summarily reject out of state testimony when considering this issue.

Thank you for your time, and for your service to the people of Connecticut.

Tim Hawks  
PO 405  
Baltic, CT 06330  
H 860-822-8973  
C 860-861-1697



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.  
[www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)