

Haggerty, Katie

From: Office of Kalmanash - AIK <aikalmanash@okgroup.net>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2015 2:37 PM
To: JudTestimony
Subject: In the matter of Senate Bill 650

This resident of the State of Connecticut wishes to have their objection to this legislation noted.

That through an unsubstantiated act, we should have a law which allows the confiscation of property without due course, and thereby forcing the property owner to incur substantial costs to recover their property is unconstitutional.

This poorly framed legislation show the gross incompetence of its authors and sponsors.

As a licensed professional, often involved in adversarial litigation, to think that by simply recording a temporary restraining order, one of my clients adversaries could give rise to the removal of my firearm's license, firearms and related items is borderline ludicrous. What a negotiation tool in a divorce preceding this could become not to think of all the other circumstances where it could be used to extort a law abiding citizen of this state.

Do our legislators have nothing better to do than to use this thinly veiled legislation to disarm the public contrary to the 2nd amendment?

With all due respect if this committee wishes to receive the respect of the residents they must send this legislation back to its author with the most stern criticism.

Andrew I. Kalmanash, CPA
Stamford, CT