

## Moniz-Carroll, Rhonda

---

**From:** Joe <jwarmus169850MI@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 10, 2015 9:29 PM  
**To:** JudTestimony  
**Subject:** Testimony concerning SB 650, HB 6848 and HB 6962

I am writing to ask the committee oppose these bills. SB650 and HB 6848 both concern removal of personal property without due process. Current law already provides a mechanism for committing dangerous persons involuntarily in an emergency situation, which already results in the committed person being prohibited from possessing firearms. Furthermore, Connecticut law already provides for "imminent risk warrants" to be issued, allowing law enforcement to seize firearms and ammunition when probable cause exists to warrant such necessary action. HB6848 seeks to confiscate legally-acquired firearms and ammunition without due process of the law and does not provide a way for these rights or possessions to be restored. Both these bills would negate the 4th and 14th amendments in order to negate the 2nd. In particular, SB650 could have unintended, and deadly consequences. Since there is no due process, in an abusive relationship, nothing would stop the abuser from getting a TRO and disarming the victim of the abuse, who would need a means of self defense.

HB 6962 places responsibility for a adults actions on the gun owner who has has his firearm used against his wishes. In no other area of our lives, does this occur. If your car is taken and used in a vehicular manslaughter, the owner is not responsible for the acts of the driver.

The current law already stipulates proper storage around minors, this expansion in unneeded and is a further imposition on law abiding citizens rights.

If you let these bills proceed, I suggest another bill - to change the nickname of our state, for it could no longer be called the "Constitution State" as you will have shredded that document.

Sincerely,

Dr. Joseph S. Warmus