

**Shaiken, Benjamin**

---

**From:** dfenn1@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, March 16, 2015 6:44 PM  
**To:** ETTestimony  
**Subject:** RHB 1078 - RHB 6985

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Is it possible that these major companies NOT be allowed to burden an already overburdened electorate with fees for things we neither want nor need?

These are supposed to be public utilities...can't they break even for once? Do they always need to make a profit on our backs?

Regarding:

RHB 1078- **AN ACT CONCERNING AFFORDABLE AND RELIABLE ENERGY.** " The bill reads in part:

Sec. 5. (NEW) (*Effective July 1, 2015*) In any rate application filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority on or after July 1, 2015, by any electric distribution company, the electric distribution company may seek cost recovery for the costs of purchasing new natural gas capacity either through procuring contracts for new pipeline capacity **or otherwise**, as directed by the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection pursuant to section 4 of this act.

RHB 6985- **AN ACT INCREASING NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION CAPACITY.**

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened: Section 1. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2015*) An electric distribution company may enter into a long-term contract for the purchase of natural gas transportation capacity for the benefit of electric distribution company customers. Such contract shall be submitted to the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority for its review and approval. The net benefits received or costs incurred by the electric distribution company as a result of such contract shall be either distributed to **or recovered from all customers** of the contracting electric distribution company through the federally mandated congestion charge as defined in section 16-1 of the general statutes.

Thank you.

David Fenn  
Windham, CT