

**I, OBJECT TO THE NOMINATION OF THE HONORABLE EARL B. RICHARDS III, APPOINTMENT AS A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, WHICH CAME BEFORE THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE FRIDAY JANUARY 23, 2015 AT 10:30, in Room 2C of the LOB based on the following.**

**Back Ground. My Family primarily myself and my mother Felisa Barriuso Ares, (deceased 2006) have been collectively subjected to 40 years of targeting and separately been subjected to arrest as a result of Elected Officials making promise in exchange for a favor, with politically appointed Town Attorneys and or Assistants past or present, and or by members of the legal profession, in some instances, local police officers, town employee, (a druggie) and or employees looking early retirement, Etc. With regard to my mother, who never had a brush with the law until she was 78 when she was arrested in a get even scheme with me based on a promise in exchange for a "favor"? Judge Kaplan sat on that matter in GA 2, and who's reappointment I spoke against approximately four years ago before the Judiciary Committee because he choose to take the word of a druggie with a record, over that of my mother who had never had a brush with the law.**

**Reflecting back on my issue, I am one of Connecticut Super Sealed Files; this file was ordered sealed by Court administration/operations to put an end to the harassment by the elected official and his cronies. I have been an assist to federal agencies, it was quoted by the Stratford Detectives I have been an asset to the Department and assisted them. Attorney Thomas Thornberry is only one of the attorney who was appointed by his political boss and being mention as it relates to the below matter and based on his history of becoming intentionally and deliberately involved in my personal matters and other cases of mine though out a period of time within the 40 years mentioned at the beginning of my written testimony; Thornberry becoming involved in my 25 year old workers compensation claim, my probate appointment as conservator over my mother, other civil matter in which I appeared Pro Se and claims. Beyond this he was angry because I filed a Police Report with the SPD and an Stratford Ethics Complaint against his longtime friend and employee Fay Arlio who was ordered removed from the Stfd Housing Commission for failure to disclose she worked for Thornberry, when she was appointed to said commission, her carrying into the Commission Office his bills for representing her and two other commissioners and signing his check. A Public Hearing was held by the Ethics Commission, Thornberry failed to appear at in spite of the fact he told The Commission, they did not have to issue a subpoena in violation of his:**

**SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDING: December 6, arraignment day, Mary Henry former Stonybrook President who was not present when the alleged incident occurred but could have participated in its insemination and that is why she appeared; Fay Arlo, Thornberry's employee and close friend to Mary Henry appeared, and Gail Arpie the alleged victim, was sitting with them and a few unknown persons. Attorney Thornberry appeared in the court room, which had no standing in the matter and misrepresented that I was his client as a means of his accessing my file in violation of state law. Because I viewed this action taking place I questioned the Court Room Marshal as to why he handed the file to Thornberry the file, that's when it was confirmed that Thornberry misrepresented that I was his client. This action was reported to the "Ines" the Supervisor of the Marshall's who confirmed to me neither**

Thornberry nor anyone has any right to see any ones files; only your attorney has that right. Further stating the Marshalls will be reminded of this during roll call. Because I was working gather information in another case, I would run into Ines at Fairfield JD, Ines questioned me from time to time to make sure I was alright. During the Court proceedings the Court questioned if represented by an attorney or if I was representing myself I responded, I am not representing myself and I do not have an attorney, and I wish to make application for a public defender. Attorney Thornberry said as he existed the Court room, to his friends I am out of here, there is nothing I can do for you. Through the thirteen months of these proceeding, and somewhere around August 2014 the film to be used as evidence regarding the alleged assault in the possession of the PD had been destroyed. In most other cases where they had no evidence they were dismissed. However I was told by a prosecutor this matter would not be dismissed and they would continue to prosecute. I believe Judge Earle Richards was assigned to GA2 in September 2014 and that is when I began appearing before him up to January 5 including January 9, 2015. . . the day before Linda's court appearance, I was at her home and present in the room as she spoke with her attorney on the phone about what would transpire the next day. Linda informed him she that created notarized Affidavit that would clearly relate her version of the events of over 13 months ago that had turned into such a huge legal "debacle" per the desire of a pack of neighbors, to "get" Linda vengefully into trouble by fabricating an event that occurred, I heard the attorney say over the phone that Linda would be now facing a greatly reduced "charge" of an infraction misdemeanor, that if she pled to that there would be no police record, that it would be less than a parking ticket and the whole thing would finally be over. Linda in her innocence was willing to go to jury trail. Linda told him she was going to do this lesser plea but she wanted her opportunity to speak in court, to have her say, as she had been subjected to such along campaigning of victimization by this supposed victim in this fabricated criminal charge. The lawyer assured Linda that she would be able to make her statement in Court and that the case was considered over once she made her plea and that the prosecutor confirmed that the case was over via this plea and that the judge had agreed that Linda could make a her statement. June 9, 2015 the Plea was entered; However the Court did not allow me to speak, in violation of my rights; The Court allowed the victim to speak after I entered my plea and allowed to say "because of me she lost her friends and they did not visit her and her boyfriend because they knew I lived next door. The Court failed to ask her what statute was violated, she was allowed to continued her speaking and said she cannot enjoy living in the unit because of fear that I would call the police regarding their dogs constantly barking and she would get arrested. Again I ask, what law did I break? Now, my attorney said I, a person who lived at Stonybrook for 44 years wanted to make my statement by presenting a Summary of the Affidavit exhibited below, Judge Richard said no, I could not speak, Then he changed his mind and said I could. I proceed to say your Honor, he abruptly interrupted and said No, you cannot speak, your case is over Because I feel my right were violated three times within two minutes by Judge Earle Richard III, I feel my rights were better be served by presenting my reasons against his reappointment. To which I am adding this appears to be a True Case of Abuse of Judicial Discretion. An Absolute Violation of my Civil Rights. And further say thank you for this opportunity to present my case in  
**OPPOSITION TO HIS REAPPOINTMENT. SUPERIOR COURT GA-2, STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
RE: MATTER OF LINDA PALERMO} SS: STRATFORD, JAN 8, 2015, COUNTY OF FAIRFIELD**

**The undersigned, being duly sworn, deposes and says that:**

**I am over the age of eighteen (18) and believe in the obligation of an Oath.**

**As a Member/Owner of Stonybrook Cooperative, both I and my neighbor, adjoining member/owner Joseph Inman Jr are responsible and liable for insuring that the Corporate Charter Cooperative Bylaws, Occupancy Agreement, Rules and Regulations are followed by any and all occupants and visitors of his/her Dwelling Unit.**

**Furthermore, all members/owners have rights to Peaceful Possession.**

**1 On 10/27/2013, I was having conversation with my neighbor, adjoining Member/Owner Joseph Inman regarding Utility Service providers not being able to access the utility boxes for our entire building which are located on his side of the building.**

**On several occasions that I called the utility company to provide me with their services, a person who I came to learn is named Gail Arpie, a “guest-occupant” of Joe Inman’s unit, had refused utility service access by not answering the phone calls made from the main office and /or the police knocking on the door of Joe’s unit.**

**2 During my conversation with Joe, Gail Arpie suddenly emerged from his unit and started yelling “What’s the problem?”**

**3 It is to be noted that prior to this, my sole encounter with this person consisted of her coming out of Joe’s unit to angrily demand that I stop giving dog snaps to Joe’s dog. At that point I had immediately decided that I wanted nothing to do with Joe’s “visitor” from that time forward.**

**4 As she continued in her confrontation, I asked Joe to please have her go into the house as my conversation was only intended for him, the member owner. He headed toward the door, telling her to come in as he did. Instead, she continued to the gate and stood facing me and my eighteen month old grandson, who was directly in front of me.**

**5 Ms. Arpie nastily spat the words “It must be pretty sad to be seventy years old and not have any friends here at the co-op. Everyone here hates you!” She continued on with a rant of falsely accusing me of filing a false police report against her for allegedly breaking into my unit and stealing items out of it.... I continued to calmly request that she please go into the house and get away from my grandson, as she was flailing her arms and acting in such a disturbing manner.**

**6 As she was flailing her arms so erratically in the close proximity of my baby grandson, I defensively reached up my arms to preventively block my grandson and to protect him/us from possible injury by Ms. Arpie.**

**7 Ms. Arpie exclaimed “I have a camera and I will have you arrested if you touch me!”**

**8 My grandson was extremely upset and crying loudly from this so I picked him up and brought home into my unit to calm him down, to soothe him from his terror.**

**9 After I accomplished this, I picked him up and took him out to install him in his car seat located in my car so as to return him to his home.**

**10 Once he was safely installed into his car seat, I phoned police from my cell.**

**11 Two SPD officers, a male and female, arrived. They interviewed me and the male officer said that it appeared there was nothing to report and indicated that he was not going to make a report.**

