

Haggerty, Katie

From: James Ritchie <james_ritchie@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 09, 2015 10:58 PM
To: JudTestimony; Rep. Tong, William; Sen. Kissel, John A.; zRepresentative Rosa Rebimbas; zRepresentative Al Adinolfi; Rep. Aman, Bill; Rep. Arce, Angel; Rep. Baram, David; Rep. Berger, Jeffrey; Sen. Boucher, Toni; zRepresentative Cecilia Buck-Taylor; zRepresentative Vincent Candelora; zRepresentative Christie Carpino; Rep. Currey, Jeffrey; Rep. Dillon, Patricia; zRepresentative Doug Dubitsky; Rep. Fritz, Mary; Rep. Godfrey, Bob; Rep. Gonzalez, Minnie; Rep. Hewett, Ernest; zRepresentative David Labriola; Rep. Lemar, Roland; Rep. Lemar, Roland; Sen. Linares, Art; zRepresentative Ben McGorty; Sen. McLachlan, Michael; Rep. Morris, Bruce; zRepresentative Tom ODea; zRepresentative Arthur O'Neill; Rep. Porter, Robyn; Rep. Riley, Emmett; zRepresentative Rob Sampson; Rep. Serra, Joseph; zRepresentative John Shaban; Rep. Simmons, Caroline; zRepresentative Richard Smith; Rep. Verrengia, Joe; Rep. Walker, Toni; Senator Coleman; Senator Doyle; Senator Bye; Sen. Flexer, Mae; stephen.Harding@housegop.ct.gov; Senator Gerratana; winfield@senatedems.ct.gov
Subject: Committee Bill No. 650, AN ACT CONCERNING TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS

Now that the bill has the complete language, this bill does not meet Constitutional muster and violates the 2nd, 4th, 5th, 14th amendments, and violates the Supreme Court Ruling (McDONALD et al. v. CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS). In McDonald v Chicago, the Supreme Court determined that the 2nd Amendment is an inalienable right and is require strict scrutiny when a person's rights are to be taken from them. This is nothing more than another back door gun confiscation. The Ex-Party orders, over 2/3 of the initial filings are not followed up on and are dropped before appearance date before a judge.

If the person was so violent that it does require this type of action, the respondent should be arrested and have the hearing within 72 hours before being released. The respondent inalienable rights are going to take away on hearsay, not as define in McDonald v Chicago.

Each and every member of the legislator has taken an oath to defend and protect the Constitution which if this is allowed to pass, violates that oath that you and I took. I take my oath seriously. VOTE NO on this bill

James Ritchie, CISA, CISSP
Bristol CT 06010