

## Moniz-Carroll, Rhonda

---

**From:** harold douglas <hardoug@att.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 17, 2015 4:17 PM  
**To:** JudTestimony  
**Subject:** Written Testimony Bill No. 7028

H.B. NO. 7028

To the members of the judiciary committee

This portion of raised bill 7028 is a separate issue from the rest of the bill and should be removed from raised bill 7028.

Sec. 23. Subsection (a) of section 29-36l of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2015*):

(a) The Commissioner of Emergency Services and Public Protection shall establish a state database that any person, firm or corporation who sells or otherwise transfers firearms or any parole officer may access, by telephone or other electronic means in addition to the telephone, for information to be supplied immediately, on whether a permit to carry a pistol or revolver, issued pursuant to subsection (b) of section 29-28, a permit to sell at retail a pistol or revolver, issued pursuant to subsection (a) of section 29-28, an eligibility certificate for a pistol or revolver, issued pursuant to section 29-36f, or a long gun eligibility certificate, issued pursuant to section 29-37p, is valid and has not been revoked or suspended.

Sec. 24. Subsection (b) of section 29-35 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2015*):

(b) The holder of a permit issued pursuant to section 29-28 shall carry such permit upon one's person while carrying such pistol or revolver. Such holder shall present his or her permit upon the request of a law enforcement officer for purposes of verification of the validity of the permit or identification of the holder.

I oppose bill no 7028 for the following reasons:

The addition to Sec.24 Subsection (b) of section 29-35 of the general statutes is unnecessary

Sec.53a-167a-Interfering with a officer already addresses the issue of presenting a permit upon request by a law enforcement officer, any person's not complying with the request per sec.53a-167a existing law would be subject to arrest and most likely a lengthy and costly legal process so the need for a new law which is covered by existing law is unneeded.

**Universal Citation:** CT Gen Stat § 53a-167a (2012)

(a) A person is guilty of interfering with an officer when such person obstructs, resists, hinders or endangers any peace officer, special policeman appointed under section 29-18b, motor vehicle inspector designated under section 14-8 and certified pursuant to section 7-294d or firefighter in the