

John B. Kelly
Boston, MA
JKelly@NotDeadYet.org

**Testimony in strong opposition to HB 7015
An Act Concerning Aid in Dying for Terminally Ill Patients
Judiciary Committee
March 18, 2015**

On behalf of Not Dead Yet and Second Thoughts Massachusetts

www.notdeadyet.org

www.second-thoughts.org

Chairs Coleman and Tong, Members of the Judiciary Committee:

I am the New England Regional Director for Not Dead Yet, the national disability rights group that has long opposed euthanasia and assisted suicide. I am also the director of Second Thoughts Massachusetts, sister organization to Second Thoughts Connecticut. We are disabled people united against the legalization of assisted suicide, which threatens everyone – but especially people with disabilities – with “quality-of-life” determinations that dying is sometimes more dignified than living.

Our name comes from the finding that many people, once they delve below the surface appeal of assisted suicide legislation, have “second thoughts” and oppose it. In Massachusetts a month before the 2012 election, 68% of voters supported the ballot question. But just as closer looks in Massachusetts – and more recently in Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah– led to a considered rejection of assisted suicide, we urge your committee to reject assisted suicide in Connecticut for the third time in as many years.

HB 7015, like all assisted suicide laws, draws on shoddy science to create dangerous public health policy. The bill would establish assisted suicide as a “medical treatment,” along with a government recommendation that it is sometimes the *best* treatment. Innocent people who are not terminal and are not making a voluntary and informed choice will lose their lives as a result.

Since when do we expect doctors to never make mistakes? The truth is that doctors routinely fail in predicting when people will die. In Massachusetts we had the example of longtime proponent of universal healthcare, Senator Ted Kennedy, diagnosed with aggressive brain cancer in 2008. His widow Victoria described his story in a powerful opinion piece that helped seal defeat for the Massachusetts referendum. She wrote:

When my husband was first diagnosed with cancer, he was told that he had only two to four months to live, that he’d never go back to the U.S. Senate, that he should get his affairs in order, kiss his wife, love his family and get ready to die. But that prognosis was wrong. Teddy lived 15 more productive months.

Under this bill, someone in Connecticut receiving a similar diagnosis could be dead in within weeks, based on the tragically mistaken belief that death was imminent. Legalized assisted suicide, Vicki Kennedy wrote,

turns Kennedy's vision of health care for all on its head by asking us to endorse patient suicide - not patient care -- as our public policy for dealing with pain and the financial burdens of care at the end of life.

Studies have shown that 15-20% of the supposed "terminally ill" outlive their prognosis, leading to our current situation whereby hospice programs discharge 200,000 people per year. Every year in Oregon, reports show people living longer than a year after receiving the lethal drugs.

Even so, there is a bill in Oregon proposing to stretch the definition of "terminally ill" to 12 months. Opponents of assisted suicide have long warned against the incrementalist strategy of proponents to expand the reach of Oregon-style laws. We don't need to cite the example set by countries like Belgium and the Netherlands (euthanasia for depression, fear of blindness, even tinnitus) to lend credence to these warnings, we can simply point to the proposals and statements by true believers at home.

A broader agenda was revealed by Oregon law co-author Barbara Coombs Lee last fall at a Hartford forum. As CT News Junkie reported, "Coombs Lee also said the [Connecticut] legislation would exclude people with dementia and cognitive declines, since they could not make the choice for themselves. 'It is an issue for another day but is no less compelling,'" she said.

No retraction followed from Coombs Lee, the president of the group Compassion & Choices, the saccharine rebranding of the old Hemlock Society. Last month, Coombs Lee and others praised the recent decision by the Canadian Supreme Court to open up assisted suicide to people with significant disabilities – whether "terminal" or not. Leading Massachusetts assisted suicide advocate Marcia Angell now writes in favor of non-voluntary euthanasia.

In this age of induced austerity, the media is full of calls for healthcare cost containment. So in the state of Oregon, Barbara Wagner and Randy Stroup received letters from Oregon Medicaid denying coverage for prescribed chemotherapy. The letters noted, however, that the state would cover the \$100 cost of suicide. Because assisted suicide will always be the cheapest treatment, its availability will inevitably affect medical decision-making. Insurance providers and state run health programs will be tempted to do the cheap thing rather than the right thing. This will constrain choice.

Depressed people will be harmed by this bill. Oregonian Michael Freeland easily obtained a lethal prescription for his terminal diagnosis, despite a 43-year history of severe depression, suicide attempts, and paranoia. Fortunately, other doctors and counselors intervened to address his real needs and he died a natural death about two years later. When this story came to light, the prescribing doctor said he didn't think a psychological consult was "necessary." Oregon's statistics for the last four years show that barely 2% of patients were referred for a psychological evaluation. Experts agree that most doctors are not capable of identifying such psychological problems.

And if one doctor declines to approve of a prescription, families can simply go "doctor shopping." The Oregon reports show that some doctor-patient relationships have been less than seven days. It just takes two doctors to say yes, and sometimes one psychologist. So when the first psychologist found that Oregonian Kate Cheney lacked the "level of capacity" necessary to weigh her options, and that Kate's daughter was pushing harder for her death than she was, the angry daughter got the insurance company to fund a second consult. The second psychologist had the same concerns, but ruled that Kate was competent anyway. On the day that she got back from a nursing home stay as respite for her family, Kate suggested that she take the lethal overdose. Her family facilitated her death that same day. Choosing between a nursing home and a family who sees you as a burden is not a true choice.

There is nothing in this or any other assisted suicide bill that can protect people like Kate Cheney. Every year in Connecticut, it is estimated that out of 660,000 people over age 60, there are 73,000 reported and unreported cases of abuse. Connecticut has a poor record in this area – 32nd out of 35 states surveyed in funding protective services, and fifth worst in number of “substantiated” complaints. Only in the fantasy world of the proponents are all families, including the thousands of abusive and dysfunctional ones, happily gathered around the peaceful and willing suicide.

The Judiciary Committee should not be recommending the sending of poisonous drugs into abusive situations and then not even require an independent, disinterested witness be present at the dying. The bill shouts out the phrase “self-administer” 13 times, yet defines “self-administer” more prosaically as the simple act of – willful or not – “ingesting” (Section 1:18). This bill takes no notice of how self-interest can motivate family members and caregivers.

In Oregon, the Associated Press reported in 2013 that

Tami Sawyer also faces charges of criminal mistreatment and theft as a result of a state charge that she stole more than \$50,000 after a man who suffered from Lou Gehrig's disease moved into her home, named her his estate trustee, deeded his home to a trust, and then died by physician-assisted suicide

Immediately after Thomas Middleton died, Sawyer listed the property for sale, later depositing the sale proceeds into her own accounts. This story came to light, not through any assisted suicide program safeguards, but through a federal investigation of suspicious real estate transactions.

The Judiciary Committee must recognize the social realities that affect people’s health and healthcare decision-making. When people become ill and dependent, their desire to live or die is affected by the level of support they receive. Of course this is true!

Oregonian Kathryn Judson wrote of bringing her seriously ill husband to the doctor. “I collapsed in a half-exhausted heap in a chair once I got him into the doctor's office, relieved that we were going to get badly needed help (or so I thought),” she wrote. “To my surprise and horror, during the exam I overheard the doctor giving my husband a sales pitch for assisted suicide. 'Think of what it will spare your wife, we need to think of her' he said, as a clincher.” We are social creatures.

We already see innocent people feeling pressured and pushed towards assisted suicide for the sake of their family. Last year in Oregon, 40% of assisted suicide users were reported as feeling like a burden on family and caregivers. As proponents themselves admit, and as Oregon’s physician reporting form demonstrates, it is social factors that make up the suffering this bill talks about. Doctors report people choosing suicide because of loss of dignity, loss of autonomy, and loss of control of bodily functions.

These reasons suggest a meaning of dignity that is fragile and easily lost through disability and dependence on others. The people acting on these views, proponents admit, tend to be wealthier, better educated, and people with a strong preference for control. This is presented as a good thing.

Assisted suicide participants are also overwhelmingly white. 97% of program suicides in Oregon have been white, in a state 22% nonwhite. The Pew Research Center found last year that, while whites support assisted suicide 53%-44%, black and Latino voters register 65% opposition. The election map for Question 2 in Massachusetts revealed the same trends. We have a public health problem, but it

isn't certain people's lack of dignity, it's the turn to suicide as a social solution by a powerful social class. High rates of white suicide are an unaddressed social problem.

What ever else assisted suicide is, it is not about pain. Pain is a medical problem that palliative care can solve. As renowned palliative care expert Dr. Ira Byock has testified,

If I thought lethal prescriptions were necessary to alleviate suffering, I would support them. In 34 years of practice, I have never abandoned a patient to die in uncontrolled pain and have never needed to hasten a patient's death. Alleviating suffering is different from eliminating the sufferer. Allowing a person to die gently is importantly different from actively ending the person's life.

In recognition of this fact, when the New England Journal of Medicine held an online poll, its invented case was a man with metastatic pancreatic cancer, with pain well-controlled. Two thirds of respondents, by the way, opposed legalization.

We disabled people have lives that frequently look like the lives of people requesting assisted suicide, but we reject as bizarre the notion that personal dignity is somehow lost through physical dependence on others, or because we are not continent every hour of every day. We already encounter massive prejudice in the medical care system, which is all too ready to have us die without treatment. I know people with disabilities living vibrant, active lives contributing to the community who have been encouraged to sign Do Not Resuscitate orders or decline life-saving treatment.

In a 2011 letter to the Boston Globe, Oregonian Jeanette Hall wrote that she voted for "death with dignity" in her state, and when she received a terminal diagnosis, asked for a lethal prescription. "I didn't want to suffer," she wrote. Fortunately, she had a doctor who persuaded her to try more treatment. Eleven years later, she wrote that she is "so happy to be alive."

In its last two yearly reports, Oregon listed individuals whose cause of death was diabetes and multiple sclerosis. If I as a quadriplegic were one of the thousands of Connecticut residents getting abused or neglected, I might easily find a doctor to validate temporary feelings of being a burden, a feeling that can seem worse than death. Legalizing assisted suicide sends the wrong message to anyone who depends on caregivers, the message that feeling like a burden is not only an acceptable reason for suicide, but a justification for our health care system to provide the lethal means. Thus does "choice" become a "duty to die."

What we disabled people see in legalizing assisted suicide is that some people get suicide prevention, while others get suicide assistance, based on value judgments and prejudice. As Vicki Kennedy wrote,

We're better than that. We should expand palliative care, pain management, nursing care and hospice, not trade the dignity and life of a human being for the bottom line.

Let's make sure that people have the choice and support to live comfortably at home before offering hastened death. In your deliberations, please think about Connecticut residents, elders and disabled people who may be vulnerable and without the sort of support and control assisted suicide proponents take for granted, innocent people who will be impacted by this piece of bad social policy. Invidious quality-of-life judgments have no place in social policy.

Please reject this bill and the discrimination it promotes.

Links to Sources:

Associated Press, “Bend Couple Goes to Trial on Fraud Charges,” Ventura County Star, January 14, 2013
<http://tinyurl.com/lwh7z4p>

Boston.com, “Question 2: Physician-Assisted Suicide.” Interactive Map
<http://tinyurl.com/a9pgk6n>

Ira Byock, “Vermont: Testimony of Ira Byock, MD to Vermont Senate Committee on Health and Welfare Hearing on End of Life Choices,” January 31, 2013.
<http://tinyurl.com/kuolula>

James A. Colbert, M.D., et al., “Physician-Assisted Suicide — Polling Results,” New England Journal of Medicine, September 12, 2013.
<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMc1de1310667>

Connecticut Health I Team, “State Lags in Funding, Follow-Up for Elder Abuse.”
<http://tinyurl.com/mm9pwth>

Luke Foster, “Compassion & Choices Draws Full House at Real Art Ways for Panel Discussion, Film,” CT News Junkie, October 10, 2014.
http://www.ctnewsjunkie.com/archives/entry/compassion_choices_draws_full_house_for_panel_discussion_film

Jeanette Hall, “She pushed for legal right to die, and - thankfully - was rebuffed,” letter to Boston Globe, October 4, 2011,
<http://tinyurl.com/ny48ql9>

Kathryn Judson, “Assisted Suicide? “I was afraid to leave my husband alone again with doctors and nurses,” letter to Hawaii Free Press, February 2011. Fourth letter down the page.
<http://tinyurl.com/brhfcere>

Victoria Reggie Kennedy, “Q2 is simplistic, wrong,” Berkshire Eagle, October 30, 2012
<http://tinyurl.com/nxh8lg7>

Oregon Health Authority, “Death With Dignity Act Annual Reports,” Years 15-16.
<http://tinyurl.com/lhqucx>

Peck Law Group, “Elder Abuse Data and Statistics,” January 26, 2013
<http://tinyurl.com/n78kvob>

Physicians for Compassionate Care, “Five Oregonians to Remember.”
<http://www.pccf.org/articles/art60.htm>

Pew Research Center, “Views on End-Of-Life Medical Treatments,” November 21, 2013.
<http://tinyurl.com/ljrtnsh>

YouTube, “Barbara’s Story,” regarding Barbara Wagner denied Tarceva
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UduKJhIDcEI>