

Transportation Committee I oppose h.b. 5929

I am asking you to please do not vote for the cameras at red lights. I opposed this bill last year as I am again this year on the grounds of the video/picture can be manipulated. For an example 'I was at a light and joe behind me went through a red light but I was ticketed for the violation.' With the technology today it would not be difficult to switch the information from the photo or video showing it was me that had the violation instead of the real culprit the guy driving behind me. In other words you could have my picture with the violation[s] from the other vehicle attached to the photo just copy and paste.

It seems this cannot be ethically prosecuted without a human witness. I am appalled of this idea to squeeze and squeeze the working man. Everyone has traffic violations you, me, everyone, you may be in a rush late for work etc.

Signs should be posted stating cameras at traffic lights ahead otherwise the cameras use would be an illegal tactic of entrapment. After all, the main goal is to stop people doing traffic light violations not just catch the violators. Again if you are late for a meeting and happen to go through a red light and cause an accident but this accident probably would not have happened when you remember reading the sign while entering the city/ town line that read [caution video cameras at certain traffic lights.] Without a warning sign I don't think it can be a legal prosecution by the courts. Just as if I am using a recording in public to record someone I know in order for it to be acceptable in court I must tell the person beforehand he's being recorded in order for it to be admissible in court. This is supposed to be a free country not a country where the citizens get spied on secretly by the government then prosecuted in court without a human witness. That is not true justice. It is breeding kangaroo courts without having a human as a prosecuting witness.

Gregg Marchand p.o.box 244 North Windham, CT. 06256/ 860423-0443